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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Keanj Akui
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Robert Andrade
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Bronson Azama
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Melissa Bagayas

Sincerely,
Melissa Bagayas
Aloha kākou,

My name is Marc Baril, and I am an proud employee of the Canada France Hawaii Telescope where I work as an instrument engineer. I speak on my own behalf, and not on behalf of my employer.

CFHT is one of best organizations to work for on Hawai‘i island. I wouldn’t have isolated myself from my extended family for fourteen years if this hadn’t been the case. My children attend public schools in Waimea and Honoka‘a and my wife works at a small private school in Waimea. No one in our family has been insulated from the division in our community regarding the differing viewpoints on acceptable activities on Mauna Kea. We are sensitive to every action, or lack thereof, by the State regarding the Mauna Kea conflict, all of which has happened uncaringly, and with total disregard to our interests.

Now I also find that the institution that should be supporting us, is now acting against our best interests, with complete lack of intellectual integrity, while pandering to the game of identity politics that is rapidly taking over our island.

The Board of Regents of the University of Hawai‘i is acting extremely irresponsibly by resolving to decommission five observatories on Mauna Kea. This resolution presents the veneer of a solution to the Mauna Kea conflict, at tremendous human cost, with no possibility of working. Predictably, this action, and even its suggestion, opens the door for more protests on the mountain – regardless of TMTs future in Hawai‘i. Specifically, the proposed action affirms and perpetuates the narrow, but now politically popular view, that astronomy on Mauna Kea is in fundamental conflict with Hawaiian culture. The University is tacitly accepting the idea that the use of the mountain should be defined by the Hawaiian activists who overwhelmingly dominate the message on the street. Their message is clear – NO telescopes on the mountain are acceptable to them! The resolution will significantly weaken the MKOs position in ongoing lease renewal negotiations, and rings a death knell to continued, meaningful, research investment on the mountain. The voice of the MKOs, their families, and groups that support continued astronomy activity on Mauna Kea, such as IMUA TMT, are being drowned out by the noise of activists who have a multitude of agendas, not specifically related to the mountain. Apparently, the Board of Regents have been deafened by this din; prove me wrong if much of the noise is not emanating from within your own ranks of University faculty!

Presented with this unbalanced view, I can understand why the Regents see political expediency in the resolution being proposed. However, the omission of a statement concerning the construction of TMT with the planned decommissioning, indicates to me a desire for the University to simply throw the astronomy community under the bus with no regard for our interests, investment of time, or the commitment to Hawai‘i made by those who came here to work. I cannot emphasize more strongly that what is at stake here is the destruction of the science culture established by the observatories, and the eviction of many of these people, from Hawai‘i. Certainly, some will stay – but most of us will leave a State that provides no opportunity to pursue our careers, with little additional benefit (Exhibit A; the Hawaii State Board of Education) except fine weather and beautiful scenery.

Observatories are more than just structures. Every telescope built on the mountain has or represents:

- Hundreds of individuals and their families who live in Hawai‘i and either directly support the observatory, or provide services to these observatories. Many have grown up here, and surprise, some even claim native Hawaiian heritage.
- A network of thousands of astronomers around the world who make world-class contributions to the field of astronomy based on data obtained at the Mauna Kea observatories. Year after year, the three highest ranked ground based observatories in terms of science impact are routinely held by MKOs, worldwide.
- Thousands of engineers around the globe who continue to provide cutting edge instrumentation for our telescopes.
- The accumulation of billions of dollars of hard to obtain public investment in pure science, and the hard legwork of the dedicated individuals who secured this funding.
• Years of refinement and development of the observatories and their observing instrument suites.

• Observatories that through their long heritage, are capable of training young engineers and astronomers so that meaningful research can continue. As you well know, it takes decades to establish a research program in any discipline that can compete on the world stage.

• Some of the best employers on the island, providing high-paying jobs with excellent benefits, in a technology sector that is almost absent from our economy.

In summary, the Board of Regents proposed resolution will directly up-end people’s lives, will significantly impoverish the intellectual culture of Hawai‘i, and does nothing to resolve the civil unrest in Hawai‘i. The Regents should consider what it means for the University of Hawai‘i to be a “world-class player” when they seek to undermine one of the University’s most meaningful and lasting contributions to the world.

Please defer this resolution.

Mahalo,

Marc Baril
charmancita . <charmancita.web@gmail.com>  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH BOR,
Submitting my testimony regarding the above subject.
Mahalo,
Charmaine Bugado
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November 6, 2019  UH Board of Regents

You are wasting the public and tax payer’s time! Stop calling us to these meetings.

I question your authority to conjure up rules for the public or visitors on Hawaiian Islands Crown and Government lands.

Any and all rules emanating from you only specifically and correctly pertain to YOUR institution, your personnel and the Astronomy community you supposedly created Subleases to

There was public testimony in September by E. H. Ayau, employee of DHHL, who detailed the matters of law pertaining to the Mauna Kea Access Road

• Which is a part of DHHL Domain

• He mentioned an agreement with the Department of Transportation is not in effect because the stipulations were never met.

How is it that a business deal this institution brokered with 5 foreign countries

• Gets to put the government into a financial deficit to provide security for this business deal?

• Is the reason almost 40 of our Kupuna were arrested.

• Is the reason Kanaka Maoli and Hawaiians are targeted by State And County agents. Being criminalized for displaying Patriotic symbols (of private property which they paid for) or God forbid giving away our Hawaiian Travel miles to Kia‘i?

• Is the reason its okay to use the US National Guard and Weapons of War like the LRAD on Peaceful Protectors exercising their right to civil disobedience.

• Is the reason its okay to use the US National Guard and Weapons of War to ensure business and construction that will further damage and desecrate our mountain and fresh waters aquifers.

Other topics

• Review or re-educate your staff on discrimination policies

• Stop genociding the kanaka maoli you’re a daily part of the genocide! You miseducate and purposely omit accurate hawaiian islands history and politics

• Properly educate on the legal system being a government corporation and on common law and admiralty law
• Kanaka maoli civil and political rights in the hawaiian islands are in the declaration of rights 1839 by king kamii.
• Be sure your rules do not impede my god given and monarchy given rights!
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Keyra Candelario
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Pono Cann

Sincerely,

Pono Cann
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Darlene Capistrano
Aloha, my name is Ramona Ching, I wholeheartedly disagree with UH's proposed rules. It is ironic that the proposed rules, supposedly for the protection of Mauna a Wākea, are being implemented by the entity most responsible for the significant degradation and adverse impact there. It’s important that rules apply to all parties equally and without bias. We should look at the hypocrisy in these rules:

It is unacceptable for people to “conduct any activities on pu‘u” or “damage any natural or geological feature”, but UH can grade and level pu‘u to build on them.

It is unacceptable for people to “disturb” any plants or animals, but UH can dig up 64,000 cubic yards of earth containing organic matter for TMT.

It is unacceptable for people to possess hand tools that could be used to injure plants or wildlife, but UH can operate an excavator on a site containing endemic flora and fauna.

It is unacceptable for people to introduce materials such as metal, yet we have seen metal waste from construction sites on Mauna a Wākea left to decay. It is unacceptable to spill chemicals, but there have been over two dozen documented spills associated with the observatories under UH management.

These are just a few examples, but many of the other proposed rules are similarly hypocritical. If UH truly wishes to protect Mauna a Wākea, leading by example is paramount. The “do as I say, not as I do” mentality is unacceptable for an organization that strives to produce graduates with professionalism and leadership qualities.

The other major concern I have regarding the proposed rules is the obvious attempt being made to stifle the civil disobedience movement. If the legislative purpose of these rules is truly the protection of cultural and natural resources, then that would seemingly be aligned with the goal of the civil disobedience movement. If this was the true intent then UH would not be standing opposite of the protectors while deliberately attempting to limit their tools of peaceful protection.

The proposed rules would have numerous effects which specifically target the protectors:
§20-26-22 prevents groups larger than 10 people from gathering without permission.

§20-26-24 prohibits cellular phones from being used for the purposes of documentation and social media.

§20-26-34 would prevent microphones, loud voices, and musical instruments such as pahu.

§20-26-72 and §20-26-73 would give UH the ability to issue excessive penalties (up to $10,000 or exclusion from cultural areas) to people who are in violation of their rules even if they have already been criminally charged.

These rules negatively affect our right of civil disobedience and are meant to target a specific group, many of whom are the indigenous people of Hawai‘i. These rules must not be put into effect by the university which claims to be the “premier indigenous serving institution”. The UH mission statement says, “the university will serve as a leader in how it stewards the resources of the islands” and “the university embraces its unique responsibilities to the indigenous people of Hawai‘i
and to Hawai‘i’s indigenous language and culture”. Actions of an organization should not be contradictory to its mission statement.

I humbly request that you reconsider the proposed rules and take actions that are consistent with the university’s mission statement.

Mahalo,
Ramona Ching

Sincerely,
RAMONA CHING
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Mikaela Chong
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Coates Cobb-Adams IV
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Noella Coleman
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Mauli Ola Cook
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Melody Cosma-Gonsalves
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Maggie Costigan
re: resolution on Maunakea management
1 message

sylviedahl@aol.com <sylviedahl@aol.com> Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 4:52 PM
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

Aloha, I am writing to submit testimony for consideration at the upcoming Board of Regents meeting and to express support for the Mauna Kea Observatories (MKOs) and Astronomy in Hawai'i.

