

2013 ANNUAL REPORTS OF PROGRAM DATA GENERAL PRE PROFESSIONAL PROGRAMS SCORING RUBRICS

Numbers in parentheses refer to the data elements of the UHCC Annual Reports of Program Data.

Area	Benchmark	Scoring
<p>1) <u>Demand</u> Increasing the number of Liberal Arts Majors by 3%</p> <p><u>Source:</u> Percent Change Majors from Prior Year (#2)</p>	<p>Healthy: 3% or higher Cautionary: 0 – 2.9% Unhealthy: negative growth</p>	<p>2 = Healthy 1 = Cautionary 0 = Unhealthy</p>
<p>2) <u>Efficiency</u> Class fill rate</p> <p><u>Source:</u> Fill Rate (#9)</p>	<p>Healthy: 75 – 100% Cautionary: 60 – 74% Unhealthy: less than 60%</p>	<p>Six possible outcomes: (only five different scores)</p> <p>$H + H = (2+2)/2 = 2$ $H + C = (2+1)/2 = 1.5$ (round up to 2.0) $H + U = (2+0)/2 = 1$ $C + C = (1+1)/2 = 1$ $C + U = (1+0)/2 = 0.5$ (round up to 1.0) $U + U = (0+0)/2 = 0.0$</p>
<p>3) <u>Efficiency</u> Student/Faculty ratio</p> <p><u>Source:</u> Majors to FTE BOR Appointed Faculty (#11)</p>	<p><i>Pre Calculated:</i> Healthy: 15 – 35 Cautionary: 36 – 60; 7 – 14 Unhealthy: 61+; 6 or fewer</p> <p><i>Alternate (College provided):</i> Healthy: 75% of program capacity Cautionary: 60 – 74% Unhealthy: less than 60%</p>	<p>Use the scoring rubric to determine “Efficiency” Health call score:</p> <p>2 = Healthy 1 = Cautionary 0 = Unhealthy</p>

2013 ANNUAL REPORTS OF PROGRAM DATA - GENERAL PRE PROFESSIONAL PROGRAMS SCORING RUBRICS

Area	Benchmark	Scoring
<p>4) <u>Effectiveness</u> Increasing the number of Associate Degrees awarded by 3% per year</p> <p>Source: Difference Between Unduplicated Awarded and Goal (#19d)</p>	<p>Healthy: 3% or higher Cautionary: 0 – 2.9% Unhealthy: negative growth</p>	<p>Each element is assigned a score: 2 = Healthy 1 = Cautionary 0 = Unhealthy</p> <p>and then, add the three scores ,use the same rubric as for the overall health call to determine category health call range: 5 – 6 = Healthy 2 – 4 = Cautionary 0 – 1 = Unhealthy</p> <p>and then, use the scoring rubric to determine “Effectiveness” Health call score: 2 = Healthy 1 = Cautionary 0 = Unhealthy</p>
<p>5) <u>Effectiveness</u> Increase the number of Transfers to UH 4-yr institutions by 3% per year</p> <p>Source: Difference Between Transfers and Goal (#20d)</p>	<p>Healthy: 3% or higher Cautionary: 0 – 2.9% Unhealthy: negative growth</p>	
<p>6) <u>Effectiveness</u> Persistence Fall to Spring</p> <p>Source: Persistence (Fall to Spring) (#18)</p>	<p>Healthy: 75 – 100% Cautionary: 60 – 74% Unhealthy: less than 60%</p>	
<p>7) <u>Overall Health</u></p>	<p>Scoring Range for Overall Health: 5 – 6 = Healthy 2 – 4 = Cautionary 0 – 1 = Unhealthy</p> <p>Note: These values preclude an overall “Healthy” call on a program with an unhealthy call in one category or ”Cautionary” in two of the three categories.</p>	<p>Add health call scores from Demand, Efficiency, and Effectiveness.</p>