

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAI‘I SYSTEM REPORT



REPORT TO THE 2010 LEGISLATURE

Report from the Public Policy Center, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa
On Hawai'i 2050 Sustainability Plan
For 2010

Act 225 SLH 2008 (SB 2833 SD1 HD1 CD1)

November 2009

Report in Response to Act 225, 2008 Session Laws of Hawai'i
Relating to Sustainability

Prepared by the Social Sciences Public Policy Center
University of Hawai'i at Manoa

December 2009

REPORT OUTLINE

- Executive Summary
- I. Introduction
 - A. Guiding Legislation
 - B. Background
- II. Methodology
- III. Major Findings
- IV. Recommendations
- V. Appendices
 - 1. Results of the Stakeholder Surveys
 - 1A – Survey Questionnaire
 - 1B – Survey Results
 - 2. Inventory of Sustainability Programs and Projects
 - 2A – Survey Questionnaire
 - 2B – Inventory
 - 3. Cross-sector Dialogues
 - 3A– List of Participants
 - 3B – Meeting Invitation and Agenda
 - 3C – County Updates and Meeting Materials
 - 3D – Results from the Meetings: Cross-sector Agreements on Implementing Actions
 - 4. Perspectives from Community Leaders
 - 5. Data, Data Sources and Benchmarks
 - 6. Recommended Legislative Proposal
 - 7. List of Acronyms

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Purpose of the Report

The purpose of this report is to acknowledge the many voices of the community that came together to review of the Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability Plan (“H2050 Plan”) and present their ideas, suggestions and recommendations. It is hoped that this report will lead to a continuing conversation and will build towards further community-driven actions.

Act 225, 2008 Session Laws of Hawai‘i, entitled “Relating to Sustainability,” directed the Social Sciences Public Policy Center (“SSPPC”), University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, to review the Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability Plan (“H2050 Plan”) and submit a report of findings and recommendations to the 2010 Legislature. Requirements of the review include the following:

- (1) Develop defined data, data sources, and benchmarks for each of the major goals; and
- (2) Consult with leaders and organizations relevant to each of the main goals of the H2050 Plan.

The SSPPC used a variety of data collection methods. We emailed a survey of the stakeholders previously involved in the Task Force processes; emailed a survey to individuals and organizations to develop an inventory of sustainability resources; conducted face-to-face dialog sessions among community leaders representing key sectors in all counties; requested community leaders to write short essays relating to a policy framework for implementing the H2050 Plan.; and reviewed the results of a pre-test survey results on the Quality of Life from SMS. Hundreds of people were involved in these processes.

Data from each of these approaches can be found in the report with backup and documentation information in the appendices. Overall, the major themes from all of the data *confirm* the goals, priorities and action steps of H2050 Plan. Each county had many interesting ideas and many have moved forward on their own sustainability planning. Clearly these data are dynamic and it is clear that sustainability in Hawai‘i will need to be a community-driven process. Yet there are some strong common themes across the counties and across the sectors of our community. Below are the major recommendations of the report.

B. Major Recommendations

The following recommendations are not listed in priority order. They are intending to portray the broad areas of agreement found and are meant to further discussion and dialog. These recommendations are coming from the community after hundreds of hours of meetings among citizens across the state and from many different sectors of our

community. This report is a combination of these community voices. It has been inspired by the community and we acknowledge the hard work put in by hundreds of committed, community members. We hope it stimulates further work and continuing progress.

Incorporate the H2050 Plan definition of sustainability and its goals as a new section in Chapter 226, Hawai‘i Revised Statutes.

There was overwhelming support (88%) of survey respondents and a strong consensus among community leaders across the counties that Hawai‘i should place high priority on sustainability as a value, the definition of “sustainability” as put forth in the H2050 Plan, and the need for a long-range plan for mapping a strategic direction for the State. Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that the State of Hawai‘i take the bold step to put the “stake in the ground” and set the standard for Hawai‘i’s sustainable future. This will provoke more thought and deliberation and provide further clarification on community-driven directions.

While various counties and sectors may have different agendas and priorities, they all agreed on one thing: *We value sustainability ethics and practices.* We should therefore take action to implement this value in the investments we make, in the resources appropriated, and in decisions that we make as a state. To ensure that the residents and decision makers of the state are guided by this ethic, it is recommended a new section be written in Chapter 226, Hawai‘i Revised Statutes committing Hawai‘i to a sustainable future. See Appendix 6 for the proposed legislation and justification.

Consider developing and implementing policies based on common themes heard across the state and from each county.

There was agreement across many sectors and in every county about specific actions that will need to be taken to move towards achieving each goal stated in the H2050 Plan. Such actions include:

- ❖ Creatively educating the community about the importance of living sustainably and launching programs in the schools to teach sustainability strategies. Strengthen early learning initiatives.
- ❖ Developing a more diversified economy by increasing production and consumption of local foods and products and providing incentives to foster sustainability-related job and industries.
- ❖ Supporting recycling systems and providing incentives for business to reduce their energy usage; adopting green building codes and increasing the use of renewable resources (e.g. wind, waves, sun).
- ❖ Providing support (e.g. tax incentives, zoning exemptions, etc) for private developers to build low income and special needs housing (e.g. elderly,

persons with mental disabilities, low income)

- ❖ Supporting and encouraging Kanaka Maoli cultural practices and celebrate the diversity of our island culture.

Support the continuing public-private collaborations, community discussions, activities, and work on sustainability efforts.

It is recommended that a website linking the various sustainability programs and projects be created and continued to provide ongoing, real-time information to the public. While many sustainability programs and activities are already underway, there was consensus throughout the counties of the need to share resources, compile the lessons being learned, and coordinate the progress being made to ensure long-term sustainability in their organizations, communities, and for the state. Current fiscal constraints are well recognized. It was a shared vision and hope that this current situation would not hinder the many on-going efforts nor would the H2050 efforts be abandoned. Rather, to keep the momentum alive in the community as well as identify both the government and private sectors efforts – small or large – it is recommended that a working group of government and community organizations be convened committed to sustainability and representing various interest sectors and counties to continue with the implementation of the H2050 Plan. The Hawai‘i State Legislature can provide the leadership for organizing the community-based efforts by convening various community organizations and businesses already involved in various aspects of sustainability. This effort would build support and insure long-term viability for implementing and updating the H2050 Plan. The Legislature could also pass a concurrent resolution creating a convening entity of interested stakeholder organizations to complete these tasks. The Social Sciences Public Policy Center could provide facilitation assistance.

Adopt measures of accountability, including data and data sources, indicators and benchmarks.

