Published in final edited form as:
Diabetes Care. 2007 March ; 30(3): 535–541. doi:10.2337/dc06-1403.

Diabetes and Coronary Heart Disease in Filipino-American Women: Role of Growth and Life-Course Socioeconomic Factors

Claudia Langenberg, MD [MRC Research Training Fellow][1,2], **Maria Rosario G. Araneta, PhD [Assistant Professor]**[1], **Jaclyn Bergstrom, MS [Satatistician]**[1], **Michael Marmot, FRCP [Professor and Director, International Institute for Society and Health]**[2], and **Elizabeth Barrett-Connor, MD [Professor and Chief, Division of Epidemiology]**[1]

1*Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0607, USA.*

2*Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London Medical School, 1-19 Torrington Place, London WC1E 6BT, UK*

Abstract

Background—Socioeconomic disadvantage and poor infant growth, resulting in short leg length, may contribute to the dramatically increased risk of diabetes and coronary heart disease (CHD) in Filipino-American women, but this has not been investigated.

Methods—Cross-sectional study of 389 Filipino-American women (mean (SD) age 58.7 (9.4) years). Diabetes was defined by 1999 WHO criteria, CHD by ischemic ECG changes, Rose angina, a history of myocardial infarction or revascularization surgery. A score of social mobility (0-4) was calculated by summarizing childhood and adult financial circumstances.

Findings—Diabetes prevalence (31.4%) was not associated with measures of growth, but was significantly lower in women with greater education, childhood and adult income or social mobility score. Compared to Filipinas who were poorest in child- and adulthood, respective odds ratios (95% CI) for diabetes were 0.55 (0.18; 1.68), 0.19 (0.06; 0.62), 0.11 (0.03; 0.42) down to 0.07 (0.01; 0.51) in the most advantaged women ($p<0.0001$). Family history of diabetes (5.14 (2.72; 9.70)) and larger waist (1.07 per cm (1.03; 1.10)) were also significant predictors in multiple adjusted models. In contrast, CHD prevalence (22.4%) was most strongly associated with leg length, but not trunk length; compared to those with the shortest legs, respective odds ratios for CHD were 0.60 (0.31; 1.19), 0.53 (0.26; 1.05) and 0.44 (0.22; 0.91) in the tallest group, in age ($p_{trend}=0.02$) and multiple adjusted models ($p_{trend}=0.01$).

Interpretation—Socioeconomic disadvantage contributes to the high prevalence of diabetes in Filipinas. Factors limiting early growth of the legs may increase the risk of CHD in this comparatively short population.

Keywords

MeSH Type 2 Diabetes; Coronary Heart Disease; Socioeconomic Determinants; Growth; Philippines; Ethnic Differences

---

Correspondence and reprint requests to Professor Barrett-Connor, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0607, Phone + 1 (858) 5340511, Fax + 1 (858) 5348625 Email ebarrettconnor@ucsd.edu.

Introduction

Immigrant populations and non-Caucasians, such as African-Americans, Latinos, American Indians and Native Hawaiians in the U.S. have an increased risk for obesity, type II diabetes and coronary heart disease (CHD) compared to Caucasians. (1) Less is known about those risks in Filipinos, (2;3) the third largest immigrant population in the US. (4) Results from this study have recently demonstrated that Filipino-American women had six-fold greater odds of having diabetes and three-fold greater odds of having the metabolic syndrome than Caucasian women, despite similar body size. (5)

Early chronic or intermittent malnutrition, leading to impaired development of the endocrine system and followed by exposure to a Western diet with an abundance of food, has been suggested to be responsible for the greater levels of metabolic disorders in immigrant populations. (6) In addition to genetic influences on height, early malnutrition limits growth and results in shorter adult stature and Filipinas in the US are of shorter height compared to Caucasian American women. (7) Poor growth, particularly of the long bones of the legs in the first years of life, has been shown to be associated with insulin resistance and CHD, (8-12) and may contribute to an increased risk of diabetes and CHD in Filipina-Americans. Previous studies have largely consisted of Caucasians and associations have not been investigated in other ethnic groups.

Childhood socioeconomic disadvantage influences early growth and adult health in many ways, including, but not restricted to poor early diet. (13-15) It is unclear whether the increased risk of Filipinas may originate from effects of early growth limiting factors on the developing metabolic and cardiovascular system or potentially reflects the wider influence of early and continuing socioeconomic disadvantage on both short stature and adult disease. (16) Whether poor growth and socioeconomic factors contribute to the risk of diabetes and CHD in Filipino women, a non-obese population with a high prevalence of diabetes has not been investigated. The objectives of this study are 1) to investigate associations between adult markers of childhood growth and the prevalence of diabetes and CHD in Filipino-American women, and 2) to determine the role of social and educational differences including the influence of social mobility between child and adulthood.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

