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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Akana
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kristina Ammon
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cheryl Ann Carvalho
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barryn Chun
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
William Elliott
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Gates
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Melanie Gillcoat
Maunakea Rules and Stewardship Resolution.
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Aloha Regents

I support the Maunakea Management Boards recommendations.

My Hawaiian family is Kamahele from lower Puna. My grandma leihuluokalani Kamahele Ha was 100% Hawaiian.

I am a Vietnam veteran and will be the Grand Marshall for this Saturday’s Veterans Day parade. Although I flunked out earlier, I graduated from UH Manoa in 1973 with a degree in Accounting. In 2010, I received a Hall of Honor award from the Shidler College and in 2011, I received a Distinguished Alumni Award from UH Manoa.

I am a founding board member of PUEO, the only Native Hawaiian non profit to enter the contested case hearing in support of the TMT. I am founding member and President of the Hawaii Island Energy Co operative. And I am founding board member and President of the recently formed Hawaii Island Native Hawaiian Chamber of Commerce dba Hui Oihana. I was chair of the Hawaii County Geothermal working group. We commercially farmed bananas and hydroponic tomatoes for 40 years.

Maunakea is the Big Islands kuleana. I am very concerned about the increasing OAHU centric approach to Maunakea issues.

On behalf of PUEO, I would like to express my support for a Culture/Science Center above the clouds. When you’re above the clouds you are in a different world. That’s where world views matter. We could be the beacon for the world. The present site is inadequate and The Moral Authority of what happens on Maunakea should be the Hawaiian values of Aloha and Sustainability. Astronomy is secondary. That Culture Center could be populated with educators from the Hawaiian language School as well as from astronomical discoveries.

Hawaiians are a small population. If we do not make a place sufficiently robust to honor who we were, we are going to disappear off the face of the earth. If not for Machu Picchu, I wonder if most people would remember the Inca’s.

Finally, the world is facing two existential threats- Climate Change and declining fossil fuels. The best solution to replacing fossil fuel in a climate friendly affordable way is by using geothermal for electricity.

UH has a valuable under utilized resource. It’s the Hawaii Groundwater & Geothermal Resources Center at UH Manoa. What can be more important than water and geothermal energy?

Build Up that program. Now!

Aloha

Richard Ha
Cell: (808)960-1057
421 Lama St.
Hilo, Hawaii 96720
Aloha,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget. I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

In best regards,
Rachel Harbottle

Sent from my iPhone
The Ahinahina flowers once every 10 to 50 years, So regal, its presence brings 'almost tears'.
Gracing *only* Maunakea's slopes; it's tiny pink blooms enjoy whispering jokes.
"But roads, concrete and trucks dash my hopes," Silky Silversword says, "I wish the TMT were a hoax."
Once mankind brought in sheep and goats. "My grandparents devoured on our endearing slopes."
The mauna's silent stillness means nothing to telescope blokes.
Native Hawaiians, white folks too: "Increase using fossil fuel?"
For Climate Chaos, environmental disrespect, so cruel!
So emotionally and spiritually uplifting is open space; our pilila, mamane, wekiu bug possess such sacred grace.
Nature's equanimity and a balanced equation allows for a collective humankind elation. Silky Silversword evokes well being, brings wealth to our souls
Open space, conservation, protection - must be our goals.

Let industrial science delete Hawaii's pristine Zen-empty lands?

I am so happy I have Anti-Concretization fans!

Poetry testimony by: Gary Harrold 11 Ala Muku St Hilo Hawaii 96720 808 238 5031
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Nohealani Hirahara
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Kumu hula Manu Ikaika

Sincerely,
Manu Ikaika
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Angel Johnston

Angel Johnston <kalaean@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: kalaean@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

[Quoted text hidden]
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda Item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Karen Jones
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

1 message

Kaulana Kahalekomo <kahalekomorosariox4@gmail.com>  Wed, Nov 6, 2019 at 3:12 PM
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Kaulana Kahalekomo
Aloha UH BOR,

The proposed rules should be deferred immediately! The community needs to be included in the initial draft. Mauna Kea protector’s, astronomy supporters, cultural practitioners and other’s need to confer and CREATE the draft.