A number of communities and organizations are in the process of developing indicators and benchmarks for measure progress. A beginning set of indicators to measure progress in attaining the priorities and goals of the H2050 Plan. These and others should be further reviewed by a cross-sector of government and community representatives to determine key indicators for the state and the starting point for identifying benchmarks as milestones in the near and long term. As with the success in other jurisdictions, it is recommended that the selection of final indicators and benchmarks be community-driven. The findings section provides model processes for ensuring that the indicators and benchmarks represent shared values and interests and that data are available on a regular basis to evaluate progress.

Develop a sustainability coordinating entity to carry forward the H2050 Plan.

The efforts and interests in sustainability by the counties and by various organizations such as Mālama Kaua‘i, Focus Maui Nui and Hawaiian Civic Clubs have already begun

this task in their communities. As discussed previously, the results of these efforts need support so that their lessons learned could be shared more broadly.

The H2050 Plan recommended a sustainability council be developed as a continuing government organization to carry forward the H2050 Plan, measuring results and building a publicly accessible data bank of knowledge about sustainability. Feedback from the community indicated the need for information sharing and network building but not for a centralized state run council or office. One suggestion from Maui was to establish a H2050 umbrella organization at the state level to coordinate county hubs, which would be comprised of diverse multi-sector representation. Another suggestion was to designate a non-profit entity to be a statewide coordinating council with county representation. It is thus recommended that such an organization be developed to further the work of the proposals in this report and the H2050 Plan.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Guiding Legislation

Act 225, 2008 Session Laws of Hawai‘i, entitled “Relating to Sustainability,” directed the Social Sciences Public Policy Center (“SSPPC”), University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa, to review the Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability Plan (“H2050 Plan”) and submit a report of findings and recommendations to the 2010 Legislature. Requirements of the review include the following:

- (3) Develop defined data, data sources, and benchmarks for each of the major goals; and
- (4) Consult with leaders and organizations relevant to each of the main goals of the H2050 Plan.

B. Background

The 2005 Legislature recognized that Hawai‘i’s environment and host culture are unique and beautiful; and the ideal and preferred quality of life of its residents requires long-term stewardship and planning to ensure that Hawai‘i’s assets and resources be available for present and future generations. Thus, through Act 8 (2005), the Hawai‘i State Legislature established the Hawai‘i 2050 Task Force (“Task Force”) to review the Hawai‘i State Plan and other community planning documents and reports, obtain community-wide input, and develop recommendations for creating the H2050 Plan.

After two years of intense work, the State Auditor submitted the H2050 Plan to the 2008 Legislature. The H2050 Plan was the culmination of the Task Force’s concentrated effort to solicit input from citizens as well as community and government leaders throughout the state through community meetings, stakeholder group sessions, and a statewide survey. Over 10,000 people participated in this process and provided input and ideas. The H2050 Plan provided a definition and vision of sustainability, guiding principles, goals, strategic actions, indicators, and priority implementing actions.

The 2008 Legislature acknowledged that the H2050 Plan is an important tool and guiding force for the State and a good first step in creating a guide for government agencies, policymakers, businesses, community organizations and community leaders. However, the Legislators requested further information and data, including consultation with leaders and organizations relevant to the goals of the H2050 Plan. It passed Act 225 (2008) requesting the University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa’s Social Sciences Public Policy Center (“SSPPC”) to review the plan and publish its findings and recommendations, including any proposed legislation, to the 2010 Legislature. More specifically, the Legislature requested the SSPPC to:

- Consult with leaders and organizations relevant to each of the main goals of the Hawai‘i 2050 Plan and
- Develop defined data, data sources, and benchmarks for each of the major goals.

From late summer 2008 to December 2009, the SSPPC sought input from community leaders and organizations to examine more fully the H2050 Plan and to recommend more

specific guidance for implementing the goals and prioritizing the objectives of the plan. The SSPPC also reviewed the indicators recommended in the H2050 Plan; and, using the criteria of (1) their alignment with its goals and priority actions and (2) the availability of data sources, they were evaluated as potential benchmarks to measure accountability and progress.

The following details the work completed over the past 15 months to review the H2050 Plan through consultation with community leaders and organizations and assessment of the work of organizations in Hawai‘i and other jurisdictions relevant to sustainability planning.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Consultation with leaders and organizations on the H2050 Plan goals

Act 225 (2008) directed the SSPPC to consult with community leaders and organizations, emphasizing that community engagement is vital for the development, implementation and longevity of the Plan (Conference Committee Report No. 35-08).

In order to first develop a guiding policy framework for reviewing the H2050 Plan and to identify community leaders and organizations involved in sustainability projects, SSPPC convened meetings with the Task Force, the State Auditor, and its contractor, the Hawai‘i Institute for Public Affairs (HIPA), in late summer 2008. As a result, the SSPPC decided to first validate the recommendations set forth in the H2050 Plan and to build upon and refine these recommendations through various consultation methods:

- (1) Email survey of the stakeholders previously involved in the Task Force processes;
- (2) Email survey to individuals and organizations to develop an inventory of sustainability resources;
- (3) Face-to-face dialog sessions among community leaders representing key sectors in all counties;
- (4) Follow-up requests to submit additional information and ideas from various stakeholders relating to a policy framework for implementing the H2050 Plan.; and
- (5) Pre-test survey results on the Quality of Life from SMS

(1) Stakeholder Survey

In November 2008, seventy-three (73) community leaders representing various organizations across Hawai‘i who had been previously involved in the H2050 Plan were asked to participate in an on-line survey (see Appendix 1A for a copy of the survey). The goal of the survey was to develop a policy implementation framework and obtain

feedback on the H2050 Plan goals, policies, and priority actions. Stakeholders were asked to (1) describe activities in which they were currently involved that furthered the H2050 goals and objectives, (2) review the H2050 Plan priorities, and (3) prioritize policy strategies to implement those priorities. Key sectors were identified and community organizations and leaders representing these interests were invited to participate in the survey. The sectors and some of the participating organizations are listed below:

- Business – e.g. Chamber of Commerce, Hawaiian Electric Company, American International Group Inc. (AIG) Hawai‘i, Maui Land and Pine, First Hawaiian Bank, Bank of Hawai‘i
- Labor – e.g. International Longshore and Warehouse Union (ILWU), Hawaii State Teachers Association (HSTA), Hawaii Government Employees Association (HGEA)
- Education – e.g. Hawaii Department of Education (DOE), University of Hawaii System (UH), Hawai‘i Association of Independent Schools
- Youth – e.g. high school, college and university students
- Kanaka Maoli – e.g. civic clubs, Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA)
- State and local government
- Non-profit organizations – e.g. Girl Scouts, Child and Family Services, National Association of Social Workers (NASW), Kanu Hawai‘i
- Environmental organizations – e.g. Sierra Club, Oceanit, Hawai‘i Conservation Alliance

(2) Inventory of Sustainability Resources and Activities

In addition to the stakeholder survey, a second email survey was sent to over 3,000 stakeholders and other community leaders and citizens to obtain information on the various organizations and projects that were committed to and/or practicing sustainability. The goal of this survey was to identify organizations involved in sustainability and to gauge the depth and breadth of interest in pursuing the goals and priorities across the state for the H2050 Plan (see Appendix 2A for a copy of the survey).