Self-identified Filipinas, ages 40-86 years, were recruited between October 1995 and February 1999 for a cross-sectional study designed to estimate the prevalence of several chronic diseases. Most lived in north San Diego County, primarily Mira Mesa, a middle-class community with a high proportion of Filipino residents; all except 4 women were born in the Philippines. Random sampling of the entire county was not feasible because Filipinos are not identified separately in the San Diego census and recruitment strategies have previously been described in detail. (5;17)

Measurements

Clinical evaluations took place at the University of California, San Diego Rancho Bernardo Research Clinic. All participants gave written informed consent. Standardized questionnaires were used and administered by a Philippine-born, native Tagalog-speaking female nurse. All participants spoke functional English. Demographic characteristics, cigarette smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, parity, menopausal status, medication history, family history of heart disease (in either parent) and diabetes (in either a parent or in siblings after age 40 years) and other selected chronic diseases were determined using structured questionnaires. Participants who were using medications (prescription or non-prescription) or nutritional supplements in the month before the clinic visit brought their pills and prescriptions to the clinic to be verified and recorded by a nurse. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were measured twice in seated resting subjects, (18) and hypertension defined as blood pressure ≥ 140/90 mmHg or use of antihypertensive medication. (19)

Anthropometric measures

Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg with participants wearing light indoor clothing and no shoes. Height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm, using a portable stadiometer with participants standing without shoes and with heels against the wall as tall as possible with the head in the Frankfort plane. Participants were seated upright, with their back against the vertical stand of the stadiometer, on the base plate located on a hard, flat seat, with the head in the Frankfort plane and their feet on the floor to assess sitting height, a measure of trunk length. Leg length was quantified as the difference between standing and sitting heights. Waist circumference was measured in centimeters at the participant's natural waist, and hip measurements at the iliac crest. BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height squared (m^2). Waist-hip ratio was calculated by dividing waist by hip circumference and expressed as a percentage. Percentage of total body fat, truncal fat, and leg body fat (mean, right and left leg) was determined by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA; model QDR-2000 X-ray bone densitometers; Hologic, Waltham, MA).

Coronary heart disease, diabetes and plasma lipoproteins

Prevalence of CHD was defined as ECG abnormalities from a 12-lead resting electrocardiogram (Minnesota codes 1.1–1.2 (large Q and QS waves), 1.3 (small Q and QS), 4.1–4.4 (ST-T depression), 5.1–5.3 (flattened or inverted T waves) or 7.1.1 (complete left bundle branch block)), (20;21) a positive Rose questionnaire for angina or prolonged chest pain, (22) hospitalization for coronary revascularization procedures or reported myocardial infarction. Rose angina was defined according to standard criteria as chest pain or discomfort that was brought on by exertion (walking on flat ground or uphill), was situated in the central or left anterior chest, forced the participant to slow down or stop and was relieved within 10 minutes if she did so. In 87% of CHD cases, event classification was corroborated by ECG abnormalities and/ or severe angina (grade 2). Of 11 women classified as having CHD on the basis of self-reported myocardial infarction or Rose pain of possible myocardial infarction without ECG abnormalities, two women additionally reported a history of angioplasty, and another 5 also fulfilled criteria of having grade 1 angina.

A 75-g oral glucose tolerance test was performed in the morning after a minimum 8-h fast; blood samples were obtained by venipuncture at 0 and 2 h. Plasma glucose was measured by a glucose-oxidase method and insulin was determined by radioimmunoassay in a diabetes research laboratory. Diabetes was defined according to 1999 World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. (23) A person was regarded as having diabetes if the fasting plasma glucose was ≥7 mmol/l (126 mg/dl) or the 2-h glucose after the oral glucose tolerance test was ≥11.1mmol/l (200 mg/dl), or if he or she was using diabetes medication (oral or insulin).

Fasting plasma lipids and lipoproteins were measured in a Lipid Research Clinic Center for Disease Control (CDC)-certified research laboratory, as previously described. (17) LDL cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald formula. Dyslipidaemia was defined as LDL cholesterol ≥160 mg/dl, HDL cholesterol <50 mg/dl or statin use.

Childhood and adult social conditions

Information on economic position in child and adulthood was obtained from questionnaires using pre-coded categories. Childhood financial circumstances (0-2) distinguished those who were “very poor” from “average” and “well off”. A total of 20 pre-coded categories of adult income were collapsed to form three equally sized groups (0-2) in ascending order (<15K; 15-44.9K; ≥45K US). A score of lifetime economic position (0-4) was calculated by adding up childhood financial circumstances to adult income, leading to a score between 0 (lowest group in both child and adulthood) up to 4 (highest category at both time points). For sensitivity analyses, an alternative score (0-4) was calculated by adding childhood financial circumstances to attained education (≤12, 13-15 and ≥16 completed years).