My testimony is also for you to malama Mauna Kea by removing yourselves from managing the Mauna.

UH has a long history of destruction, desecration, pollution, and disregard of existing codes, statutes and rules.

Now, these proposed rules want to impose unreasonable condition’s that essentially restrict Hawaiians access, especially cultural practitioners and protectors. They’ve never committed egregious acts that the astronomy community has but are the primary ones being severely restricted.

These are only a few reasons why UH management is detrimental to the Mauna, the native plants and animal species (especially endangered ones)!

Mahalo for this opportunity to testify late.

Na’u,
Kapua Keliikoa-Kamai
Concerned Hawaiian

Sent from my iPhone
RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Lasconia
Opposition to Administrative Rules

Maile Lavea-Malloe <mlavea@hawaii.edu>  
Wed, Nov 6, 2019 at 11:56 AM

To: david@hawaii.edu, kendra65@hawaii.edu, bor@hawaii.edu, dstraney@hawaii.edu, jgouveia@hawaii.edu, kalbert@hawaii.edu, lacro@hawaii.edu, gyoshimi@hawaii.edu, carrieok@hawaii.edu, syrmos@hawaii.edu, info@uhfoundation.org, provost@hawaii.edu, bdirwin@hawaii.edu, mbenham@hawaii.edu


Aloha e University of Hawaii Board of Regents, President/Chancellor Lassner, and UH Officers;

I am Maile Lavea-Malloe; UH Hilo Alumni and former executive member of the University of Hawaii at Hilo Student Association. My husband and I purchased non-refundable airline tickets for your previously scheduled BOR meeting on Oahu (August 2nd, 2019). I was anticipating the reiteration of what I told you folks back in 2015, however, one week prior to the meeting, information came out that you folks had (again) rescheduled your Board of Regents meeting, and the new date comes three full months after the initially scheduled date. I am supremely disappointed in the blatant disregard of YOUR students (both past and present) in our efforts to speak with you directly.

I am here today to voice my complete support of students, staff and faculty of Mauna Kea Protectors at the University of Hawai‘i and Kiaʻi ke Kahaukani. These organizations have received an outpouring of support from academics who will be attending the American Studies Association (ASA) conference to be held in Honolulu between November 7 and 10. The ASA, which has over 5000 members in the United States and around the world, has already issued a statement to "support an international divestment movement to halt the building of the Thirty Meter Telescope on Mauna Kea." The Association decries the colonial violence that universities like the University of Hawai‘i, the UC system, and Caltech are perpetuating by refusing to halt the construction of the TMT.

I, along with many others, support Hawai‘i Regent Alapaki Nahale-a in his stand with the true caretakers of this land.

I, as an alumni of the University of Hawaii at Hilo and the mother of a current student at this institution, am demanding the immediate resignation of President/Chancellor David Lassner. I am
also calling for a full and complete audit of the University of Hawaii Board Of Regents and its Officers that have been playing musical chairs, by being shuffled around and re-appointed throughout the University’s system since before I registered as a student in 2012.

In closing, I do not support, in fact, I outright oppose UH Hilo or UH Systems having any type of authority over our sacred mountain. I oppose the new Hawaii Administrative Rules (proposed in Chapter 20-26: Public and Commercial Activities on Maunakea Kea Lands) and amendments put forth by the UH higher administration, and the State of Hawaii, (Office of Maunakea Mismanagement) to the UH Board of Regents. Furthermore, I oppose the UH System and it’s instillations (I mean institutions) having the privilege to manage our sacred wahi. You’ve lost that privilege! And now your only kuleana will be to divest current interests and assist in the decommissioning of all remaining, inoperable, astronomy instillations on Maunakea before your lease is up.

#AlohaMaunakea #WeStand4U

Maile Lavea-Malloe
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Graham Lettner
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda Item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eric Mar
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To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Morris
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Jonmarie Nalani <innerbalancehawaii@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: innerbalancehawaii@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu  

UH Board of Regents  
University of Hawai‘i  

To whom it may concern,  

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.  

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.  

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.  

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.  

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.  