The current Resolution fails to acknowledge the Institute for Astronomy's and MKO's significant, positive impacts on the University of Hawai'i, the local economy, national and international academic and science community. The MKOs have significant, substantive input that should be considered and incorporated into the Resolution before the board votes to adopt any resolution. Further, any proposed reorganization of Mauna Kea management would have major consequences to observatory operations and MKOs should be consulted.

The Resolution also fails to clarify that its proposed decommissioning of five telescopes is contingent on construction of the Thirty Meter Telescope. As written, if TMT is not built in Hawaii, it appears the University would still commit to decommissioning five telescopes, including three that are highly productive. This would be a major blow to Hawai'i’s position as a global leader in astronomy, diminish the Institute for Astronomy, and result in job losses for the Big Island community.

MKO staff members are an integral part of the Big Island community. Decommissioning telescopes affects the livelihood of people on Hawai`i Island and input from the observatories, their staff and the wider community should be considered before any resolution is adopted.

The voices of Astronomy supporters have been drowned out by the recent protest, most of which is not about Mauna Kea management at all - the issue has been conflated with the Sovereignty Movement, Native Hawaiian rights, DHHL and land use policy, and other problems which seriously need to be addressed. However, sweeping Astronomy off the Mauna and stopping TMT construction will not advance the cause of social justice or right past mismanagement.

I urge the Board of Regents to consider the far-reaching consequences and working towards a solution where we can all move forward together. Mayor Kim has an excellent plan, let's start with that.

Respectfully, Sylvia Dahlby - Hilo
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Case Dakota <cdb12114@gmail.com>
Reply-To: cdb12114@gmail.com
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null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Case Dakota
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Daisy Daniels

Sincerely,
Daisy Daniels
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Drew Daniels <Drewdanielsemail@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Drewdanielsemail@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Drew Daniels
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

2 messages

Linda (Kimi) Davis-Tafaioimalo <tainunu@hotmail.com>  
Reply-To: tainunu@hotmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai'i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Linda (Kimi) Davis-Tafaioimalo

Sincerely,
Linda (Kimi) Davis-Tafaioimalo

Linda (Kimi) Davis-Tafaioimalo <tainunu@hotmail.com>  
Reply-To: tainunu@hotmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

[Quoted text hidden]
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Leanne Day
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Philysia DeLeon
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Nola DeVincent <fusae808@gmail.com>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 7:26 PM
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Nola DeVincent
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Haley Ellison <Haleyellison@gmail.com>

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Haley Ellison
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Desha Evaimalo
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Kira Fox <kirafox@hawaii.edu>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 7:43 PM
Reply-To: kirafox@hawaii.edu
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kira Fox
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sheadon Halemanō-Freitas
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

David Gardener <quantumleader@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: quantumleader@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai'i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Gardener
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Jhiana Gomes
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Teeri Goo <piolani@hotmail.com>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 10:23 PM
Reply-To: piolani@hotmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Terri Goo
Kanaka Maoli

Sincerely,

Teeri Goo
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Kathrine Hann <Kayseah808@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Kayseah808@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai‘i

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Kathrine Hann
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

RICHARD HART <rhart808@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: rhart808@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Richard Hart

Sincerely,
RICHARD HART
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

David Hau'oli <hauolid808@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: hauolid808@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu  

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai'i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Hau'oli
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Sekona Holani <Sekonah@hawaii.edu>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 5:08 PM
Reply-To: Sekonah@hawaii.edu
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sekona Holani
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
leena iona
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Nahaku Kalei
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gael Kanakaokai Jr
Re: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Joseph Kaneakalau
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Daryl Keawe <Nkaboo3@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Nkaboo3@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Daryl Keawe
Mauna Kea access rules

1 message

diana larose <dakini333@gmail.com>  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu  
Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 10:42 PM

I am Opposed to these restrictions as they are in direct violation of the constitutional rights of freedom of religion for the Hawaiian people and their centuries old religious and cultural practices on Mauna Kea. The native Hawaiian people are the rightful caretakers of these lands and should have the majority say and power of decision making in all that occurs on Mauna Kea. These access rules are not acceptable and are bordering on targeting a race of people! In this country that is called racism. Stop the oppression now.

Sent from my iPhone
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Diana Larose <Dakini333@gmail.com>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 10:21 PM
Reply-To: Dakini333@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu
UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda Item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Diana Larose
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Jan Makepa <jmakepa001@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: jmakepa001@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu  

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai'i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Jan Makepa
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kanaka Maoli
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

mattie Matthew
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donald Mavunga
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Ivy McIntosh
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Analisa Moose
BOR Testimony <bortest2@hawaii.edu>

OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lani Morris
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Bridget Mowat
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Whitney Murphy
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Dryden Myers <sonnysm@stanford.edu>  
Reply-To: sonnysm@stanford.edu  
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

I recognize and sincerely mahalo the University of Hawai‘i for its dedication to the promotion of Hawaiian culture and academia. It is, however, with a heavy heart, that I must stand unequivocally with my ‘ohana on Mauna-a-Wākea. As the first and last true guardians and caretakers of that wahi pana, their rights to protect, engage, and maintain the great heiau of creation is being violated by the ill-advised, and disregarding leadership of the University. The University has failed to properly represent its motto. It has failed to represent its Hawaiian students. It has failed to represent Hawai‘i as a place of indigenous learning. It has failed and will continue to do so until it dispels the notion that its academic facade make it ethically superior, that the science it supports is the science of destruction, and that it has the world’s best intentions behind its endeavors.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners, as well as our lāhui at large, and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

These proposed rules are yet another instance of inadequacy from the University. It is imperative that they seek to indefinitely suspend their engagement with the desecration of Maunakea. I will oppose any UH referendum until it protects, in its entirety, the rights of Kanaka and of Maunakea.

Americans did not compromise when they stole our government. We will not compromise when we protect our land.

He mea liʻiliʻi ka mālama ʻāina ana. He mea liʻiliʻi ka hoʻolohoe ana i ka poʻe Hawaiʻi. Ua pau kēia hewa a ‘oukou, e nā malihini. He hullihia kēia, ua mākaukau?

E mau ka ʻāina i ka pono.

Me ke Aloha,  
Dryden Kūʻehuikapono Seto Myers  
Undergraduate  
Stanford University
Sincerely,
Dryden Myers
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Ruth Jane Naeole
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Aina Paikai

Sincerely,

Aina Paikai
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Kaydee Park <Kaulanap17@gmail.com>  
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To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kaydee Park
Aloha kākou and thank you for letting me have a voice at this meeting. I write as an astronomer actively working on Maunakea for the past 8 years. I feel deeply connected to this community, this island I now call home. Hawaii nei punches well above our weight in terms of scientific discovery across a number of disciplines above and beyond astronomy. This is a place and a community I am proud to be a part of.

What I see outlined here deeply concerns me. I am part of the generation who wants to pursue astronomy in a way that is beneficial to all, moving forward with your help. I understand you want to improve the management of Maunakea. I am excited to hear that, but this plan needs work. Work to ensure a successful future for the management of Maunakea for all.

My deepest concern is setting up the Board of Regents and the astronomical community to fail.

CSO decommissioning must be done right. It must be done right by the land, right by the conservationists and right by the community. CSO needs to be decommissioned. But the decommissioning of any observatory must be undertaken in the right way. The right way will take time. But we must take that time. CSO is the first Maunakea observatory to be decommissioned and so leads the way. We must ensure time is given to decommission CSO to the best of our abilities. What is proposed here is not feasible. - there is no contingency. Either the CSO decommissioning will be rushed and it will fail in terms of critical site restoration: this is wrong. Or the decommissioning will be late - reflecting poorly on the management.

I request you seek input from CSO and the current Maunakea Observatories on the timeline for CSO decommissioning.

Of additional concern is the boards apparent lack of understanding of the science undertaken by the current telescopes on Maunakea.

Decommissioning may well be in the future for some observatories. But why 2 why 3 why 4 why 5? where did these arbitrary numbers come from? Many friends on this island tell me their desire is better management. Not the removal of the existing scientifically productive observatories. With the exception of CSO and the Hokukea telescope every observatory on Maunakea is a beacon of light to the astronomical world, pushing the boundaries of our scientific understanding of our Universe. The result of the image of Pōwehi was a powerful demonstration of how these telescopes are very much at the cutting edge of science.

UKIRT is a prime example of a telescope producing high demand science. UKIRT is one of the most productive ground based telescope in the world for the past number of years, with quantitative numbers to back this up. Why cut her down when she is in her prime? why send any currently productive telescope to the cutting block?

The telescopes on Maunakea, as separate instruments they are cutting edge world renowned in their own right, in terms of their engineering, technology and science, together they are the greatest orchestra on earth. Together we unlock the music of the heavens.

Please I ask you slow down, remain humble and keep questioning. When it is time to decommission, take the time to do it in the right way. When a telescope is thriving allow it to continue to thrive for the pride of our community.