World War II Birth Cohort

Food shortages and malnutrition were pervasive during the Japanese occupation (1941-45), and infant mortality rates were reportedly the highest in the world. (24) To assess the potential influence of wartime fetal and infant (up to age 2) malnutrition, participants were stratified into birth cohorts: born a) before 1938, b) 2 years prior to the Japanese occupation (1938-40), c) during up to two years after the occupation (1941-1947) or d) more than 2 years post occupation (>1947).

Statistical methods

Analyses were restricted to women with complete information on components of height, weight, socioeconomic variables, diabetes and CHD. Logistic regression analysis was used to calculate age and multiple adjusted odds ratios for having diabetes or CHD across groups of all socio-economic indicators and anthropometric measures, using the most disadvantaged or shortest group as the reference category; p-values are based on tests for trend. Multiple adjusted models were constructed to additionally include BMI, waist circumference, family history of diabetes, smoking, exercise, employment status and household size for models with diabetes as the outcome. Corresponding models with CHD as the outcome included BMI, waist, family history of heart disease, menopausal status, HRT use, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, smoking and exercise. Final models were performed simultaneously including all socioeconomic variables for diabetes models and leg length for CHD models plus all risk factors that were either significant at alpha <0.20 in multiple adjusted earlier models or pre-specified covariates (biological age and age at immigration in all models and employment status and household size in diabetes models).

Results

A total 389 women fulfilled our inclusion criteria, representing 85.7% of the total sample. Characteristics of the sample are shown in table 1. In age adjusted analyses, total body height, leg and trunk length all differed according to education, childhood and adult income, life-course socioeconomic position and birth year category, with the most advantaged women and those born before the war being the tallest (data not shown). For example, women with poor childhood family income were on average 1.52m tall, compared to 1.53m in those with average income or 1.55m in the well-off group (p=0.008). All differences were statistically significant except for leg length by birth year or education. In contrast, education was the only measure that was strongly and significantly associated with BMI and waist circumference (p<0.008 and 0.0004, respectively), with less educated women having a greater BMI and larger waist.

Role of social and educational differences for diabetes and CHD

The odds of diabetes were significantly lower in women with better childhood financial conditions (p_{trend}=0.007), greater education (p_{trend}=0.01) and higher adult income (p_{trend}=0.0002) in age and multiple adjusted analyses including BMI, waist circumference, family history of diabetes, smoking, exercise, employment status and household size. Further, diabetes was significantly less common the higher the social mobility score; compared to Filipinas who were poor in childhood and remained in the lowest income group in older adult life, respective odds ratios for diabetes were 0.55 (0.18; 1.68), 0.19 (0.06; 0.62), 0.11 (0.03; 0.42) down to 0.07 (0.01; 0.51) in those who were most advantaged in childhood and in the highest income group in adult life, after adjustment for all variables mentioned above ($p_{trend}<0.0001$; table 2). Similar results were obtained using the alternative score (data not shown). The odds of diabetes were also associated with birth year category (table 2); however, this association was of borderline significance ($p_{trend}=0.06$) and reduced further in multiple adjusted models ($p_{trend}=0.18$). In final models simultaneously including all socioeconomic variables and other risk factors (table 3), lower adult household income, a positive family history of diabetes and waist circumference were each significantly and independently associated with increased odds of diabetes ($p<0.001$ in each case), while associations of childhood family income with diabetes did not remain statistically significant.

CHD prevalence was not significantly associated with any of the socioeconomic indicators (table 2), and although the odds of CHD were lower the greater the social mobility score, with odds ratios ranging from 0.55 (0.20; 1.53), 0.46 (0.15; 1.37), 0.39 (0.12; 1.30) down to 0.19 (0.03; 1.15) in the most advantaged women, these differences were not statistically significant in age ($p_{trend}=0.07$) and multiple adjusted analyses ($p_{trend}=0.13$).

Associations between adult markers of childhood growth and diabetes and CHD

Diabetes was not significantly associated with height, leg or trunk length in age or multiple adjusted analyses (table 2). In contrast, the odds of CHD differed significantly across quarters of leg, but not trunk length. Compared to those with the shortest legs, odds ratios for CHD were 0.60 (0.31; 1.19), 0.53 (0.26; 1.05) and 0.44 (0.22; 0.91) in the tallest group, in age ($p_{trend}=0.02$) and multiple adjusted models ($p_{trend}=0.01$). Total body height showed a comparable, but weaker association ($p_{trend}=0.10$). Final models showed similar results, with shorter leg length ($p_{trend}=0.006$), greater waist circumference (ptrend=0.01) and hypertension (p=0.06) showing the strongest associations with CHD (table 3).