In order to determine whether these projects and activities were furthering the H2050 Plan goals, respondents were asked to describe the project and organization and how it was furthering one or more of the H2050 Plan goals. The missions of the organizations and activities of the projects were categorized by county and by their alignment with the H2050 Plan goal(s) as follows:

- Sustainability Ethic (SE): Living sustainably is a part of our daily practice in Hawai‘i
- Economy (E): Our diversified and globally competitive economy enables us to meaningfully live, work and play in Hawai‘i
- Environment & Natural Resources (ENR): Our natural resources are responsible and respectfully used, replenished and preserved for future generations
- Community & Social Well-Being (CSW): Our community is strong, healthy, vibrant and nurturing, providing safety nets for those in need

- Kanaka Maoli & Island Culture (KMIC): Our Kanaka Maoli and island culture and values are thriving and perpetuated.

More organizations were continually added to the resource inventory throughout the data collection process. Appendix 2B provides a copy of the inventory of resources collected through August 31, 2009.

(3) Cross-sector Implementation Dialogs

SSPPC invited community leaders representing various sectors relevant to the goals of the H2050 Plan and from across the counties¹ to cross-sector dialog meetings. The goal of each meeting was to (1) review the definition, goals, and recommended priority actions of the H2050 Plan, and (2) discuss whether and how these recommendations should be prioritized and subsequently implemented. More specifically, attendees were asked to identify (1) what is needed to implement the H2050 Plan priority actions and (2) what specific contributions could be expected from the various sectors to implement the priority actions and intermediate steps.

Six meetings² were convened at the following locations across the state: Honolulu and Waianae on O‘ahu; Maui; Kaua‘i; and Hilo and Kona on Hawai‘i. Across the four counties, community leaders representing key sectors³ agreed to come together to discuss implementation of the H2050 Plan goals and priority actions (see Appendix 3A for a list of invitees and participants). The sessions were approximately four hours and provided an opportunity for community leaders to provide their perspective from their individual sector. Meeting agendas were designed to foster information sharing and in-depth discussion of the goals and priority actions of the H2050 Plan (see Appendix 3B for the agendas and invitations from each meeting).

During the meetings, community leaders discussed their ideas and work related to sustainability and how best to implement the H2050 Plan goals and priority actions. County representatives also provided updates on their respective county plans related to sustainability and the goals of the H2050 Plan (see Appendix 3C for the presentations from the counties). Participants worked together on detailed strategies and actions to implement the goals and priority actions of the H2050 Plan that would/could be supported by at least two sectors. They identified goals and priority actions, as well as specific “next steps” and collaborative networks, for implementing the H2050 Plan. Recommendations were prioritized according to those that had agreement across multiple sectors. Results of the cross-sector agreements are provided in Appendix 3D.

(4) Essays – Community Leaders Speak on Sustainability and the H2050 Plan

¹ Since funds were limited, meetings were held on O‘ahu, Kaua‘i, Hawai‘i and Maui islands only; and could not include Moloka‘i and Lāna‘i.

² Cross sector dialog meetings were held on O‘ahu (Honolulu on January 9 2009 and Waianae on April 15 2009); Maui (February 20 2009); Kaua‘i (May 12 2009); and Hawai‘i (Hilo on June 3 2009 and Kona on June 4 2009).

³ Initially eight sectors were identified: business, labor, education, youth, kanaka maoli, state and local government, non-profit organizations, environment. However, after the first cross-sector meeting, it was suggested that two additional sectors be included: health and agriculture.

The input provided at the cross-sector meetings was rich and meaningful. However, the data analyses and results reported could not include all those who were interested in staying involved in this process and could not capture the passion, commitment and the significance that many people place on pursuing sustainability policies and plans to ensure that future generations enjoy Hawai‘i’s uniqueness and assets. Thus, the SSPPC asked various community leaders to write brief essays for inclusion in this report. We asked them to respond to three questions: (1) What is your vision and direction for a sustainable Hawai‘i and for implementing the H2050 Plan and goals and plan? (2) What do you think it will take to implement the plan, given (or in spite of) the current fiscal condition of the state and nation? and (3) What could your organization or sector contribute toward achieving a sustainable Hawai‘i and implementing the H2050 Plan? These community leaders from various sectors across the state provided further guidance for and understanding of the importance of sustainability as a value and goal for Hawai‘i.

(5) Survey results to be inserted [pending]

B. Defining Data, Data Sources, and Benchmarks for Sustainability

Previous work by the Task Force identified 55 indicators to measure the overall economic, environmental, and community well-being of the state, including achieving a sustainability ethic. It also identified potential data sources to measure progress in achieving the goals of the Plan.

Selection of Indicators and Benchmarks

Pursuant to Act 225 (2008), SSPPC reviewed the data, data sources and indicators of the H2050 Plan as well as other existing studies by local counties and agencies to measure progress and to identify benchmarks for achieving sustainability (see Appendix 5 for a more detailed description of the process for developing statewide indicators and benchmarks). The following reports were reviewed:

- County-Specific Sustainability Reports
 - Measuring What Matters for Kaua‘i: Community Indicators Report 2008: <http://www.kauainetwork.org/library/documents/publicationsrep/indicatorsfinalreport2008.pdf>
 - Hawai‘i County General Plan Annual Report 2008: <http://www.hawaii-county.com/planning/HI%20GP%20122908final.pdf>
 - Moloka‘i: Future of a Hawaiian Island (2008): http://www.kahonuamomona.org/images/Molokai_Future.pdf.
 - Working Toward the 21st Century Ahupua‘a [Honolulu] (2008): http://www.honolulu.gov/refs/ahupuaa/sustainability_plan_files/mayorenergysustain_v11.pdf
 - Focus Maui Nui: Our Islands, Our Future: <http://www.focusmauinui.com/about/findings.cfm>
- Other Reports Related to Sustainability
 - University of Hawai‘i Center on the Family & Aloha United Way Quality of Life in Hawai‘i 2009: Indicators & Most Recent Data (Draft)
 - Native Hawaiian Well-Being Survey 2008 (Pending)
 - Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability Plan Kanaka Maoli Working Group (2007)

While none have developed precise benchmarks, these counties and organizations are well on their way to establishing strategies to measure progress in achieving many of the sustainability goals identified in the H2050 Plan. The indicators listed in these reports can be useful in assessing progress toward H2050 Plan goals. In addition to sample indicators and benchmarks, methodologies used by Hawai‘i County and the Kaua‘i Planning and Action Alliance can serve as model processes for selecting indicators and benchmarks.