Thank you for your time.
Aloha
Harriet Parsons, Astronomer and Resident of Hilo, Hawaii.
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Cesili Peralto
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Julia Peralto
Testimony Opposing the
Misleading and Sanctimonious Approaches to
Maunakea Governance and Rules.

November 6, 2019

TO: The University of Hawai‘i Board of Regents
Sent Via Email Attachment to: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

FR: Kekailoa Perry, KCHS

I am Kekailoa Perry, a citizen of the Hawaiian Kingdom and Associate Professor at Kamakakūokalani Center for Hawaiian Studies. I oppose the BOR agenda item III.A. relating to the final report and resolution on Maunakea management and item III.B. relating to the adoption of administrative rules for Maunakea lands.

A COMMENTARY ON THE ABUSE OF PROCESS.

First, I want to pose a few questions and statements relating to the BOR decision making process and its negative impact on our communities:

• When Board of Regents meetings become pro forma and when regents already know the outcome before the vote, Board meetings are reduced to theatrical events that violate the public's trust in open and impartial decision making processes. Knowing this, how concerned is the BOR with the Maunakea protector testimonies presented today? How carefully will you listen? What kind of confidence should our community place in a BOR process where irresponsible decision making is a normal practice?
• What should a person do when items on the BOR agenda are foregone conclusions?
• Does the BOR believe your decisions are ethical and responsive to the community when you use policies and process as tools of oppression, domination and deception, and when that practice silences specific people and communities?
• The BOR uses the open meeting process as a club to quiet Hawaiian and Maunakea protector concerns or as a cage to corral and minimize the community’s impassioned stories. When the BOR decisions negatively impact the wellbeing of those communities does the BOR experience any empathy? Or, are you relieved that the process silenced our voices?

I want you all to know that I am expecting the BOR to be responsible to our community, but having watched you work, I doubt you are capable of responsible decision making on Maunakea. In the past several months you have been silent and non-responsive to our concerns. Instead, you use the public comment time to dismiss us and disengage from your meeting responsibilities. I want to believe that you will deny the temptation to ignore our voices, but watching you work, I doubt you have the capacity to hear beyond your own pro-TMT affirmations. I want to be encouraged by a fair and impartial decision making process, but I have watched you take steps to undermine our trust on so many issues -- the TMT being the most egregious and dishonorable example.

The BOR has shown our community a lack of compassion and empathy by using the public comment process to bottle up our voices and suppress our concerns. Your process is tiring and creates no reasonable time to meaningfully consult with the community. For example, your MIG was exempt from sunshine law and offered a unique opportunity to consult with us, yet you chose not to. In short, the BOR’s overall management of the Maunakea issues are demeaning to Hawaiians and a disgraceful breach of your trust responsibilities.
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ITEM III.A. - THE BOR MIG REPORT AND RESOLUTION IS A SANCTIMONIOUS APPROACH TO MAUNAKEA MANAGEMENT THAT DEBASES THE HAWAIIAN AND LOCAL COMMUNITIES PROTECTING MAUNAKEA.

(1) The BOR MIG report states that Maunakea is “a special place to all Hawai‘i, and that Native Hawaiian cultural practices need to be acknowledged in planning for the use of Maunakea.” The statement maintains colonial-like domination and control over any meaningful participation by the Native Hawaiian community stakeholders. The dubious appointment and involvement of “Hawaiian” individuals or advisory groups by the BOR, OMKM and the UH President exacerbates the concern that the BOR has no real intention of working with the practitioners and protectors representing the larger Hawaiian community. No effort is made in the report or the proposed resolution to engage with these important stakeholder communities. No commitment is made to purposely empower the practitioners and protectors of Maunakea with voting and decision making powers in the proposed process. In short, the BOR MIG report and resolution lacks substance and integrity by continuing to relegate Hawaiians as invisible bystanders in the management process.

(2) The BOR MIG report recognizes the University of Hawai‘i’s past and present mismanagement of Maunakea, but provides no clear directive to the UH administration, Office of Maunakea Management, state agencies and private partners on the actual care and protection of the mauna.

(3) The BOR MIG report claims to understand the issues affecting Hawaiians. But, the BOR MIG report and resolution oversimplifies the Hawaiian concerns for Maunakea by calling it a “symbol” of Hawaiian self-determination. Relegating the Hawaiian relationship with Maunakea as politically “symbolic” is ignorant and dismisses the more complex issues clearly expressed by the protectors on Maunakea.

(4) The BOR claims to have the ultimate responsibility to “carryout” the directives of the Mauna Kea Reserve Master Plan and several other management plans developed since 2000. However, the BOR MIG completely ignores the BOR’s constitutional obligation to responsibly carryout the protection and perpetuation of Hawaiian traditional and customary practices, including religious beliefs, on Maunakea. That glaring omission negates the BOR MIG assertion that the future management will be conducted fairly and justly.

(5) The BOR MIG says that the BOR is governed by several plans including: the Mauna Kea Science Reserve Master Plan (2000), Mauna Kea Comprehensive Management Plan UH Management Areas (2009), A Cultural Resources Management Plan for the University of Hawai‘i Management Areas on Mauna Kea (2009), Natural Resources Management Plan for the UH Management Areas on Mauna Kea (2009), Public Access Plan for the UH Management areas on Mauna Kea (2010). The plans incorporate TMT sublease agreements and the MK long term reports, among others, that specifically outline the development of the TMT on Maunakea. Therefore, the BOR MIG supports the development of the TMT without openly stating that fact in the BOR MIG report or resolution. The fact that the resolution makes no mention of the TMT is deceptive and misleading.

(6) The BOR MIG resolution supports building the TMT and other facilities on Maunakea shamelessly plugging scholarships, facility sharing and programs as a quid pro quo for future development support before consulting with the cultural practitioners protecting the mauna. Bribery should not be a functional part of any plan or resolution!
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The BOR MIG report claims that it will “collectively do better with regard to efficiency, effectiveness, and transparency in the functional structure of Maunakea management” and claims that it will include multiple stakeholders. The report fails to identify native Hawaiian community stakeholders or UH Hawaiian community stakeholders but does identify OMKM as leaders in the process. OMKM’s lack of proper management, transparency, and inclusiveness is a direct cause of the tension and damage to cultural sites on Maunakea. OMKM’s illegal behavior and sullied reputation makes the BOR MIG’s promise to “do better” suspect and untrustworthy.

The BOR MIG report and resolution presumes that the BOR will “bridge” the gaps between faculty and students who “stand up for their beliefs” and astronomers who have “brought great distinction to the University.” The BOR MIG report and resolution statement redeploys negative, disgraceful tropes that maintain Western imperialistic hierarchies elevating the contributions of astronomy (“science”) over individual beliefs (“culture”). To maintain such shallow and disgraceful racialized profiles corrupts the proposed process.

The BOR MIG report and resolution suggests the Maunakea Science Reserve might be better served if transferred to another governmental authority. The BOR MIG discounts the honest, culturally sound and skillful management of the protectors on Maunakea as a managing authority for Maunakea. The lack of foresight by the BOR MIG to include such valuable and skilled stakeholders in the management demonstrates BOR’s intent to distance the Hawaiian community from meaningful management and decision making power.

The BOR MIG report and resolution are incredible disappointments. As a result, they lack any serious or material changes to the existing plans and projected uses on Maunakea. The report and resolution do appear to have one dangerous function. It supports Mayor Harry Kim’s plan and therefore may be used to justify the removal and arrests of the protectors on Maunakea by law enforcement.

The BOR MIG report and accompanying resolution are being pushed forward while issues relating to the unhealthy campus climate and racism on the University campuses are treated carelessly with feckless resolutions that are littered with coded words mimicking some of the worst forms of cultural appropriation since the US occupation. In 2019, the University of Hawai‘i should know that the indiscriminate flaunting of phrases like “ho‘oponopono” and “spirit of aloha” or “aloha spirit” is perverse. Ho‘oponopono is an intensive cultural process designed to forge commitments and/or express separation. Western academics and law claim the term for dispute resolution purposes that are weak, superficial expressions of the actual cultural process. Research on the corrosive function of the term “spirit of aloha” is widely known. And, most credible Hawaiian scholars would conclude that using the term “spirit of aloha” in resolutions like this will not only incense Hawaiians but cause more tension and trauma. In cases of racism and racial discrimination, the laws are quite clear.

ITEM III.B. - THE PROPOSED MAUNAKEA RULES OUTLINED IN CHAPTER 20-26 MUST BE REJECTED FOR FAILURE TO ADEQUATELY AND MEANINGFULLY ADDRESS THE CONCERNS OF HAWAIIAN CULTURAL PRACTITIONERS AND FOR PROMOTING THE CULTURAL DEGRADATION OF HAWAIIAN LIFE.