Adjusting for body size by including either BMI, total percent body fat, waist-hip ratio or waist circumference instead of weight, or excluding the 4 women not born in the Philippines did not materially change the results regarding diabetes or CHD (data not shown). Likewise, findings remained unchanged in sensitivity analyses excluding 10 women with triglyceride levels > 400 (and thus potentially unreliable estimation of LDL cholesterol by the Friedewald formula) or adjusting for continuous measures of total cholesterol, HDL, LDL or triglycerides alone or in combination instead of “dyslipidaemia”.

Discussion

As also evident in this sample of Filipino-American women, the overall prevalence of diabetes in this population is greatly increased, (5) similar to other immigrant populations. (25) Unlike cohorts where diabetes prevalence is higher in migrant than native populations, the diabetes prevalence in this study is similar to that of women in the Philippines, and longer term migrants and US born Filipinas in Hawaii. (26;27) Socioeconomic disadvantage from child to adulthood was strongly and linearly associated with diabetes in this study, in addition to the effects of family history and waist circumference. The observation of an independent association between lower adult income and type 2 diabetes is consistent with findings among Filipinas in Houston, Texas (3), despite this earlier study being limited to participants with a known history of type 2 diabetes, which comprised only 41% of all cases in this study.

Selective survival of undernutrition or starvation by those with efficient energy storage and greater body size may lead to increased susceptibility to diabetes when followed by a Western diet with an abundance of food. (28) Contrary to this “thrifty genotype” hypothesis stands the idea of a “thrifty phenotype”, where early undernutrition may lead to impaired development of the endocrine pancreas and increased susceptibility to diabetes in later life. (6) Filipino women are less obese, compared to Caucasian American women, and earlier reports from this study have shown that although Filipino women have more visceral adipose tissue (by computed tomography) for a given level of body size, this does not explain their high prevalence of diabetes. (29) Adult body size is thus unlikely to underlie the observed associations; also, while education only was the only socioeconomic measure significantly associated with BMI and waist, income was most strongly associated with diabetes. While this cross-sectional study cannot rule out the potential importance of weight trajectories from early to adult life contributing to the observed associations with diabetes, our results suggest that factors associated with socioeconomic disadvantage during women's childhood that persist after migration to the US and into adult life may be important for the development of diabetes. In this context, the quality of the diet may be more important than absolute caloric intake or body size in this non-obese population. (30)

Many studies have reported an inverse association between height and CHD and recent evidence from 35,000 twin pairs suggest that this association is due to environmental factors directly affecting growth and CHD. (31) The few studies investigating components of height (8;9) reported that leg length, rather than trunk length, is most strongly associated with CHD; with leg length being the component of height most sensitive to early environmental influences on growth. (32) In contrast with two earlier studies of British men, (9) and women, (11) reporting inverse associations between leg length and insulin resistance and non-insulin dependent diabetes, as well as other cardiovascular risk factors, (10;33) we found no evidence for associations between components of height and diabetes or HOMA-IR (results not shown). However, the results of the present study do support the hypothesis that factors limiting early growth of the legs increase the susceptibility to CHD in this comparatively short population. Socioeconomic indicators considered here do not appear to be the main underlying factors; first, these were not significantly associated with CHD, and second, child- and adult socioeconomic circumstances showed similar associations with leg and trunk length, thus being unlikely to explain their differential associations with CHD. However, it should be considered that indicators such as childhood family income may only be poor measures of early malnutrition and living conditions not just during times of war and occupation in the Philippines, but also considering that access to food may have been easier for those families with lower income but living or working on farms, for example.

Strength and Limitations

An important advantage of this study is that potential residual confounding of health behaviours is unlikely to play a major role, as few Filipinas engaged in unhealthy behaviours, such as excess alcohol consumption, smoking or sedentary lifestyle. Some limitation of the present study should be noted. This is a cross-sectional study which used opportunistic sampling to recruit. Reverse causation and selection bias may have influenced our results, and prospective studies are warranted to validate these cross-sectional results. Although the sample size was small, a significant association between leg length and CHD was observed. However, CHD was not significantly associated with any of the socioeconomic indicators, despite directions and magnitudes of associations with childhood and adult income and life-course socioeconomic position being similar compared to diabetes. The smaller number of CHD cases and thus low power may have contributed to the lack of statistical significance.