III. MAJOR FINDINGS

Compiling the Voices of the Community

The SSPPC engaged in a number of efforts to obtain broad input on the H2050 Plan goals and particularly its priority implementing actions. Voices of the community were captured in several different ways. These include (1) an on-line survey of stakeholders, (2) an inventory of organizations and resources on sustainability (3) in-depth dialogs among cross-sector leaders in all counties and (4) community leaders’ essays on the future of sustainability and the H2050 Plan. These voices all consistently point to actions that state and county policymakers, as well as community leaders and organizations, can follow to ensure that the goals of the H2050 Plan are achieved. The inventory of statewide sustainability programs and activities illustrates the efforts currently being undertaken to achieve sustainability goals. The following describes the major findings that have strong support and consensus the major sectors relevant to the goals of the H2050 Plan.

A. Consultation with leaders and organizations on the H2050 Plan goals

Stakeholder Survey

A large majority of the stakeholders:

1. **Strongly endorsed the H2050 Plan definition of “sustainability”.** Eighty-eight percent (88%) of the stakeholders agreed that Hawai‘i should define sustainability as pursuing a balance between a strong diversified economy, a clean environment, and a healthy quality of life.
2. **Strongly recommended that Hawai‘i should place a high priority on achieving sustainability.** Eighty-eight percent (88%) agreed that achieving sustainability should be a high priority for Hawai‘i.
3. **Strongly agreed that a long-range plan like the H2050 Plan will provide strategic direction toward a sustainable Hawai‘i.** Eighty-two percent (82%) agreed that a long-range plan like the H2050 Plan is helpful in mapping a strategic

direction towards a sustainable Hawai‘i and that it should be periodically updated to ensure that the plan is current and relevant to the existing times.

4. **Hawai‘i needs to establish benchmarks and indicators to measure the State’s performance and mark its progress toward sustainability.** The H2050 Plan’s call for indicators to measure progress is critical in achieving accountability by regularly measuring progress toward achieving the H2050 Plan goals and priorities. Eighty two percent (82%) agreed that it is necessary to establish benchmarks and develop indicators to measure the state’s performance to mark its progress toward becoming sustainable.

Several distinct policy options were identified: education, housing, reducing reliance on fossil fuels, diversifying the economy, developing a sustainability ethic, increasing the production and consumption of local foods and products, providing access to long-term care and elderly housing, and preserving and perpetuating our Kanaka Maoli and island culture. When asked to prioritize specific action steps that would provide milestones for achievement by 2020, the stakeholders ranked **“Developing a more diverse and resilient economy” as the most important priority.** **“Developing a sustainable ethic”** and **“Reducing Hawai‘i’s reliance on fossil fuels”** were both ranked as the next highest priority actions.

While the responses validated previous community input, the small response rate and written survey format did not provide detailed descriptions of the implementing actions. Thus, the SSPPC designed community cross-sector face-to-face dialogs to provide more specific information on implementing actions and priorities.

The Inventory of Sustainability Resources and Activities

Data gathering began in 2008 and covers Hawai‘i, O‘ahu, Kaua‘i, Maui, Lāna‘i, and Moloka‘i. Because of the growing interest in connecting with other similar efforts across the state, the SSPPC created a Resource Inventory Guide as a beginning effort in showcasing the on-going statewide sustainability efforts. The inventory can also be used as a resource for many people across the state that are engaged in similar sustainability efforts and want to network with and learn from each other (see Appendix 2).

SSPPC found that there is strong interest in implementing sustainable practices throughout the state, from small individual efforts such as building and supporting sustainable gardens and community re-cycling projects to incorporating broad sustainable initiatives in the counties and among other organizations’ plans. Individuals, community groups, businesses, and counties are developing and providing products, services, educational programs, and plans to achieve sustainability. The inventory of projects and activities across the counties related to every one of the H2050 Plan goals.⁴ The

4 The inventory provides a brief description of the sustainability organizations and activities aligned by the H2050 Plan goals of: sustainability ethics, economy, environment and natural resources, community and social well-being, and Kanaka Maoli and island culture.

inventory provides an initial database of organizations, activities, and contact information and can be used to assess the extent and type of growth in sustainability activity in the state. Since it was initiated in 2008, at least 89 activities and programs throughout the counties have been identified. By December 2009, more sustainability projects were identified, indicative of the growing number of individuals and organizations getting involved in creating a sustainable Hawai‘i. It seems that sustainability is “bursting out all over!”

The Cross-sector Dialogs

The in-depth community leader cross-sector meetings validated the findings of the H2050 Plan – that there is consensus on the desire to actively work today to ensure Hawai‘i lives sustainably into the future. And, all participants at the cross-sector dialogues agreed that the H2050 Plan’s “People’s Definition” should guide actions for the State:

“A Hawai‘i that respects the culture, character, beauty and history of our state’s island communities; strikes a balance between economic, social and community, and environmental priorities; and meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.”

Some of the community leaders at the cross-sector meetings wanted the State to establish these benchmarks to ensure accountability and focus for government and communities to work toward common goals and keep on track in achieving them. Others felt it should be a collaborative process with county leadership and perhaps coordinated by a statewide non-profit organization.

Statewide actions and county priorities are provided in more detail in Appendix 3D. They demonstrate that there is clear agreement that actions need to be taken now to achieve the five goals of the Plan. There is clear support for action on priority goals and strategies. The top five items ranked as the most important priorities by most respondents, were to:

1. *“Develop a more diverse and resilient economy”*
2. *“Develop (and live) a sustainability ethic”*
3. *“Support educational efforts in the schools to support green jobs and in the communities towards living a more sustainable life style”*
4. *“Develop incentives to conserve energy and support alternative to fossil fuel”*
5. *“Perpetuate Kanaka Maoli and island cultural traditions.”*

Although not dispositive, as the number responding was low, the input corroborated other findings from the previous work of the Task Force and the online stakeholder survey.

County Priorities. At each of the county meetings, community leaders discussed sustainability goals for the state; and used the H2050 Plan as a framework for discussing sustainability in their county. The major themes that emerged from the various meetings are summarized below. More in-depth reports are provided in Appendix 3D.

Honolulu (urban)

Two meetings were held for O‘ahu, one in downtown Honolulu and the other in Wai‘anae, which invited rural interests. The major theme that emerged from the Honolulu (urban) group was to **create a sustainable ethic**. This included developing new and innovative school based curriculum; “green” majors and degrees in higher education; and increase the use of television and websites, social networking, etc for public information announcements. The idea was to help people learn and *live* a sustainable life style which might include eating more local foods; recycling more; reducing their own use of fossil fuels (support alternative transit modalities) reduce energy and the waste stream; and change their behavior and buying habits to help create a sustainable ethic.

