Aloha to the Board of Regents,

Because of problems with the BOR office posting testimony in the past, I am sending this Testimony to you specifically to make sure that it is posted properly for the BOR meeting on March 23, 2017.

Sincerely

Dymond Cabildo

As Chair of the UH Board of Regents, I strongly believe Jan Sullivan has demonstrated clear bias in favor of UH West Oahu’s Creative Media program to the detriment of Creative Media programs on other UH campuses.

During the public testimony portion of the Board of Regents meeting on February 23, 2017 I was struck by Jan Sullivan’s explicit willingness to insert her families personal support for one program over another in assessing ACM Manoa’s need for a permanent home. In fact, Jan Sullivan went so far as to call for ACM Manoa and the Creative Media program at West Oahu to be joined when she stated, “you should be one program”.

As Chair of the Board of Regents I would hope that Jan Sullivan would have adopted a more objective perspective in assessing this matter. Yet during this meeting Jan Sullivan went out of her way to make clear that her family (Roy and Hilda Takeyama) has donated heavily to the ACM in the past, and has a personal interest in our future. What she neglected to state was that this support has been heavily biased towards West Oahu’s program and against ACM Manoa. In fact, in a highly provocative and political act, when ACM System Director Chris Lee was forced out of Manoa, the Takeyama’s withdrew a significant donation to ACM Manoa, which had a severely negative impact on our students.

Given her families willingness to engage in hostile political maneuvers to the detriment of our program, and given Jan Sullivan’s willingness to use her position as Chair of the BOR to insert her families personal agenda into the programmatic business of ACM Manoa’s current need for a facility, I strongly believe Jan Sullivan needs to recuse herself from all issues, discussions and decisions regarding creative media at the system and campus level at the University of Hawaii.
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Aloha to the Board of Regents,

Because of problems with the BOR office posting testimony in the past, I am sending this Testimony to you specifically to make sure that it is posted properly for the BOR meeting on March 23, 2017,

Sincerely

John Gilmore

TESTIMONY IN FAVOR OF JAN SULLIVAN RECUSING HERSELF

As Chair of the UH Board of Regents, I strongly believe Jan Sullivan has demonstrated clear bias in favor of UH West Oahu's Creative Media program to the detriment of Creative Media programs on other UH campuses.

During the public testimony portion of the Board of Regents meeting on February 23, 2017 I was struck by Jan Sullivan’s explicit willingness to insert her families personal support for one program over another in assessing ACM Manoa’s need for a permanent home. In fact, Jan Sullivan went so far as to call for ACM Manoa and the Creative Media program at West Oahu to be joined when she stated, “you should be one program”.

As Chair of the Board of Regents I would hope that Jan Sullivan would have adopted a more objective perspective in assessing this matter. Yet during this meeting Jan Sullivan went out of her way to make clear that her family (Roy and Hilda Takeyama) has donated heavily to the ACM in the past, and has a personal interest in our future. What she neglected to state was that this support has been heavily biased towards West Oahu’s program and against ACM Manoa. In fact, in a highly provocative and political act, when ACM System Director Chris Lee was forced out of Manoa, the Takeyama’s withdrew a significant donation to ACM Manoa, which had a severely negative impact on our students.

Given her families willingness to engage in hostile political maneuvers to the detriment of our program, and given Jan Sullivan’s willingness to use her position as Chair of the BOR to insert her families personal agenda into the programmatic business of ACM Manoa’s current need for a facility, I strongly believe Jan Sullivan needs to recuse herself from all issues, discussions and decisions regarding creative media at the system and campus level at the University of Hawaii.