In populations at increased risk of type 2 diabetes, the age of onset may have progressively shifted towards younger ages and this may lead to misclassification as type 1 diabetes. While cases in this study were defined based on fasting/ post-load hyperglycaemia or drug use regardless of age at onset, siblings with onset of diabetes before age 40 years or insulin use were excluded from the definition of a positive family history. However, analyses including siblings with earlier age at onset resulted in only one additional woman being classified as having a positive family history. This is in line with previous reports showing that the dramatic increase in diabetes prevalence in Filipino women occurs after age 40 years and not before. (26)

Childhood and adult income and education were self reported and may have been misclassified; they may also not reflect sustained income, particularly when migration occurred in mid-life. Adult income referred to current income, and many women (48%) reported not being currently employed. Although 52% had ≥16 years of education, and as such, were presumably college graduates, the lower adult income might reflect underemployment if their Philippine college degrees were not transferable to the US, or they elected not to join the labour force due to concerns about adjusting to a foreign culture and language at an older age (half migrated to the US at the age ≥ 45 years). Previous studies have shown that immigrants who previously held professional occupations, particularly those who migrate in middle age, lose status. (34) A total of 67% of our cohort with ≥ 16 years of education held jobs as professionals, managers etc. when residing in the Philippines. Of these, half (55%) held similar positions in the US, the remainder were housewives (10%), worked in skilled manual (12%), semi-skilled (6%) or unskilled occupations (17%) in the US.

Generalizability of the sample

Census data did not report Asian nationalities separately in 1995; population-based sampling of all Filipinos in San Diego County was therefore not possible. Because the sampling frame is unknown, we are unable to calculate response rates and discuss the representativeness of the study population in detail. However, comparisons with 2000 United States Census data suggest that this cohort is representative of all Filipino-Americans with regard to education (where 43.8% of all Filipino-Americans ≥25 years of age are college graduates compared to 52% in this cohort). Median household income in our cohort ($25,000-29,999) is lower than national statistics ($65,189) for all Filipino-Americans (http://www.census.gov/prod/2004pubs/censr-17.pdf); this discrepancy likely reflects underemployment or retired status in this older cohort.

Conclusions

Childhood and adult socioeconomic factors contribute to the high prevalence of diabetes in Filipina-American women, a non-obese population by Western standard, independent of the strong influence of family history. Further, our results support the hypothesis that factors limiting early growth of the legs increase the risk of CHD, but not diabetes; socioeconomic factors considered here do not seem to underlie this association. Prospective studies are warranted to validate these associations and attempt to explain them, given that childhood factors appear to increase the risk of both diabetes and CHD, possibly by different mechanisms.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by grants DK-31801 and DK60575 from the NIDDK. C. Langenberg is supported by an MRC Research Training Fellowship.

References

1. McBean AM, Li S, Gilbertson DT, Collins AJ. Differences in diabetes prevalence, incidence, and mortality among the elderly of four racial/ethnic groups: whites, blacks, hispanics, and asians. Diabetes Care 2004;27(10):2317–2324. [PubMed: 15451894]
2. Sloan NR. Ethnic distribution of diabetes mellitus in Hawaii. JAMA 1963;(183):419–424. [PubMed: 13989245]

3. Cuasay LC, Lee ES, Orlander PP, Steffen-Batey L, Hanis CL. Prevalence and determinants of type 2 diabetes among Filipino-Americans in the Houston, Texas metropolitan statistical area. Diabetes Care 2001;24(12):2054–2058. [PubMed: 11723082]
4. Camarota, SA. Immigrants in the United States, 2002 A Snapshop of America's Foreign-Born Population. Center for Immigration Studies: 2002.
5. Araneta MR, Wingard DL, Barrett-Connor E. Type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome in Filipina-American women : a high-risk nonobese population. Diabetes Care 2002;25(3):494–499. [PubMed: 11874936]
6. Hales CN, Barker DJ. Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus: the thrifty phenotype hypothesis. Diabetologia 1992;35(7):595–601. [PubMed: 1644236]
7. Morton DJ, Barrett-Connor E, Kritz-Silverstein D, Wingard DL, Schneider DL. Bone mineral density in postmenopausal Caucasian, Filipina, and Hispanic women. Int J Epidemiol 2003;32(1):150–156. [PubMed: 12690028]
8. Lawlor DA, Taylor M, Davey Smith G, Gunnell D, Ebrahim S. Associations of components of adult height with coronary heart disease in postmenopausal women: the British women's heart and health study. Heart 2004;90(7):745–749. [PubMed: 15201241]
9. Davey Smith G, Greenwood R, Gunnell D, Sweetnam P, Yarnell J, Elwood P. Leg length, insulin resistance, and coronary heart disease risk: the Caerphilly Study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2001;55(12):867–872. [PubMed: 11707479]
10. Gunnell D, Whitley E, Upton MN, McConnachie A, Davey Smith G, Watt GC. Associations of height, leg length, and lung function with cardiovascular risk factors in the Midspan Family Study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2003;57(2):141–146. [PubMed: 12540691]
11. Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Davey Smith G. The association between components of adult height and Type II diabetes and insulin resistance: British Women's Heart and Health Study. Diabetologia 2002;45(8):1097–1106. [PubMed: 12189439]
12. Dallongeville J, Cottel D, Ferrieres J, Arveiler D, Bingham A, Ruidavets JB, et al. Household income is associated with the risk of metabolic syndrome in a sex-specific manner. Diabetes Care 2005;28 (2):409–415. [PubMed: 15677801]
13. Tanner JM. Growth as a measure of the nutritional and hygienic status of a population. Horm Res 1992;38(Suppl 1):106–15. [PubMed: 1295807]106-115
14. Cavelaars AE, Kunst AE, Geurts JJ, Crialesi R, Grotvedt L, Helmert U, et al. Persistent variations in average height between countries and between socio-economic groups: an overview of 10 European countries. Ann Hum Biol 2000;27(4):407–421. [PubMed: 10942348]
15. Galobardes B, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G. Childhood Socioeconomic Circumstances and Cause-specific Mortality in Adulthood: Systematic Review and Interpretation. Epidemiol Rev 2004;26(1): 7–21. [PubMed: 15234944]
16. Kuh, DL.; Power, C.; Blane, D.; Bartley, M. Socioeconomic pathways between childhood and adult health. In: Kuh, DL.; Ben Shlomo, Y., editors. A Life Course Approach to Chronic Disease Epidemiology Tracing the Origins of Ill-health from Early to Adult Life. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004. p. 391-416.
17. Araneta MR, Barrett-Connor E. Subclinical coronary atherosclerosis in asymptomatic Filipino and white women. Circulation 2004;110(18):2817–2823. [PubMed: 15505100]
18. The hypertension detection and follow-up program. Hypertension detection and follow-up program cooperative group. Prev Med 1976;5(2):207–215. [PubMed: 935073]
19. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JR Jr, et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Hypertension 2003;42(6):1206–1252. [PubMed: 14656957]
20. Scheidt-Nave C, Barrett-Connor E, Wingard DL. Resting electrocardiographic abnormalities suggestive of asymptomatic ischemic heart disease associated with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in a defined population. Circulation 1990;81(3):899–906. [PubMed: 2306839]
21. Prineas, RJ.; Crown, RS.; Blackburn, H. The Minnesota code manual of electrocardiographic findings: standards and procedures for measurement and classification. Littleton: Wright-PSG; 1982.
22. Rose, G.; Blackburn, H.; Gillum, RF.; Prineas, RJ. Cardiovascular survey methods. 2. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1982.

23. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. Diabet Med 1998;15(7):539–553. [PubMed: 9686693]
24. McElhinny B. ‘Kissing a Baby is Not At All Good For Him’: Infant Mortality, Medicine and Colonial Modernity in the U.S.-Occupied Philippines. American Anthropologist 2006;107(2):183–194.
25. Abate N, Chandalia M. The impact of ethnicity on type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Complications 2003;17 (1):39–58. [PubMed: 12505756]
26. Baltazar JC, Ancheta CA, Aban IB, Fernando RE, Baquilod MM. Prevalence and correlates of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance among adults in Luzon, Philippines. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2004;64(2):107–115. [PubMed: 15063603]
27. Araneta MR, Morton DJ, Lantion-Ang L, Grandinetti A, Lim-Abrahan MA, Chang H, et al. Hyperglycemia and type 2 diabetes among Filipino women in the Philippines, Hawaii, and San Diego. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2006;71(3):306–312. [PubMed: 16236379]
28. Neel JV. Diabetes mellitus: a “thrifty” genotype rendered detrimental by “progress”? Am J Hum Genet 1962;14:353–362. [PubMed: 13937884]
29. Araneta MR, Barrett-Connor E. Ethnic differences in visceral adipose tissue and type 2 diabetes: Filipino, African-American, and white women. Obes Res 2005;13(8):1458–1465. [PubMed: 16129729]
30. Schulze MB, Hu FB. Primary prevention of diabetes: what can be done and how much can be prevented? Annu Rev Public Health 2005;26:445–467. [PubMed: 15760297]
31. Silventoinen K, Zdravkovic S, Skytthe A, McCarron P, Herskind AM, Koskenvuo M, et al. Association between Height and Coronary Heart Disease Mortality: A Prospective Study of 35, 000 Twin Pairs. Am J Epidemiol 2006;163(7):615–621. [PubMed: 16484449]
32. Cole TJ. Secular trends in growth. Proc Nutr Soc 2000;59(2):317–324. [PubMed: 10946801]
33. Langenberg C, Hardy R, Breeze E, Kuh D, Wadsworth ME. Influence of short stature on the change in pulse pressure, systolic and diastolic blood pressure from age 36 to 53 years: an analysis using multilevel models. Int J Epidemiol 2005;34(4):905–913. [PubMed: 15833796]
34. Ponce N, Nordyke RJ, Hirota S. Uninsured working immigrants: a view from a California county. J Immigr Health 2005;7(1):45–53. [PubMed: 15744477]