Wai‘anae (rural)

The major focus was on **creating a sustainability ethic** since the participants believed that it was the overarching value that was critical for achieving the goals of the H2050 Plan. They **identified Kanaka Maoli values such as “lokahi”(working together), “olu olu” (collaboration) and “aloha” to be used to describe the “sustainability ethic”** and recommended that they be incorporated in our lives through teaching in schools and businesses. They also recommended that success stories and a directory or network of sustainability organizations and programs could be posted on a **clearinghouse website** to foster communication and transmission of sustainability ethic into our lives; and that the long-term view for future decision-making is important, including measures of progress; and that policy makers and leaders can learn from the host culture’s sustainable practices.

Maui

The major idea that came from the Maui group was to **establish a H2050 umbrella organization** at the state level with county hubs (perhaps satellite offices) comprised of diverse multi-sector representation. This group also focused on **strengthening public education** by integrating multiple and diverse economic sectors (business, labor, education and culture) that would work to create collaborations and promote activities designed to increase a sustainability ethic. They specifically suggested the creation of a tax for big users of fossil fuel to reduce Hawai‘i’s heavy reliance on non- renewables and strategies to use indigenous resources to build our economy and keep more dollars within or local communities.

Kaua‘i

The Kaua‘i meeting focused a lot of attention on the use of agricultural lands and **establishing a balance between energy and food production**. Many saw this issue as the best strategy to **develop a more diverse and resilient economy**. Several saw the strategy of **developing new educational and training programs** that connect

business, community and schools so new, green jobs can be develop in diversified community clusters and the youth will stay since good jobs will emerge. There was also a strong desire to **protect the cultural history of the island** and insure that the kanaka maoli cultural values are taught and honored in schools.

Hilo

Developing a diversified economy and creating a sustainable ethic were the most important issues to the Hilo group. **Updating the building codes, procurement and permitting processes** designed to support new ideas for efficiency and sustainability was also important to this group. Many spoke about **respecting the existing resources** and re-thinking what has worked in the past, as well as **supporting local businesses and agriculture** by creating incentives (preferences) for local products and services was discussed as a way to create a sustainable ethic and preserve kanaka maoli culture and values.

Kona

The major agreement that came forward from the dialog in Kona was to **seek ways to create sustainable ways of living** (more than just creating an ethic) and **demonstrate this by example in state and local government**. The group was also interested in developing a more diverse and resilient economy by **streamlining the bureaucracy** (e.g. barriers to zoning and permitting delays) and of particular concern to this community was the need to **increase the diversity of medical care providers and increase access to primary care and long term care medical services**.

Statewide Priorities

Over a hundred people from across the state met to discuss the priority actions identified in the H2050 Plan. Specific action recommendations also were identified and categorized within each goal. Of particular interest is that fact that these people reviewed the H2050 Plan and considered each goal and action statement based on their knowledge and the information from their own county. They did not just “redo” the precious plan, but actually developed action plans that *confirm* the goals, objectives and strategies necessary to move forward. Building on the H2050 Plan, these participants, representing a broad cross sector of the state, identified the necessary actions that must be undertaken to achieve the Plan goals. Sector representatives were asked which policy options would be most effective. For each goal, the highest-ranking options are as follows:

Statewide Priorities and Supported Actions		
Goals	Priority Actions	Specific Action(s)
WAY OF LIFE: Living sustainably is part of our daily practice in Hawai'i.	Create a sustainability ethic	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Creatively educate the community about the importance of living sustainably 2. Launch programs in the schools to teach sustainability strategies
THE ECONOMY: Our diversified and globally competitive economy enables us to meaningfully live, work and play in Hawai'i.	Strengthen public education	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support early learning initiatives 2. Increase the development of charter schools
	Develop a more diverse & resilient economy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increase production and consumption of local foods and products 2. Provide incentives to foster sustainability-related industries, such as renewable energy and environmental friendly technologies
	Increase production & consumption of local foods & products	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide incentives to grow and buy local products 2. Provide funding or loans to local farmers 3. Educate the public on why buying local produce is important to building a sustainable economy
ENVIRONMENT: Our natural resources are responsibly and respectfully used, replenished and preserved for future generations.	Reduce reliance on fossil fuels	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increase the amount of electricity generated by renewable resources 2. Adopt green building codes
	Increase recycling, reuse & waste reduction strategies	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support recycling systems for bottles, cans and paper in every neighborhood 2. Provide incentives for businesses to initiate recycling
COMMUNITY & SOCIAL WELL-BEING: Our community is strong, healthy, vibrant and nurturing, providing safety nets for those in need.	Increase affordable housing opportunities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide incentives for private developers to build low income housing 2. Adopt inclusionary zoning laws that require all new housing developments to include some affordable housing units
	Provide access to long term care and elderly housing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide tax credits or exemptions for developers of long term care and/or elderly housing 2. Provide funding for developers of elder care housing projects
KANAKA MAOLI CULTURE & ISLAND VALUES: Our Kanaka Maoli and island cultures and values are thriving and perpetuated.	Preserve & perpetuate Kanaka Maoli and island cultural values	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Celebrate diversity and island cultural practices 2. Support and encourage Kanaka Maoli cultural practices and support subsistence-based businesses

Community Leaders Speak – From Their Perspectives

To provide policymakers with actual statements from community leaders “in their own words” how important sustainability is for Hawai‘i and the commitment and perspective from their sectors, the following individuals share their vision and ideas. Brief statements are provided below with a full text in Appendix 4.

Education

Education will play a key role in meeting the goals of the Hawaii2050 Sustainability Plan. By following a meaningful and sustainable blueprint for education reform, Hawai‘i’s public schools will be transformed into 21st-century institutions of learning...*Pat Hamamoto, Hawai‘i State Department of Education*

...I see the +[Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability Plan] as a road map providing guidance in how to arrive at a destination, namely a sustainable Hawai‘i...without a concerted and coordinated effort, the goal of a sustainable Hawai‘i will be difficult to achieve. However, without a plan to guide these efforts, the goal will be almost impossible to achieve ...the most important step toward implementing the Hawai‘i Sustainability Plan will be the adoption of the plan itself...*Jeffrey S. Hunt, Maui County*

Government

Food and water are two of the most important things for sustainability, without them, we cannot survive! We must learn to balance water needs for everything -- domestic, environment, cultural, AND AGRICULTURE needs and use must all be assessed on an even playing field. Decisions on water need to take into account whether the agricultural production is good for Hawaii...we need to sustain these values and goals, which are part of the Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability, Plan.... *Dean Okimoto, Nalo Farms*

Agriculture

The Department of Defense, working through the U.S. Pacific Command, has devoted considerable effort to addressing Sustainability in Hawai‘i. The military services and the Coast Guard understand the importance of Sustainability as an organizing principle in facing the enormous challenges confronting our country and the State of Hawaii...*H. Killian, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army*