The November 6, 2019 memorandum and report provided by UH Hilo Chancellor Bonnie Irwin is disingenuous and a grotesque mischaracterization of the process justifying the approval of the rules. The memo falsely claims that the rule review went through a fair and judicious process. Ignoring the fact that Chancellor Irwin did not significantly participate in the process,
we know that there was no effort made to meaningfully consult and engage the Hawaiian and protector communities who are directly affected by the rules. Instead, the University, OMKM, TMT and other administrative agencies reinforced the oppressive provisions of the rules even when a large majority of testimonies objected. Similarly, Regent Acoba’s report embraces the tenor of Chancellor Irwin’s memo by ignoring the glaring abuses and biased rulemaking in this process. In short, Regent Acoba and Chancellor Irwin’s faulty logic and mischaracterizations highlight the University of Hawai‘i’s ongoing failure to govern and manage Maunakea.

(13) The proposed amended rules are a thinly veiled attack on the State of Hawai‘i’s constitutional obligation to protect Native Hawaiian Traditional and Customary rights and all public trust lands and resources. The UH Board of Regents, UH President and all of the management authorities designated to management Mauna Kea are bound by the State of Hawai‘i Constitution and will be violating those duties and responsibilities with the implementation of these rules.

(14) In my previous testimonies on September 28, 2018 and June 3, 2019 I told the BOR committee that the proposed rules were “documents of barbarism” because they wrongly delegate constitutional duties and power to a single, biased and uninformed University administrator to judge the legitimacy and probative value of all traditional and customary activities on Mauna Kea. The rules also empower and authorize various levels of law enforcement – both public and contracted – to be the sole arbiter of Native Hawaiian traditional and customary practices on Mauna Kea creating the real potential of illegally infringing on those constitutionally protected rights.

(15) The proposed amended rules are documents of racism and barbarism because they entangle constitutionally protected uses in a series of contradictory and tortuous permitting regimes that are located in several different agencies making the permit process prohibitive and restrictive to the exercise of traditional and customary rights on Mauna Kea.

(16) The proposed rules reject the University’s commitment to a Hawaiian Place of Learning and will allow the UH and State to absolve themselves of any injustices arising from present or future acts of domination over Native Hawaiians. In short, the proposed rules are a thinly veiled attack on Native Hawaiian religion, culture and political self-governance that will have a direct negative impact on our Hawaiian communities.

(17) The proposed procedures and associated violations in the rules demonstrate the true intent of the University and Office of Mauna Kea Management to selectively regulate, enforce and prosecute anyone whose cultural identity and beliefs do not fit the economic and political uses desired by the University, State, TMT and some in the science community.

(18) According to HRS 304-1903 relating to Maunakea lands and rules, the BOR “shall... consult with the office of Hawaiian affairs to ensure that these rules shall not affect any right, customarily and traditionally exercised” in accordance with the Hawai‘i State Constitution, Article XII, section 7. OHA’s testimony clearly states that they do not support the current draft of the proposed section 20-26 rules. There is also doubt whether OHA was properly consulted here. Therefore, the rules must be rejected and voided for failure to properly adhere to the law.

(19) Section 20-26-3(3)(f) relating to “traditional and customary rights” pledges conditional support for the constitutional rights of native Hawaiians while simultaneously imposing undue and possibly unconstitutional restrictions on all constitutionally protected practices. The rules suggest that Mauna Kea is a wahi pana but allows for actions and activities to be permitted in
direct conflict with the wahi pana function. Similarly, the proposed rule provides no such condition or restriction relative to the Astronomy and “scientific” uses on the Mauna.

(20) The permitting categories outline in §§20-26-61 to 70 are poorly contrived and vague in its application. The permitting rules wrongly equate the University and State’s affirmative duty to protect Native Hawaiian traditional and customary practices under Art. XII§7 to general public and commercial activities. The public and commercial uses are privileged uses while Native Hawaiian traditional and customary uses are rights fully protected by the constitution. The various uses for public and private functions are mutually exclusive from the constitutionally protected Native Hawaiian rights outlined in the constitution.

(21) The rules allow for a blanket exemption of all telescope activities above all other activities like traditional and customary practices. Telescopes, therefore, resemble progress while traditional and customary practices are relegated to museum like amusement. In other words, build and develop telescopes but limit any functional use of traditional and customary practices like restoring sacred sites and building ahu. I reject this philosophy and the rules that support it.

(22) Under HRS Chapter 304A-1904 relating to violations and penalties, the BOR must consult with the Office of Hawaiian Affairs before setting any assessments and violations for the rules. OHA has consistently opposed the current rules and was therefore not supportive of the fines as written which make that part of the rules void for failure to comply with the law.

(23) The fines and enforcement outlined in section 20-26-71 through 74 are excessive and punish activities like traditional and customary practices by criminalizing anyone who exercises their cultural practices that have not conceded their rights to the President or his designee. The fines and permitting process is also clearly intended to punish any practitioner who exercises their constitutional right to protect their religious and cultural resources from destruction by the University. Moreover, the permits and enforcement do not identify how the University will punish its own staff and designees who violate the constitutionally protected rights of native Hawaiians through the mismanagement of the area or mistreatment of Hawaiian practitioners.

(24) The rules allow for a single appointed individual of the University of Hawai‘i to unilaterally make decisions that impact the use and activity on the Mauna. Such an action is unconstitutional as it delegates the Article XII§7 duties and responsibilities of the State to the President of the University who has yet to accept any fiduciary responsibility for the lands already poorly managed by the UH.

(25) This entire process is flawed as it does not sincerely allow for full review and discussion of the important constitutional issues that will be negatively affected if the current draft rules are approved. In fact, approval of these present rules amounts to a modern form of cultural imperialism couched in the law and disguised as a legitimate, democratically derived public policy.

(26) Therefore, I object to the OMKM proposed rules. The UH BOR must scrap the rules and engage in real, transparent consultation with the protectors of the Mauna, the Hawaiian community whose rights will be directly impacted by such rules, and all Hawaiians on all the UH campuses before further action is considered.

(27) I also concur with the arguments outlined in the KAHEA testimony and generally agree with the Hawaiian traditional and customary assessments of OHA’s testimony.
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CONCLUSION.

The BOR proposed rules (item III.A.) and resolution (item III.B.) avoids meaningful public engagement and hides the University’s failure to responsibly manage conservation public trust lands. Significantly, the approval of these measures will demonstrate the BOR’s indifference and utter disregard to protecting the constitutional rights of native Hawaiians. Approval of the proposed rules and resolution is an abuse of power, damages the public trust and undermines the traditional and customary rights of native Hawaiians.

I am reminded of the words of Robert Nester Marley who said that “until the philosophy that holds one race superior than another, is finally, and permanently, discredited and abandoned, ... that until the basic human rights are equally guaranteed to all without regard to race, this is a war.” The BOR’s passage of these measure today will signal a final shift for our communities. We are encouraging fairness and mutual respect, what we are receiving is an irresponsive and adversarial BOR. Until the day that the BOR finds the courage to honor your trust duties and work with those in the Hawaiian community who are directly affected by any action on Maunakea, your hope for a resolution on this matter will remain an unattainable and fleeting illusion.
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Leann Peterson <Lhpete@gmail.com>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 11:19 PM

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Leann Peterson
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Steve Pukini
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
1 message

Kekoa Quinabo <Kquibs@gmail.com>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 8:31 PM
Reply-To: Kquibs@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kekoa Quinabo
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Chrisann Rabanes

Sincerely,

Chrisann Rabanes
Dear BOR,

I am writing to request the removal of the prohibition on bicycles above the visitor's center on Mauna Kea. I see that multiple people submitted comments opposing this bicycle ban, but none of these comments were addressed in the revised rules! I don't see that anyone supported this prohibition. I see no reason or support for it. There is no need to subject a cyclist to an onerous permit process to ride on a road that a car doesn't need a permit to drive on, and a person doesn't need a permit to walk on. There is no more danger from a cyclist than a hiker, and far less danger than a vehicle! In addition, a $2500 fine is inordinately excessive. That is more than the fine for driving off road! Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer S. Real, MD
Opposition to Agenda Items IIIA and IIIB

Kathie Reis <Kaneohe5@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Kaneohe5@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai‘i

Re: Opposition to Agenda Items IIIA and IIIB  

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Kathie Reis
I fully agree with these comments originally written by Jason K. Chu.

- The Resolution fails to acknowledge the Institute for Astronomy’s and MKO’s substantial, positive impacts on the University of Hawai‘i, State, and broader national and international community.

- The Resolution fails to clarify that its proposed decommissioning of five telescopes is contingent on construction of the Thirty Meter Telescope. As written, if TMT is not built in Hawaii, it appears the University would still commit to decommissioning five telescopes, including three that are highly productive. This would be a major blow to Hawai‘i’s position as a global leader in astronomy, diminish the Institute for Astronomy, and result in job losses for the Big Island community.

- When it comes to reorganization of the management functions, the MKOs are a primary funder of MKSS and stakeholder in management of the summit. Reorganization would have major consequences to observatory operations and MKOs should be consulted.

- MKO staff members are an integral part of the Big Island community. Decommissioning telescopes affects the livelihood of people on Hawai‘i Island and input from the observatories, their staff and the wider community should be considered before any resolution is adopted.

- The MKOs have significant, substantive input that should be considered and incorporated into the Resolution before the board adopts the resolution.