Table 1

Characteristics of women in the Filipina Study

	N	Means and Proportions (95 % Confidence Intervals)
Age (years)	389	58.7 (57.8; 59.7)
Age at immigration >45 years (%)	382	48.4 (43.4; 53.5)
Born in the Philippines (%)	389	98.7 (97.6; 99.8)
Years since immigration (years)	382	16.6 (15.5; 17.7)
Height (cm)	389	153.2 (152.6; 153.8)
Leg Length (cm)	389	70.7 (70.4; 71.1)
Sitting Height (cm)	389	82.4 (82.1; 82.8)
Weight (kg)	389	59.5 (58.5; 60.5)
Body Mass Index (kg/m^2)	389	25.3 (24.9; 25.6)
Obesity (%)	389	9.8 (6.8; 12.7)
Waist Circumference (cm)	389	80.8 (79.8; 81.7)
Waist Hip Ratio	389	0.83 (0.83; 0.84)
Body Fat (%) DEXA	363	33.2 (32.7; 33.7)
Truncal Fat (%) DEXA	363	30.9 (30.3; 31.5)
Diabetes (%)	389	31.4 (26.7; 36.0)
Coronary Heart Disease (%)	389	22.4 (18.2; 26.5)
Postmenopausal (%)	389	84.6 (81.0; 88.2)
Current Oestrogen Use (%)	387	16.3 (12.6; 20.0)
Alcohol consumption ≥3 times/week (%)	389	1.0 (0.0; 2.0)
Physical Activity ≥3 times/week (%)	387	65.9 (61.2; 70.6)
Current Smoker (%)	387	11.4 (8.2; 14.5)
Dyslipidaemia (%)	389	53.2 (48.3; 58.2)
Family History of Diabetes (%)	389	36.0 (31.2; 40.8)
Family history of heart disease (%)	370	23.5 (19.2; 27.8)
Childhood Family Income	380	
Poor		20.7 (16.7; 24.9)
Average		70.3 (65.7; 74.9)
Well-off		9.0 (6.1; 11.8)
Education	386	
≤12 years		31.1 (26.5; 35.7)
13-15 years		17.4 (13.6; 21.1)
≥16 years		51.6 (46.6; 56.5)
Adult Household Income	330	
≥15K		34.2 (28.5; 38.7)
15-44.9K		32.1 (27.1; 37.2)
≥45K		33.6 (29.1; 39.4)
Social Mobility Score	323	
0		7.1 (4.3; 9.9)
1		32.8 (27.7; 37.9)
2		29.1 (24.2; 34.1)
3		25.1 (20.4; 29.8)
4		5.9 (3.3; 8.5)
Birth Year	383	
before 1938		50.1 (45.1; 55.1)
1938-1940		12.3 (9.0; 15.6)
1941-1947		18.8 (14.9; 22.7)
after 1947		18.8 (14.9; 22.7)

Table 2

Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for diabetes and coronary heart disease in Filipino women (minimum n=304).