Military

Environment

Mālama Kaua‘i is committed to systemic change that will result in a sustainable future. As called out in the Hawai‘i 2050 Plan, we focus on changes in key areas: water, food, energy and economy - using relocalization as a primary strategy. Relocalization means creating and supporting local choices for that which sustains us...*Keone Kealoha, Mālama Kaua‘i*

Non-profit Sector

The H2050 goal for community and social well-being is “Our community is strong, healthy, vibrant and nurturing, providing safety nets for those in need.” Aloha United Way’s mission fits well with this goal and the strategic actions related to it. We have already set priorities at Aloha United Way and are focusing our efforts on creating long-term improvements in our community, particularly in the areas of crime and drug use, homelessness, early childhood development, financial stability and independence and emergency and crisis services ...*Susan Au Doyle, Aloha United Way*

B. Data, Data Sources, Indicators and Benchmarks for Sustainability

The H2050 Plan identified 55 indicators to measure progress toward its goals and priority actions. To further refine these indicators, the SSPPC consulted with community leaders through stakeholder surveys and cross-sector dialog meetings as well as research of existing reports. We sought to identify indicators which were aligned with the H2050 goals and priority actions AND for which data and data sources are available that could provide ongoing feedback to mark progress toward the goals. We also included other indicators that could measure progress which have been developed and are being used by county and community organizations.

The SSPPC used the following criteria and definitions in identifying and reviewing the indicators and potential benchmarks to mark progress toward the H2050 Plan goals.

Identified in the H2050 Plan were **indicators**, which are variables that provide measurable information, which can show the direction of change taking place. They can be used to learn if the current efforts being made in the community or by policymakers are indeed resulting in the desired change.⁵ These indicators point to the **data**, which are the factual information (measures or statistics) collected from specific **data sources** which are collected in the field from people (primary) or the documents or available sets of reports, information or findings that provide information (secondary).

Act 225 also asked the SSPPC to identify **benchmarks**, which are the standard by which something may be judged, measured or assessed; a point of reference against which progress (or lack of it) may be measured over time. Benchmarks are the performance goals, or the agreed upon standard of excellence. For our purposes, benchmarks can provide a numerical value for individual indicators, and are specific data points against which future trends can be compared. For example, one measure of progress toward the H2050 sustainable environment is to reduce our dependence on fossil fuel. The **indicator** of progress toward the goal of energy independence is the % use of petroleum and the data source would be from the Departments of Taxation and Business, Economic Development and Tourism on the revenues and consumption of oil. Our benchmark or standard to judge how well we do has been set by the State of Hawai'i: 70% reduction in oil consumption by 2030 through energy efficiency and renewable energy.

Research in other jurisdictions suggests that specific indicators should be selected by a community-based selection process. This leads to more constructive deliberation and agreements that allow cooperating agencies and organizations to also develop working relationships, openly voice concerns, and define available assets that can further the plan. Successful benchmarking projects assign specific agencies to be responsible to collect data and monitor the progress towards specific benchmarks. In Hawai'i, the process to establish meaningful and measurable indicators and benchmarks will require further development through community meetings.

⁵ Atkisson, A. (1998). *Community Indicators Handbook: Measuring Progress Toward Healthy & Sustainable Communities*. Darby, PA: Diane Publishing Company.

Findings in this portion of the report are meant to be a starting point for the next phase of implementation for the Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability Plan – initiation of community-driven interaction to select appropriate, measureable indicators and benchmarks.⁵

For the purposes of this report, the SSPPC examined the indicators identified by the Task Force and, in some cases, realigned them with each of the five goals of the H2050 Plan. Other indicators were also identified in the cross-sector dialog sessions and these are also included. To ensure that indicators were measurable and data sources are available to measure progress on a continuing basis, SSPPC researched the availability of data sources as well as five county-specific sustainability reports and three sustainability-related studies to confirm which indicators could be measured with currently available data. The following are findings related to a defined data set of potential indicators, documented existing data sources, and a number of potential indicators (and, in the future, benchmarks) for measuring accountability and progress.

The SSPPC, building on the list of indicators developed by the H2050 Plan, (see Appendix 5 for details of data sources and the original list of indicators) collected data from other jurisdictions and offer the following for consideration.

GOAL: Sustainability Way of Life

The H2050 Plan offered 9 indicators to measure progress toward these goals set out in the H2050 Plan. Based on information collected in the cross-sector dialog discussions, SSPPC categorized the indicators and offered other indicators to more accurately measure progress. SSPPC offers 5 indicators with data for this goal.

Sustainability Way of Life Indicators

Sustainability depends on both individual and institutional actions. Cross-sector dialog discussions suggested a strong support for the view that educating the community about strategies to live sustainably is paramount, with a particular focus on students. Though the indicators to measure community understanding and support of sustainability practices are repeated in other goals, these indicators have been selected as valid ways to objectively measure community sentiment on sustainability.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Renewable and alternative energy use (%)	DBEDT, PUC	UH-COF
	Solar or other alternative water heating sources (%)	HECO	
	Per capita water consumption	DBEDT, county water departments	UH-COF
	Per capita energy consumption	HECO, DBEDT	UH-COF
	Households participating in recycling (%)	DOH, county public works agencies	UH-COF

Sustainability Way of Life Indicators – More Data Needed

The H2050 Plan and cross-sector dialog discussions suggested the following indicators. These may be helpful in measuring progress, but no data is currently being collected.

	INDICATORS	POTENTIAL DATA SOURCE	SUGGESTED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Schools that have adopted sustainability modules (%)	DOE, private schools	H2050 Plan
	Residents that understand and support sustainability practices (%)	Sustainability Council (proposed by H2050 Plan)	H2050 Plan
	Government, business, labor and community organizations that adopt sustainability practices and policies	Sustainability Council (proposed by H2050 Plan)	H2050 Plan
	New cars purchased that use renewable fuel technology	DBEDT, PUC	H2050 Plan
Other Potential	Green job training programs at high schools, community	DOE, private schools	H2050 CS Dialog participants

Indicators	colleges and universities (#)		
-------------------	-------------------------------	--	--

GOAL: The Economy

The H2050 Plan offered 11 indicators to measure progress toward the economic goals set out in the H2050 Plan. Based on information collected in the cross-sector dialog discussions, SSPPC categorized the indicators and offered other indicators to more accurately measure progress. SSPPC offers 13 indicators with data for this goal.