-L.J. Remillard
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Lizzie Renaud <Elkmrenaud@gmail.com>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 7:04 PM

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely, Lizzie Renaud

Sincerely,
Lizzie Renaud
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Dale Robins <Wrobins1975@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Wrobins1975@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Dale Robins
Dear University of Hawai‘i/OMKM Representatives,

It is with great regret that, having previously submitted comments on the initial and revised proposed management rules for Mauna Kea, it is clear that a minimal response was made to public testimony on these rules over the lengthy period of consideration. While several of the changes represent an improvement, in my humble opinion, a careful analysis of the Revised Rules continues to reveal a shuffling of language that amounts to a kind of shell game: the essential problems of the original rules remain and must be rectified. Please note: My comments here are directed at the Proposed Rules, and I will not be addressing the recently released Resolutions. These should be addressed in another hearing with more time to consider and prepare testimony; this is yet another instance of UH?BLNR putting the “cart before the horse.”

The following are my specific comments on revisions that were made and those that still need to be completed to the Proposed Rules in order to fulfill the mandate of Chapter 5 of the Hawai‘i Revised Statutes (§ 5-7.5 "Aloha Spirit"): 

1. ARTICLE XII SECTION 7; TRADITIONAL AND CUSTOMARY PRACTICES

The deletion of HAR §20-26-21 does not solve the central issue I, and many others, noted to be at stake in this section: The onus should not be on religious and spiritual practitioners of Hawaiian traditions to obtain permits in order to engage in traditional and customary practices. If Article XII Section 7 of the Hawai‘i State Constitution is to honor the intentions of the legislators who created it and if it is to have any real meaning beyond aspirational language, this section should be retained and reworded to reflect a commitment to the protection of these rights in very specific terms. Instead, the centrality of these protections to management rules is left to a generalized assumption that somehow, in the end, these practices will get protected. As noted in the “Summary to Revisions/Revision Notes” section: “Regardless of whether it is explicitly stated, these rules are subject to the right of native Hawaiians to exercise protected customary and traditional rights…” (italics mine)

I would advise rewording and including this section as a strong statement committing the University of Hawai‘i and BLNR to upholding constitutional commitments in the spirit of Article XII section 7, specifying that all Proposed Rules should be viewed through this lens of legal and moral integrity for Native Hawaiian culture. Such a commitment would be an enormous step forward in providing direction to those charged with implementing the Rules in daily management. (For a potential real-life example, see the example of Protector 1 in Section 4 of this letter).

2. PRESIDENTIAL DESIGNEE/DEFINITION OF PRESIDENT

The rhetorical shell game to which I alluded could not be more apparent than in a close reading of the rules regarding the “president designee.” I, among many others, was concerned about who would hold the enormous authority over sanctions and penalties for violations, as well as who would be charged with controlling access to the upper sections of the Mauna (via a
proposed gate and generalized closures for construction and “public safety”). Instead of actually resolving this issue by recognizing the problems inherent to delegating presidential authority, the definition of “President” has now changed to include anyone the president or the board designates as her/his representative (HAR 20-26-2). This mechanism of delegation must be more carefully and thoughtfully considered and specified considering the enormous stakes entailed (again, please see an entirely imaginable Case Study I provide in Section 4).

3. CELL PHONE USAGE

The regulations around cell phones above Halepohaku (in “the most sensitive areas” according to the Summary) represent another administrative sleight of hand. The Summary states: “Clarified that cell phone use and use of flashlights is allowed.” Let’s read together what HAR 20-26-23 actually states in its purported attempt to address the largely negative response noted in the first comment period. While the Summary clearly states that cell phones use is allowed, the actual Revised Rules limit cell phones on cellular networks, forbid one to transmit a live traditional and customary practice via cellular data or use one’s phone to transmit real time photos or messages in a non-emergency situation. Prohibited activities currently include:

1. Using any radio transmitter, including but not limited to two-way radios, Wi-Fi and Bluetooth devices, and cellular telephones; provided that, **cellular telephones may be used for emergency purposes or when radio transmission is suspended, for example by using airplane mode**; (emphasis mine)
2. Conducting any other activity that materially interferes with the scientific and educational operations of the astronomical facilities or research equipment or with the protection of the scientific resources.

Thus, in my plain reading of this text, one may indeed use a cellphone as a flashlight or in an emergency situation, but the phone **must be in airplane mode** and **must not interfere with the telescopes or their construction in any way**. It is deceptive to state, as the Summary clearly does, that “cell phone use...is allowed” in response to the previous comment period.

Further, returning to my initial comments on framing the Rules with a clear focus on respecting and protecting traditional and customary practices (see (1)), there should be a clear statement in this statute that recognizes cell phone technology as a conveyor, facilitator, and participatory mechanism in such practices. A cursory glance at social media demonstrates the role of live-streaming feeds for local and international engagement in spiritual and religious practices at sacred sites around the world. The regulations should be written with an understanding of the spiritual significance that Kepa Maly, a member of the MK Advisory Board, preciently argued for in his early ethnographies of Mauna Kea. Then again, the Rules may in fact be written with the clear goal of stopping all means of public scrutiny and communication amongst Mauna Protectors. This speculation, then, returns us to my comment on “the president:” Who will decide what is a legitimate emergency use of a cell phone? If the President and/or designated officials decide that a use conflicts with scientific and educational “resources” on the Mauna, how can any use for traditional and customary practice be held legitimate?

**And how, practically, will airplane mode be enforced?**

4. Practical Ramifications of the Proposed Civil Fines (Exhibit A)
Even after reading the Revised Rules, I remain convinced that their primary goal is to criminalize public, spiritually guided protest around the construction of the TMT project. As I stated in my comments on the Original Proposed Rules, I believe with full sincerity that the State of Hawai‘i has been a beacon in this depressing world: The conceptual and practical promise represented by aloha, kapu aloha, aloha ‘aina, and kuleana contain the elements of a paradigm shift our larger world must engage if we are to survive in a diverse and loving world. Representatives from the state of Hawai‘i have bravely challenged federal policies that sought to ban ethnic communities from entering our country, have paved the way for federal recognition of same-sex marriage, and have advanced policies for protecting Native Hawaiian culture far beyond what any other U.S. state has done (see Article 12, Section 7; see the mandate of Burial Councils in protecting iwi kupuna; see the widespread environmental movements which seek to emulate best-practices for a sustainable world and healthy ocean/land ecosystem).

But the conflict over the TMT project threatens to destroy much of this historical legacy. Read in its entirety, the management rules clearly seek to stifle protest and protection around construction by incarcerating (literally and financially) those who passionately hold Mauna Kea sacred. The penalties set forth for violations are extreme, and will quickly have the effect of decimating already precarious household finances within a few days. First violations could quickly multiply and compound.

A cursory glance at the fine table does not allow for a real-world contemplation of the seriousness with which these regulations could affect non-violent people with deeply held religious convictions. Though this may be an arduous exercise, I ask the reader to please consider the following carefully. Please imagine a hypothetical practitioner of Hawaiian traditional and customary practices who responds to a social media call that ahus built to honor Mauna Kea have been destroyed and construction vehicles are beginning to ascend the Access Road. While there are a myriad of charges that could be filed against Protector 1 (using tobacco, playing frisbee, throwing a snowball at her son), for this experiment I will only outline the most obvious violations according to the Proposed Rule Exhibit A Fine Structure. It is important to note that these are fines for the civil violations outlined under the Proposed Rules. Any criminal charges filed could and likely would increase the financial burden of Protector 1.

Let’s assume Protector 1 is arrested for endangering public safety while chanting in the road, cited for placing offerings where the ahu had stood, issued a ticket for refusing the request of an officer to leave the site of the destroyed ahu, given a citation for assembling in a group larger than 10 without a permit—-which they were not able to obtain due to assumed protest intent or due to the unexpected arrival of construction vehicles—and issued a violation for using her cellphone to livestream group rituals as they unfold. And we could imagine that her car was towed after parking lower on the Mauna in a “non-designated area,” resulting in towing fines and an automobile citation that her mom will be responsible for under HAR 20-26-74 5(c) as the owner of the vehicle, whose consent to use her truck is “implied.” Then, when our hypothetical protector engages in similar conduct the next day, these citations, while deemed “continuous,” will still amass the same fine each 24-hour period.

Protector 1 returns the next weekend for a gathering of kupuna and other Hawaiian religious practitioners marching past the newly installed closed gate, where prayers and chants are again offered. The arrests this weekend are more confrontational, though peaceful: prior to
arrest, Protestor 1 lies flat on the ground tied to other protestors, leading to a civil charge of Interfering with a Governmental Function. These and the other citations are now, one week later, considered Second Violations under the Fee Table. Finally, Protector 1 makes a pilgrimage on her own to the Mauna one month later at dawn to pray in an effort to allay the harm she believes has desecrated Mauna Kea. She chants to find strength to remain in kapu aloha as she has realized that she must fight the citations or face possible bankruptcy, food scarcity, or the inability to continue her education. She firmly believes that no ranger will ticket her for engaging in this private and sacred effort to heal the wounds she feels to be present at the construction site. She is gravely wrong in this assumption, leading to several charges at the highest level of Third Violation.