	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Intervals)			
	Diabetes		Coronary Heart Disease	
Risk Factor	**Age adjusted†**	**Fully adjusted#**	**Age adjusted†**	**Fully adjusted##**
Height				
1 Shortest (<148.6 cm)	1	1	1	1
2 (148.6-152.4 cm)	1.21 (0.64; 2.27)	1.04 (0.51; 2.12)	0.89 (0.45; 1.76)	0.77 (0.36; 1.64)
3 (152.4-157.5 cm)	1.13 (0.58; 2.20)	0.59 (0.26; 1.30)	0.91 (0.45; 1.84)	0.82 (0.38; 1.76)
4 Tallest (>157.5 cm)	1.90 (0.99; 3.66)	1.16 (0.54; 2.48)	0.67 (0.32; 1.40)	0.45 (0.19; 1.07)
p_{trend}	*0.07*	*0.96*	*0.33*	*0.10*
Leg Length				
1 Shortest (<68.6 cm)	1	1	1	1
2 (68.6-70.5 cm)	0.94 (0.49; 1.80)	0.76 (0.37; 1.58)	0.60 (0.31; 1.19)	0.52 (0.24; 1.12)
3 (70.5-73.0 cm)	1.12 (0.59; 2.11)	0.77 (0.36; 1.63)	0.53 (0.26; 1.05)	0.52 (0.24; 1.11)
4 Tallest (>73.0 cm)	1.32 (0.70; 2.49)	0.73 (0.35; 1.55)	0.44 (0.22; 0.91)	0.32 (0.14; 0.74)
p_{trend}	*0.32*	*0.45*	*0.02*	*0.01*
Trunk Length				
1 Shortest (<80.0 cm)	1	1	1	1
2 (80.0-82.6 cm)	0.80 (0.41; 1.54)	0.67 (0.31; 1.45)	0.60 (0.28; 1.29)	0.56 (0.25; 1.27)
3 (82.6-84.5 cm)	1.08 (0.58; 2.00)	0.64 (0.31; 1.33)	1.17 (0.61; 2.24)	0.76 (0.36; 1.62)
4 Tallest (>84.5 cm)	1.67 (0.86; 3.27)	1.09 (0.50; 2.35)	0.80 (0.38; 1.69)	0.48 (0.20; 1.13)
p_{trend}	*0.13*	*0.94*	*0.95*	*0.16*
Childhood Family Income				
Poor	1	1	1	1
Average	0.48 (0.28; 0.83)	0.48 (0.25; 0.90)	0.72 (0.40; 1.32)	0.71 (0.37; 1.37)
Well-off	0.34 (0.13; 0.90)	0.26 (0.08; 0.82)	0.47 (0.16; 1.40)	0.45 (0.15; 1.40)
p_{trend}	*0.005*	*0.007*	*0.14*	*0.15*
Education				
≤12 years	1	1	1	1
13-15 years	0.78 (0.40; 1.51)	0.72 (0.32; 1.63)	1.21 (0.55; 2.66)	1.40 (0.58; 3.35)
≥16 years	0.41 (0.24; 0.72)	0.44 (0.23; 0.84)	1.30 (0.69; 2.46)	1.47 (0.71; 3.06)
p_{trend}	*0.001*	*0.01*	*0.43*	*0.32*
Adult Household Income				
≤15K	1	1	1	1
15-44.9K	0.58 (0.31; 1.08)	0.34 (0.16; 0.70)	0.69 (0.33; 1.43)	0.61 (0.27; 1.38)
≥45K	0.24 (0.11; 0.55)	0.16 (0.06; 0.42)	0.64 (0.27; 1.52)	0.78 (0.30; 2.01)
p_{trend}	*0.0008*	*0.0002*	*0.32*	*0.61*
Social Mobility Score				
0	1	1	1	1
1	0.73 (0.29; 1.85)	0.55 (0.18; 1.68)	0.55 (0.20; 1.53)	0.43 (0.14; 1.38)
2	0.40 (0.15; 1.09)	0.19 (0.06; 0.62)	0.46 (0.15; 1.37)	0.38 (0.11; 1.27)
3	0.22 (0.07; 0.68)	0.11 (0.03; 0.42)	0.39 (0.12; 1.30)	0.41 (0.11; 1.54)
4	0.14 (0.02; 0.79)	0.07 (0.01; 0.51)	0.19 (0.03; 1.15)	0.14 (0.02; 0.96)
p_{trend}	*0.0006*	*<0.0001*	*0.07*	*0.13*
Birth Year				
before 1938	1	1	1	1
1938-1940	0.78 (0.35; 1.77)	0.87 (0.35; 2.18)	0.89 (0.34; 2.30)	1.06 (0.38; 2.96)
1941-1947	0.61 (0.24; 1.54)	0.72 (0.25; 2.07)	1.21 (0.43; 3.41)	1.30 (0.41; 4.08)
after 1947	0.25 (0.07; 0.92)	0.32 (0.08; 1.37)	1.11 (0.28; 4.45)	1.58 (0.33; 7.68)
p_{trend}	*0.06*	*0.18*	*0.78*	*0.57*

† Adjusted for current age and age at immigration

Diabetes fully adjusted model additionally includes BMI, waist, family history of diabetes, smoking, exercise, employment status, household size

CHD fully adjusted model additionally includes BMI, waist, family history of heart disease, menopausal status, HRT use, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, smoking, exercise

Table 3

Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for diabetes and coronary heart disease from models including all risk factors simultaneously.

	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Intervals)	p-value
DIABETES(N=305)		
Age (years)	1.04 (0.99; 1.09)	0.07
Age at immigration (years)	0.99 (0.96; 1.03)	0.74
Waist Circumference (cm)	1.07 (1.03; 1.10)	<0.0001
Childhood Family Income (per category↑)	0.66 (0.36; 1.20)	0.17
Education (per category↑)	0.72 (0.50; 1.04)	0.08
Adult Household Income (per category↑)	0.40 (0.24; 0.66)	0.0003
Family History of Diabetes (Y/N)	5.14 (2.72; 9.70)	<0.0001
Current Employment Status (Y/N)	1.34 (0.72; 2.51)	0.36
Number of Household Members	0.99 (0.82; 1.19)	0.91
CORONARY HEART DISEASE(N=380)		
Age (years)	1.02 (0.99; 1.07)	0.22
Age at immigration (years)	0.98 (0.96; 1.01)	0.17
Waist Circumference (cm)	1.03 (1.01; 1.06)	0.01
Hypertension (Y/N)	1.74 (0.98; 3.08)	0.06
Dyslipidaemia (Y/N)	1.62 (0.51; 5.18)	0.42
Regular Exercise (Y/N)	1.67 (0.94; 2.97)	0.08
Leg Length (per category↑)	0.72 (0.56; 0.91)	0.006