Student Preparedness for the Workforce

Economic sustainability depends on a trained, productive, innovate and flexible workforce. Cross-sector dialog discussions suggested that education is an important component for a healthy economy. Therefore, we are listing indicators to measure student’s preparedness for the workforce.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	People aged 25+ with less than high school education (%)	DOE	UH-COF; Counties
	High school seniors accepted into higher education or training (%)	DOE, private schools	UH-COF; Counties
Other Potential Indicators	Students meeting Hawai‘i standards in math and reading (%)	DOE, private schools	Counties
	8 th grade students that meet or exceed NAEP proficiency in math, reading and writing (%)	DOE	UH-COF
	Scores on community college entrance exams and standardized tests (ACT, SAT)	DOE, private schools	UH-COF; Counties

Economic Diversity

Participants in the stakeholder survey and cross-sector dialogs indicated that establishing a more diverse and resilient economy is among the highest priorities for action. A diversity of jobs that offer living wages and reasonable income distribution is a good measure of economic sustainability. Also, we need to know if industries like technology, agriculture and healthcare are growing relative to the size of the state economy.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Employment by sector (#, %)	DLIR, DBEDT	UH-COF; Counties
	Living wage jobs in Hawai‘i, relative to total jobs (%)	DLIR, UH	Counties
	Percent of income shared by households in the top 20%	DLIR, DBEDT	UH-COF
	Science and technology-based workers (%)	DBEDT, UH, DLIR	UH-COF; Counties
	College degrees awarded in	UH, private colleges	UH-COF

	science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (%)		
Other Potential Indicators	Unemployment rate (%)	DLIR, DBEDT	UH-COF; Counties

Food Self-Sufficiency

Reduced dependence of imported food and incidences of hunger are key indicators of sustainability.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Food produced and consumed locally (%)	DOA	Counties
	Acres in agricultural production (\$value, #)	DOA	Counties

Economy Indicators – More Data Needed

The Task Force and others suggested the following indicators, which may be helpful in measuring progress toward the economic goals set by the H2050 Plan. However, data is not currently being collected on a regular basis for these indicators.

	INDICATORS	POTENTIAL DATA SOURCE	SUGGESTED BY
H2050 Task Force Indicators	Children enrolled in preschool (%)	DOE, private schools	H2050 Task Force
	Dollars spent in locally-owned businesses (\$)	DBEDT, UH	H2050 Task Force
	Value of goods and services imported and exported (\$)	DBEDT, UH	H2050 Task Force
	Gross licensing revenue from commercialized university research	UH, private colleges	H2050 Task Force
	University, government and private sector research and development (\$)	UH, US DOD	H2050 Task Force
	Need for emergency food	Food banks survey	H2050 Task Force ; used by Counties

GOAL: THE ENVIRONMENT

The Task Force offered 10 indicators to measure progress toward the environmental goals set out in the H2050 Plan. Based on information collected in the cross-sector dialog discussions, SSPPC categorized the indicators and offered other indicators to more accurately measure progress. SSPPC offers 14 indicators with data for this goal.

Diverting Waste from Landfills

Sustainable communities find ways to re-use and recycle waste.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Recycled solid waste, by selected commodities (% , tons)	DOH, county departments of environmental management	UH-COF; Counties
	Treated wastewater reuse (1,000 gal/year)	DOH, county departments of environmental management	UH-COF; Counties
Other Potential Indicators	HI-5 beverage container collection (% , tons)	DOH	UH-COF; Counties

Protecting Natural Areas & Water Systems

Monitoring environmental indicators – like shoreline loss and population levels of select invasive species – is an indicator of Hawai‘i’s progress in preventing further erosion and ecological damage to protect our natural resources. Further, maintaining healthy aquifers through monitoring and reuse is fundamental to a healthy environment and sustainable community. Data is available for indicators suggested by the H2050 Plan, and some resonated in other studies as important to measure to mark progress toward sustainable environmental management.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Pollution level in streams, aquifers and coastal water (% , ppm)	DLNR, DOH	UH-COF
Other Potential Indicators	Overall stream rating	DOH-Clean Water Branch	Counties
	Acres reserved for parks and historic sites (#)	County departments of finance	Counties

Smart Growth, Renewable Energy & Energy Efficiency

Use of renewable energy and energy conservation measures reduce Hawai‘i’s dependency on fossil fuels. Also, Hawai‘i can reduce urban sprawl and protect natural resources by constructing town-centered, transit and pedestrian oriented developments. SSPPCC offers other indicators to measure transportation efficiency, as it can also reduce Hawai‘i’s dependency on fossil fuel.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Electric power consumption and capacity (MWh sold, peak)	Utility companies	Counties
	Change in annual vehicle miles traveled (%)	DOT	Counties
	Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design (LEED) type building permits issued (% , #)	US Green Building Council	Counties
Other Potential Indicators	Electric power produced from renewable sources (% , MWh)	Utility companies	UH-COF; Counties
	Energy Star homes (# , %)	US-EPA Energy Star	Counties
	Registered vehicles (#)	County transportation department	Counties
	Mass transit ridership (# , %)	County transportation departments	Counties
	Gasoline and diesel fuel consumption (gallons, gal/person)	DBEDT	Counties

Environment Indicators – More Data Needed

The Task Force and others suggested the following indicators, which may be helpful in measuring progress toward the environmental goals set by the H2050 Plan. However, data is not currently being collected on a regular basis for these indicators.

	INDICATORS	POTENTIAL DATA SOURCE	SUGGESTED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Shorelines threatened, retreating or lost (% , #)	DLNR, county planning departments	H2050 Plan
	Lands and water protected for native plants and animals (% , #)	DLNR	H2050 Plan
	Invasive species introduced to Hawai‘i annually, including intra-island migration (# , % , types)	US Fish & Wildlife Service, Hawai‘i Invasive Species Council	H2050 Plan
	Water level in streams and aquifers (% , #)	DLNR, DOH	H2050 Plan
	New urban developments consistent with “smart growth” principles (% , #)	LUC, county planning departments	H2050 Plan
	Locally produced renewable and alternative energy (%)	DBEDT, utility companies	H2050 Plan
	Use of ridesharing and alternative transportation (%)	Survey	H2050 Plan; used by Counties
	Non-motorized trips (%)	DOT	H2050 Plan; used

GOAL: COMMUNITY WELL-BEING

The H2050 Plan offered 10 indicators to measure progress toward the community well-being goals set out in the H2050 Plan. Based on information collected in the cross-sector dialog discussions, SSPPC categorized the indicators and offered other indicators to more accurately measure progress. SSPPC offers 12 indicators for this goal.