Choosing to challenge these charges because she believes she is asserting her rights as a practitioner of traditional and customary practices under Article 12 Section 7 of the State Constitution, and because she simply cannot afford the fines, she opts for a “formal process” (HAR 20-26-75 (b)(2); under this statute, a contested case hearing must be held which may be adjudicated by the president/president’s designee or a hearing officer. She is ultimately found to be guilty on all violations and according to 20-26-73 (4) and (6d), she is held financially responsible for the entirety of law enforcement and contested case proceedings. Again, I encourage the reader to imagine the future Protector 1 will face when all the fines are held valid and the fees for a contested case (in the thousands of dollars I would assume) are deemed her responsibility.

To break down this hypothetical protestors fines, I have provided the minimum and maximum amount she could be levied.

Protestor 1 Fines
First Violations:
Parking outside a designated area (20-26-28(4)): Min 50-Max 400
Making offerings at the site of a destroyed ahu (20-26-21): Min 50- Max 400
Using her cellphone not in airplane mode (20-26-33(5)): Min 50-Max 400
Public Safety Violation for chanting in the road as a group: (20-26-34): Max 2500
Refusing to leave the site after being asked (20-26-22): Max 2500
Walking past the gate after the President had closed the area above Halepohaku (20-26-38): Max 2500
Failure to obtain a group permit (20-26-62): Max 2500
Interference of governmental function (20-26-41): Max 2500

Total Estimated Fines:

FIRST DAY OF PROTEST FEES
   MINIMUM: (using a lower figure of $300 for discretionary fines where no minimum stipulated, calculated by applying a similar proportional value where minimum and maximum fines are specified): $1350
   MAXIMUM: $11,200
SECOND DAY OF PROTEST FEES
(the car has now been towed, and the violations are “continuous” and are not subject to 2nd violation fee structure)

MINIMUM: 1300
MAXIMUM: 10,800

Estimating the costs of impounding a vehicle to be at least $1000, the protestor’s mother would be legally responsible for this amount due to the car being owned by her.

THIRD DAY OF PROTEST FEES
Using her cellphone not in airplane mode (20-26-33(5)): Min 50-Max 400
Walking past the gate after the President had closed the area above Halepohaku (20-26-38 (3d)): Max 5000
Refusing to leave the site after being asked (20-26-22): Max 5000
Failure to obtain a group permit (20-26-62): Max 5000
Interference of governmental function (20-26-41) Max 5000

MINIMUM (assumed 50% of max due to repeat nature of violation): $10,200
MAXIMUM: $20,400

FOURTH DAY OF CIVIL FEES
Using her cellphone not in airplane mode (20-26-33(5)): Min 50-Max 400
Refusing to leave the site after being asked (20-26-22): Max 10,000
Interference of Governmental Function (20-26-41) Max 10,000

MINIMUM (assumed 50% of max due to repeat nature of violation): 10,400
MAXIMUM: 20,400

Total fines for Protector 1, excluding vehicle towing fees and contested case hearing fees:

MINIMUM: 23,250
MAXIMUM: 62,800

I have asked the reader to imagine this scenario not to engage in hyperbole or to question the necessity for better management of Mauna Kea. I have sincerely tried to imagine a real-world scenario without inflated charges; furthermore, I have used an example where Protector 1 only engages in 3 total days of group ritual protest; one could reasonably assume that a Protector might participate in more than three events over a five year period.

5. Intention of Proposed Rules

The purpose of the preceding exercise is to emphasize once again two fundamental points that must be recognized before proceeding with this entire Proposed Rule process:
1. These rules exist to prevent and effectively criminalize ritually-engaged protest regarding the TMT on Mauna Kea and
2. These rules will have enormous, life-altering consequences for many members of the Hawai‘i community, most especially for Native Hawaiians and those who maintain traditional and customary practices on Mauna Kea.

6. **Reserved Statutes Unacceptable**

Given the wide-ranging effect of these management rules, as well as the mistrust that has been fomented by egregious management practices over the last decades, it is not acceptable to reserve statutory space (HAR 20-26-53 to 20-26-60 and HAR 20-26-68 to 20-68-70) for provisions that the public has had no chance to view or comment upon. These should be removed or the content should be made available for comment prior to being incorporated.

7. **§ 5-7.5 "Aloha Spirit"**

To remind readers, the text of this statute reads:

§ 5-7.5 "Aloha Spirit". (a) "Aloha Spirit" is the coordination of mind and heart within each person. It brings each person to the self. Each person must think and emote good feelings to others. In the contemplation and presence of the life force, "Aloha", the following *unuhi laulā lōa* may be used:

- "Akahai", meaning kindness to be expressed with tenderness;
- "Lōkahi", meaning unity, to be expressed with harmony;
- "Olu'olu" meaning agreeable, to be expressed with pleasantness;
- "Ha'aha'a", meaning humility, to be expressed with modesty;
- "Ahonui", meaning patience, to be expressed with perseverance.

These are traits of character that express the charm, warmth and sincerity of Hawaii’s people. *It was the working philosophy of native Hawaiians and was presented as a gift to the people of Hawai‘i. "Aloha" is more than a word of greeting or farewell or a salutation. "Aloha" means mutual regard and affection and extends warmth in caring with no obligation in return. "Aloha" is the essence of relationships in which each person is important to every other person for collective existence." Aloha" means to hear what is not said, to see what cannot be seen and to know the unknowable.*

(b) In exercising their power on behalf of the people and in fulfillment of their responsibilities, obligations and service to the people, the legislature, governor, lieutenant governor, executive officers of each department, the chief justice, associate justices, and judges of the appellate, circuit, and district courts may contemplate and reside with the life force and give consideration to the "Aloha Spirit". [L 1986, c 202, § 1] (Italics mine)

I present this aspirational statute in its entirety because I believe, as a legal anthropologist who has studied the creation and application of laws across cultures, that it represents a beautiful and unique moment in the history of law and indigenous people. While astronomers, a few of whom may indeed be Native Hawaiian, may claim “to see what cannot be seen and to know the unknowable,” it is the broader native Hawaiian community that must be consulted and consent to this project before it should proceed (see italics in the statute). Consultation and consent has not occurred, and to criminalize precisely this “Spirit of Aloha” is as egregious as North Dakota’s approach to DAPL protestors. Hawai‘i can, and should, do so much better.
Sincerely,
Kari Robinson (signed via electronic signature)
(303) 513-8588
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Shayna Deponte Rodrigues
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

KawaiLehua Santiago
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Yuri Scott
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Gabrielle Kahaulelio Sedore
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Jun Shin
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Michael Silverton
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Charles Simeona
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Micah Stephenson
Aloha nui kākou,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

naʻu me ka haʻahʻa’a,
na Kainalu Steward
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
1 message

Kanoelani Steward <ksteward@hawaii.edu>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 9:02 PM
Reply-To: ksteward@hawaii.edu
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai'i

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kanoelani Steward
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Matt Terte <mattterte@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: mattterte@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai'i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Matt Terte
Aloha,

My name is Andrea Waiters and I am the President of the University Astrophysics Club, and also a Senior at UH Hilo, majoring in Astronomy and Physics. The Hawaiian islands have been my home for over 8 years now, and throughout my time at UH Hilo, I have volunteered with TMT several times, and have seen first hand how much this organization has strived to provide outreach and educational opportunities to our community. I believe that the Thirty Meter Telescope should be built, and will allow Hawaii’s people to work and experience a next generation world class telescope.

I have also participated in community events such as Astroday, and Journey Through the Universe. These are events where Observatory personnel hold special days where community members can learn different science topics in fun, hands on ways. I have seen that these events inspire children, and create a desire to explore in adults. The astronomy community on Hawaii island are dedicated to share their knowledge with the community. Being apart of this community has inspired me to strive further in my studies, and to achieve academic goals that I once thought were impossible. The observatories on Mauna Kea are vital to the scientific community, and allow the youth of Hawaii to have hope that they can work in a field that involves astronomy, without having to leave the state they love.

Mahalo for your time,

Andrea Waiters
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Welaahilani W?hilani <samuelww@hawaii.edu>  Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 4:52 PM
Reply-To: samuelww@hawaii.edu
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai'i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Welaahilani W?hilani
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Makua Woodside
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Tisha Woytenko <Tishaspersonalemail@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Tishaspersonalemail@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawaii

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Tisha Woytenko
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Teri Wright <teri46w@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: teri46w@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to vehemently oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will negatively and adversely impact constitutionally protected rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I vehemently oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will trample upon the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and should not be used to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from exercising traditional and customary practices (especially when said practices are constitutionally recognized and protected).