Sincerely,  

Jonmarie Nalani
Ka’ikena Nāone <kaikenanaone@gmail.com>
Reply-To: kaikenanaone@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,


I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Ka’ikena Nāone

Sincerely,

Ka’ikena N?one
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Aguida Olaitiman
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda Item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Jonah Olaitiman

Jonah Olaitiman <jojo44969@gmail.com>
Reply-To: jojo44969@gmail.com
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu

UH Board of Regents
University of Hawai‘i

RE: OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

null

To whom it may concern,
testimony
1 message

Talace Pai <talace@hawaii.edu>  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu  

Wed, Nov 6, 2019 at 3:15 PM

Aloha kākou,

My name is Talace Hi‘ilani‘ālanamoemoeamakamae Genesis Pai. I am from Wainaku. My ‘ohana is from Kaloko-Honokohau, my ‘ohana that I barely speak to. I come from an ‘ohana that, like we’ve discussed, suffers from mental illnesses, drug and alcohol addiction, domestic violence, and sexual abuse and an ‘ohana that perpetuates all the same problems. A family of dysfunction. Which of course they are accountable for, and is still, rooted in historical trauma. Problems imposed unto them due to their loss of language, lifestyle, and ‘āina. They are this way because of a deep desperation to live a life that was stolen from them AND stolen from me. Taking the mauna from kanaka is another knock at what Hawaiians have left. Take away the mauna from kanaka and watch the same problems grow. Will you also be able to rise to that occasion? Will you be able to receive the consequences of your actions? Let it be known that I don’t trust you all. I don’t trust that this is more than a formality so that you may say that you have GIFTED us an opportunity to share our mana’o. Sidenote: “gifting” us the time to present testimonies to you, is the very least you all are doing. I don’t trust that this just merely a step before you keep on with your agenda. We are not on level playing fields, you are there on your pedestal and us Hawaiians are here, but if we can communicate with any middle ground I believe it would be respect. You look bored, you look as though you’re not paying attention, not much respect at all. You all look like your doing business, this is not business as usual. This is oppression as usual, this is let the Hawaiians think they have the talking stick as usual, this is historical trauma as usual. I just needed to call you guys out, because you are or you should be, aware of the power you have to move the direction of this project and of our education. And a reminder that all that has been shared, seen, and heard today is fraction of the issues that we are dealing with right now. I hope you all know that you in the long run you won’t deal with these along side us. You are no more worthy than we are. Just a reminder. Im kind of unsure of what I should advise you to do, i feel like there are alot more knowledgable and cultured kupuna and kanaka in this room that can advise you on how to move forward. But I will advise that however you move forward, it is done in consideration of the people you say you are here to take care of. Mahalo.

Sent from my iPhone
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Moananuimaikalani Peleiholani-Blankenfeld
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University's rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Sarah Rhinelander

Sincerely,
Sarah Rhinelander
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Courtney Shreve
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Devon Tiffer
To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University’s responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Eryn Usrey
OPPOSITION to agenda items IIIA and IIIB

Kaiulani Young <liannyoung@gmail.com>  
Re:-To: liannyoung@gmail.com  
To: bor.testimony@hawaii.edu  

To whom it may concern,

I am submitting this letter to oppose Agenda items III.A and III.B, which will adversely impact the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and undermine the University's responsibility.

1.) (Agenda item no. III.A) Adopting rules restricting access and use of Mauna Kea summit lands and implementing a vague permitting system for the access that it does allow. The rules apply to the public, but not University or observatory personnel, or government entities.

2.) (Agenda item no. III.B) Passing a resolution on twelve Mauna Kea management steps with a supporting budget.

I oppose both of these items on the grounds that they will undermine the rights of Native Hawaiian cultural practitioners and ultimately defeat the purpose of having rules to manage the summit area of Mauna Kea. Rules for Mauna Kea are primarily intended to regulate the University, observatories, and commercial tours and are not intended to prevent Native Hawaiian practitioners from their customs and traditions.

The University has presented us with proposed rules that are inappropriate to the unique place that Mauna Kea is, the communities that it has assembled, and the histories that led to the University’s rulemaking authority in the first place. We urge you to stop these rules from going into effect.

Sincerely,
Kaiulani Young

Sincerely,
Kaiulani Young