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to apply to Mauna Kea due to its unique cultural and historical significance. Moreover, the proposed rules would be in direct contravention to the histories and events that led to the creation of the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We strongly urge you to stop the promulgation of the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Teri Wright

Sincerely,

Teri Wright
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Nicole Young <nicoley314@gmail.com>
Reply-To: nicoley314@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai’i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility. I cannot ignore that the UNIVERSITY is not reflecting an institution that protects and support Hawaiians and their culture. It's absolutely corrupt and outrageous. UH must STAND UP FOR HAWAII. RESIDENTS NEED TO RESPECT THAT UH SHOULD FOCUS ON HAWAII AND NATIVE HAWAIIANS, AND THEIR CULTURAL RIGHTS.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The Mauna Kea is sacred for those who lived their before UH was created. And UH is being sold out and everyone knows it. I just feel bad for the Hawaiian students who tried to believe in the education system. Why should anyone go to your University if you’re audacious enough to abuse the ground your foundation is held on.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique and powerful place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect. I as a citizen of the US who pay my taxes and fulfill my duties and thus have Rights to message you and tell you No and I Oppose agenda items IIIA AND IIIB

Sincerely, believing in the good of Hawaii and UH
Nicole Young

Sincerely,
Nicole Young
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Rebecca Zezula <rebecca.zezula@gmail.com>                              Tue, Nov 5, 2019 at 4:35 PM
Reply-To: rebecca.zezula@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Zezula
Dear Board of Regents,

I am writing in opposition to BOR Resolution 19-03. Resolution 19-03 amounts to appeasement of the illegal protestors currently occupying Mauna Kea Access Road. It commits UH to decommissioning five telescopes, but without making the decommissioning contingent on the construction of TMT. It furthermore proposes reorganization and restructuring plans that may be disruptive to the Mauna Kea Observatories.

In my opinion, the resolution should make clear that the decommissioning plans are contingent on the completion of TMT. If TMT is not constructed, only the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory and the Hokukea Teaching Telescope should be decommissioned, as they are the only telescopes not generating world-class science. It makes no sense to unilaterally surrender three telescopes to people who have repeatedly said their opposition to TMT is non-negotiable and who respect no procedure, law, or custom except their own. The BOR will not appease the protestors by shooting itself in the foot. If it believes otherwise, it is in for a rude awakening.

Please stand up for astronomy, for science, and for the future. Imua TMT.

Sincerely,

Michael Zhang
This email is intended as testimony on Resolution 19-03.
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Desiree Adams

Sincerely,
Desiree Adams
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Debra Aguiar <aguiardnj@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: aguiardnj@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Debra Aguiar
November 6, 2019

University of Hawaii
Board of Regents
Bachman 209
2444 Dole Street
Honolulu, HI 96822

Re: Special Board of Regents Meeting on November 6, 2019 at University of Hawaii Hilo, Performing Arts Center, 200 W. Kawili St., Hilo, HI 96720, Related Agenda Items A and B.

To: Board of Regents

From: Laura K. M. Arcibal

Mahalo for the opportunity to submit my written testimony to STRONGLY OPPOSE related agenda items A and B.

Firstly and given the substantial findings in the state's auditor reports 98-6 (1998), 05-13 (2005), 14-07 (2014), and 17-06 (2017), it is made blatantly clear that there is and were considerable cause to terminate the general lease S-4191 yet the state did not terminate the general lease. Given this, what legal precedents has been set for those organizations seeking similar agreements with the state? On what basis is the general lease S-4191 continuing to be in effect?

The state is intentionally loose, preferentially inequitable and unfair in its legal application and enforcement on itself and on the terms and conditions of the lease that “may” terminate. Despite substantial cause and blatant noncompliance indicated in the state auditor reports and findings, the general lease was never terminated. What then would substantiate termination of the general lease S-4191 given what is most numerously a long list of noncompliance indicated in the state's auditor reports?

I oppose this step in the process as it would not exist had the general lease were justifiably terminated. It appears the intent of the state is to circumvent its own terms and conditions without the will to legitimately apply the termination clause despite its legal and enforcement obligation to do so.

Secondly, it is concerning on how the state intends to steward and govern any further activities including decommissioning of the telescopes when it has already failed considerably with meeting the terms and conditions of the stated general lease.

I oppose as the state is moving ahead with the adoption rules despite demonstrating no honest will, manner and practical sense that it can successfully meet its own terms and conditions. Who keeps the state accountable?

Thirdly the state has not yet addressed how it will determine before construction as to whether a site can achieve pre-construction conditions. What is pre-construction conditions, how is it determined and on what criteria?

I oppose as there is no reasonable intent by the state to achieve to pre-construction conditions.
Lastly, I strongly oppose the rules that appear to constitutionally infringe upon my rights as a Native Hawaiian beneficiary.

Mahalo for the opportunity to submit this testimony.
Laura K. M. Arcibal
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Kameanani Blackman

Sincerely,
Kameanani Blackman
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Alexa Burch <Alexacarolyne@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Alexacarolyne@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect. This is so disappointing seeing a University take part in disintegrating a culture that they not only use land and resources from but is supposed to be an educational and upstanding institute. I would have thought better.

Sincerely,
Alexa Burch

Sincerely,
Alexa Burch
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Jay Carr <Dizzieallday@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Dizzieallday@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu  
UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai‘i  

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB  
null  

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jay Carr
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Steve Cedillo
University of Hawaii Mail - OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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Reply-To: Info@hilohonu.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu  

UH Board of Regents  
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RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities. The potential for permitting to be used against Native Hawaiians is a problem. Process is unclear and inequitable.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions. Any rules which threaten the free practice of these rights is unacceptable.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. Any rules should take into account the wishes of the Native Hawaiians who are the rightful owners of this land. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Carol Gay Covington

Sincerely,

Carol Gay Covington
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Kat Culina <Katc31999@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Katc31999@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai’i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kat Culina
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Nadia Fale
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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Kelii Hill <Kelzhillz@gmail.com>  Wed, Nov 6, 2019 at 5:43 AM
Reply-To: Kelzhillz@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu
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RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kelii Hill
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ramona Hussey
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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Gao Jordan <Aim.jordan@gmail.com>  Wed, Nov 6, 2019 at 3:44 AM
Reply-To: Aim.jordan@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gao Jordan
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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melinda kaimiola <kanoesshyt@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: kanoesshyt@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

melinda kaimiola
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Kauilanuimakehaikalani Kealiikanakoaoleohaililani

---

The email is a response to the OPPOSITION letter, addressing the concerns raised in the original letter. The reply is similar in content, opposing the agenda items on the same grounds.

Sincerely,

Kauilanuimakehaikalani Kealiikanakoaoleohaililani
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donna Leong
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they tend to undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners. Rules for Mauna Kea should not restrict Hawaiian practitioners; indeed, as in the recent Ayers Rock decision in Australia, they should support and enhance native peoples’ input and control of land use.

The University has proposed rules that are insensitive and inappropriate to the historical, spiritual and cultural significance of Mauna Kea. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Lenley Lewis

Sincerely,
Lenley Lewis
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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Robert Lovell <rlovell416@gmail.com>
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Robert Lovell
Aloha,

I would like to express my displeasure about your proposed prohibition of bicycles on the Mauna Kea Access Road above Hale Pohaku. Folks who undertake this adventure are experiencing the mountain in a way that should be encouraged (without fossil fuel assistance) and have the opportunity to really observe the surroundings during their trip. They are also, by the difficult nature of this activity, a relatively small group. It seems difficult to believe that the few cyclists who attempt to climb Mauna Kea by bicycle are interfering with any of the overarching goals for management of the mountain.

Your proposed rules are also poorly defined. The draft proposes "written permission" for bicycles. Where will this occur? How long will it take to receive it? How will this be advertised so that folks can plan a trip up the mountain? Are there means to appeal a decision?

The fines outlined seem excessive.

I strongly urge you to strike this restriction from your rules.

Sincerely,

Steven Lundblad
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Albert Mahi
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Nelson Makua
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Nalani McNeil
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Lorrsine Mucci <lori.mucci@gmail.com>
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Lorrsine Mucci
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
S. Mailelauli'i Naki

Sincerely,
S. Mailelauli'i Naki
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Jennifer Nerger <Liv4aloha@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Liv4aloha@gmail.com  
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jennifer Nerger
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Jayda Olaitiman <jolaitiman03@gmail.com>                      Wed, Nov 6, 2019 at 7:30 AM
Reply-To: jolaitiman03@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Jayda Olaitiman
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Tori Olaitiman <Torthesupermom@outlook.com>  
Wed, Nov 6, 2019 at 7:39 AM

To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Tori Olaitiman
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Stephanie Peck
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Nahoku Rabot
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely, Kira Souza

Sincerely,

Kira Souza
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Pauline Sugarman <pauline.sugarman@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: pauline.sugarman@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Pauline Sugarman
Dear UH Board of Regents,

I received an email informing me about a meeting today on November 6th 2019. I wanted to give some testimony on this matter, but I am obligated to my children today.

I made two videos on this subject. Please references:

1. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nL03so1TmrE
2. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lDmOHZS83iA

IMUA TMT (clarification) For the University of Hawaii Board Of Regents Meeting November 6th 2019.

In 1995 DHHL was given payment of $600,000,000 (600 mil) as payment for the lands used for public and government usage. On top of that between OHA and DHHL, $10's of millions have been paid each year for decades.

Furthermore, in regards to DHHL, Article 12 Section 4 says that DHHL lands are to be used for both Native Hawaiians AND the public. Never one or the other, but for both.

http://lrbhawaii.org/con/conart12.html

Section 4. The lands granted to the State of Hawaii by Section 5(b) of the Admission Act and pursuant to Article XVI, Section 7, of the State Constitution, excluding therefrom lands defined as "available lands" by Section 203 of the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 1920, as amended, shall be held by the State as a public trust for native Hawaiians and the general public. [Add Const Con 1978 and election Nov 7, 1978]

And as a minor note, that should be well known, is that there was an agreed upon MOA between DHHL, DOT and Hawaii County giving DOT control of the road.

https://www.bigislandvideonews.com/2019/08/30/state-issues-joint-statement-on-mauna-kea-access-road-jurisdiction/

How to Demolish Racism: Lessons from the State of Hawai’i
By Michael Haas

https://books.google.com/books?id=xpVwDQAQBAJ&lpg=PA248&ots=K2DgpXZQWV&dq=600%20million%20dollars%20paid%20to%20DHHL&pg=PA251#v=onepage&q=600%20million&f=false

The previous video is located at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lDmOHZS83iA

Mahalo,

Ryan Thompson

Quoting Lisa <lisa_malakaua@hotmail.com>:

Aloha Ryan, my name is Lisa Malakaua. I have been closely following all your YouTube videos regarding our Hawaiian issues and not only do I wholeheartedly support what you are doing, but also agree with your viewpoints on all the subject matters you’ve presented. So much so, that I forwarded the link to your “IMUA TMT, how to move forward with building the Thirty Meter Telescope” video to the Board of Regents and they would like to add it as part of the agenda for the next meeting on November 6th. Of course you would be better suited explaining your video and viewpoints better than I would. I had also included certain clauses from the Queen Lili‘uokalani Deed of Trust that supports DHHLs position on this matter. So my question to you is would you be willing to present your presentation along side me at that meeting? If getting together before hand is at all possible, please let me know. Respectfully,

Lisa
Malakaua (808)938-8544  mahalo!

Sent from my iPhone
Sierra Club testimony
1 message

Debbie Ward <cordylinecolor@gmail.com>  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

Sierra Club, Hawaii Island Group, submits this testimony to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

We oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners, do not protect the natural resources, and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea.

- Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours. HRS Chapter 183 C specifies that the DLNR has jurisdiction over Conservation Districts, and it has the sole responsibility to administer its rules. Since UH management allowed decades of severe impacts to Mauna Kea, DLNR would be deleterious in its duty to allow UH, and its agents and students, to be exempt from following the rules.

- Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) should be writing and administering these rules, not UH. UH's stated purpose is education, not protection of natural and cultural resources. DLNR's mission is to “Enhance, protect, conserve and manage Hawaii’s unique and limited natural, cultural and historic resources...” (DLNR website) UH lacks expertise in protecting resources, and has conflict of interest—to provide education, UH wants as many telescopes as possible.

- The rules should follow the Public Access Sub-Plan (PAP) in the Comprehensive Management Plan (CMP). The PAP calls for education, communication, and collaboration when using the lowest levels of control, and discretion when using higher levels of control. But, instead, the rules are punitive, giving inadequate definitions of violations, and calling for stiff fines and expelling the beneficiaries of the crown lands held in trust for the native Hawaiians and people of Hawaii from the UH managed lands. Rules should be approved by the Board of Land and Natural Resources after public hearings before they go to the Governor for his signature.

Regarding the proposed BOR recommendations:

It was disclosed at the Mauna Kea Management Board meeting that the BOR made tase recommendations without consultation with Mauna Kea Observatories, Mauna Kea Management Borad (MKMB), Kahu Ku Mauna, or Imiloa personnel. Further, the BOR’s recommendations nullify or override the actions of the MKMB, in violation of the Comprehensive Management Plan, which was approved by the BOR and the BLNR in 2009/2010.

1. Two (2) observatory sites known as the Caltech Submillimeter Observatory and Hokukea site shall be decommissioned no later than April 30, 2021. For purposes of this resolution, the term “decommissioning” shall mean the complete removal of all man-made structures at each respective site bringing each site to as close as feasible to its natural state prior to construction. These will be the first two of five observatories to be decommissioned.

COMMENT: A Notice of Intent, Site assessment, EA/EIS, Public comment period, BLNR review of CDUA/CDUP approvals must be completed before contracts can be let to remove the facilities, and in phase II, after the top and floor are removed, an analysis of the permeable cinder will characterize the pollutants, and determine the depth of material to be removed. This will not be completed by 2021, no matter how much you want it done.

2. A schedule of decommissioning of these two sites will be laid out on a Gantt chart or other similar visual schedule for each of the above sites indicating function and timeframe for each major step in the decommissioning process to achieve completion on or by April 30, 2021. The schedule for decommissioning shall be presented to the Board of Regents on or before its February 2020 meeting.
COMMENT: You can chart all you want, but you will not accomplish this any faster than the EIS and review process allow.

3. A new educational telescope facility for the University of Hawai‘i at Hilo shall be established on already developed land at Hale Pōhaku or elsewhere, as soon as can be permitted, with a target date no later than April 30, 2021, to ensure the prompt availability of a teaching telescope. The Board of Regents shall support the funding of the planning, design, and construction of the new educational facility.

COMMENT: While it is desirable to have the only student/teaching telescope available, it would not need to be removed at all if the Governor would alter his proposed MK ten point plan. In fact, assuming the TMT will not be built in Hawaii, the insistence on decommissioning working telescopes could be eliminated altogether.

4. A third (3rd) observatory site known as the United Kingdom InfraRed Telescope (UKIRT) shall be decommissioned no later than December 2024. A Gantt chart or other similar visual schedule shall be provided to the Board of Regents by December 31, 2022. This will be the third of five observatories to be decommissioned.

COMMENT: A Notice of intent for the CSO was issued in 2008, and eleven years later, we are nowhere near completion of decommissioning. Why would you assume that four years would be sufficient?

5. Since the fourth (4th) observatory site known as the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) observatory is already scheduled to be decommissioned by December 31, 2033, the last of the five sites to be decommissioned will be identified and designated to the Board of Regents on or by December 31, 2022. COMMENT: ALL the telescopes should be decommissioned by 2033, according to the General Lease.

6. In collaboration with OMKM and MKSS, the 'Imiloa Astronomy Center shall develop a suite of educational programs regarding Maunakea including but not limited to Native Hawaiian culture, history, environmental, and biological considerations designed for tour guides and drivers, employees, contractors, recreational users, scientists and observatory workers, and visitors, as required by the Management Plans, by August 31, 2020. OMKM shall report to the Board of Regents on its plans and progress to implement said educational programs at its February 2020 meeting. Administration shall make a budget request during the 2020 legislative session to fund this action item.

7. Administration shall make a CIP request during the 2020 legislative session for monies to plan, design, and construct an educational center at Hale Pōhaku and/or another appropriate site on Maunakea that will educate visitors on cultural, environmental, and astronomy related topics relating to Maunakea.

COMMENT: There is an educational center that will educate visitors on cultural, environmental, and astronomy related topics relating to Maunakea. It is called Imiloa, and it barely draws enough visitors to maintain the facility. We DO NOT need another museum, cultural center on the Mauna! Why would you create more traffic at Hale Pohaku, take out more mamane trees in critical habitat, and cost taxpayers additional funds to bring visitors to a high elevation site? OMKM declared that the reason they closed the restrooms for two months a couple of years ago was due to the cost of hauling water to Hale Pohaku. Has that excuse disappeared?

8. The Maunakea Master Plan update will accommodate uses by Native Hawaiian cultural Practitioners. COMMENT: That is 20 years overdue and is protected specifically in the Hawaii State Constitution!

9. A reorganization and restructuring plan shall be presented to the Board of Regents as to all advisory, operating, and funding bodies involved in the management of Maunakea by April 2020. The purpose of the plan is to improve operations and management and make it more efficient, effective and transparent. The reorganization and restructuring plan shall be embodied into a governance document that is approved by the Board of Regents.

COMMENT: Right-holders (not stakeholders) should have the bulk of the seats at the table!

10. As part of the reorganization and restructuring plan, an in-depth analysis will be done to determine whether the management of the Maunakea Science Reserve would be better served if transferred to a governmental authority or other third party entity, or through alternate management mechanisms (e.g., conservation easement agreement, etc.). The results of this analysis will be presented to the Board of Regents by April 2020.

11. The University will cooperate with the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) to resolve any outstanding issues relating to the roadway infrastructure on Maunakea and will seek opportunities to assist DHHL in its efforts to fulfill its trust duties and responsibilities on the use of its Maunakea lands.
12. As permitted by law, the University should pursue a partnership with an appropriate agency or organization whose primary beneficiary is the Native Hawaiian community, to operate commercial shuttles and tours on Maunakea.

COMMENT: Reduce the commercial shuttles and tours on the Mauna! Provide shuttles for those visitors who do not have 4WD, and require that all UH employees also take the shuttles. Nor do we need OHA to be running commercial tours on Mauna Kea.

Sincerely,

Deborah Ward, Chair, 808-769-2403

Sierra Club Hawaii Island Group P.O.Box 1137 Hilo HI 96760
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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Victoria White <Tobiebullblue53@gmail.com> Wed, Nov 6, 2019 at 8:51 AM
Reply-To: Tobiebullblue53@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai'i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Victoria White