Appropriate & Affordable Housing

Sustainable communities provide housing that is appropriate for its population at affordable prices. Stakeholder survey and cross-sector dialog participants indicated that affordable housing should be among the highest priority for action. Other studies suggest that accounting for the homeless population is another indicator of appropriate and affordable housing.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Owners/renters spending 30%+ of household income on selected monthly owner/renter costs (%)	DBEDT, US Census	UH-COF; Counties
	Share of occupied housing units that are owner-occupied (%)	DBEDT, US Census	UH-COF; Counties
	Proportion of family income spent on housing (%)	DBEDT, US Census	Counties
Other Potential Indicators	Homelessness population (#, %)	County community development offices; HUD	UH-COF; Counties

Health & Long-term Care

Hawai'i's elder population deserves to reach and maintain the highest level of dignity, independence and personal well-being. Health insurance is also important to community sustainability. Further, cross-sector dialog participants indicated that employment in all sectors of the healthcare profession is important to monitor to ensure the availability of care to our communities.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Population covered by health insurance (%)	DOH Hawai'i Health Survey	UH-COF; Counties
Other Potential Indicators	Elderly living with limitations (#, %)	DOH Office of Aging	Counties
	Leading infectious diseases and causes of death	DOH	Counties

Community Health

Health of a community is reflected in its ills, as well as the engagement of its members. Poverty rate and cost of living are also indicators of community well-being.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Substance abuse & drug related arrests (#)	Hawai‘i Attorney General	UH-COF; Counties
	Population engaged in volunteer work (%)	DLIR, Survey	UH-COF; Counties
	Diversity of recreational facilities per capita (#)	DBEDT, county parks departments	Counties
Other Potential Indicators	Poverty rate (%)	DBEDT, US Census	UH-COF; Counties
	Cost of living (\$)	DBEDT, US-BLS	UH-COF; Counties

Community Well-Being Indicators – More Data Needed

The Task Force and others suggested the following indicators, which may be helpful in measuring progress toward the community goals set by the H2050 Plan. However, data is not currently being collected on a regular basis for these indicators.

	INDICATORS	POTENTIAL DATA SOURCE	SUGGESTED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Population with internet access at their residence (%)	DCCA, Survey	H2050 Plan; used by Counties
Other Potential Indicators	Employment in non-medical healthcare professions (#, %)	DBEDT, DOH, DHS	H2050 CS Dialog participants
	Persons served through Kupuna Care and case management programs (#)	DOH, county elderly affairs offices	H2050 CS Dialog participants; used by Counties
	Perception of neighborhood safety	Survey	H2050 CS Dialog participants; used by Counties

GOAL: KANAKA MAOLI & ISLAND VALUES

The H2050 Plan offered 8 indicators to measure progress toward the Kanaka Maoli & Island Values goals set out in the H2050 Plan. Based on information collected in the cross-sector dialog discussions, SSPPC categorized the indicators and offered other indicators to more accurately measure progress. SSPPC offers 5 indicators for this goal.

Community Knowledge of Kanaka Maoli Culture

Knowledge and utilization of the Hawaiian and local culture ensures the perpetuation of our native culture.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Hawaiian language students (#)	DOE, UH, private institutions	Counties
	Hawaiian language teachers (#)	DOE, UH, private institutions	Counties
Other Potential Indicators	Taro grown and sold (lbs)	DOA, Hawai‘i Agricultural Statistics	Counties
	Cultural events and festivities (# events, # attendees)	HTA, county offices of economic development	Counties

Government Protection of Cultural Resources

Protection of resources is important to perpetuating the Hawaiian culture.

	INDICATORS	DATA SOURCE	USED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Government spending on culture and arts, per capita (\$)	SFCA	Counties

Kanaka Maoli Indicators – More Data Needed

The H2050 Task Force and others suggested the following indicators, which may be helpful in measuring progress toward the kanaka maoli goals set by the H2050 Plan. However, data is not currently being collected on a regular basis for these indicators.

	INDICATORS	POTENTIAL DATA SOURCE	SUGGESTED BY
H2050 Plan Indicators	Hula halau and ethnic dance groups (#)	OHA, SFCA, Survey	H2050 Kanaka Maoli Working Group; used by Counties
	Laws that protect kanaka maoli intellectual property, traditional knowledge, cultural expression, and site-specific areas [language, dialects, place names, resource	OHA	H2050 Kanaka Maoli Working Group; used by Counties

	practices] (#)		
	Community programs and projects that promote Hawaiian culture, knowledge and practices using Hawaiian language (#)	OHA	H2050 Kanaka Maoli WG
	Capital invested to sustain subsistence-based business and economies (\$)	OHA, DBEDT	H2050 Kanaka Maoli WG
Other Potential Indicators	Knowledge of culture (genealogy, traditions)	Survey	Used by KS

IV. MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are not listed in priority order. They are intending to portray the broad areas of agreement found and are meant to further discussion and dialog. These recommendations are coming from the community after hundreds of hours of meetings among citizens across the state and from many different sectors of our community. This report is a combination of these community voices. It has been inspired by the community, and we acknowledge the hard work put in by hundreds of committed, community members. We hope it stimulates further work and continuing progress.

Incorporate the H2050 Plan definition of sustainability and its goals as a new section in Chapter 226, Hawai‘i Revised Statutes. There was overwhelming support (88%) of survey respondents and a strong consensus among community leaders across the counties that Hawai‘i should place high priority on sustainability as a value, the definition of “sustainability” as put forth in the H2050 Plan, and the need for a long-range plan for mapping a strategic direction for the State. Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that the State of Hawai‘i take the bold step to put the “stake in the ground” and set the standard for Hawai‘i’s sustainable future. This will provoke more thought and deliberation and provide further clarification on community-driven directions.

While various counties and sectors may have different agendas and priorities, they all agreed on one thing: *We value sustainability ethics and practices.* We should therefore take action to implement this value in the investments we make, in the resources appropriated, and in decisions that we make as a state. To ensure that the residents and decision makers of the state are guided by this ethic, it is recommended new section be written in Chapter 226, Hawai‘i Revised Statutes committing Hawai‘i to a sustainable future. See Appendix 6 for the proposed legislation and justification.

Consider developing and implementing policies based on common themes heard across the state and from each county. There was agreement across many sectors and in every county about specific actions that will need to be taken to move towards achieving each goal stated in the H2050 Plan. Such actions include:

- ❖ Creatively educating the community about the importance of living sustainably and launching programs in the schools to teach sustainability strategies. Strengthen early learning initiatives.
- ❖ Developing a more diversified economy by increasing production and consumption of local foods and products and providing incentives to foster sustainability-related job and industries.
- ❖ Supporting recycling systems and providing incentives for business to reduce their energy usage; adopting green building codes and increasing the use of

renewable resources (e.g. wind, waves, sun).

- ❖ Providing support (e.g. tax incentives, zoning exemptions, etc) for private developers to build low income and special needs housing (e.g. elderly, persons with mental disabilities, low income)
- ❖ Supporting and encouraging Kanaka Maoli cultural practices and celebrate the diversity of our island culture.

Support the continuing public-private collaborations, community discussions, activities, and work on sustainability efforts. It is recommended that a website linking the various sustainability programs and projects be created and continued to provide ongoing, real-time information to the public. While many sustainability programs and activities are already underway, there was consensus throughout the counties of the need to share resources, compile the lessons being learned, and coordinate the progress being made to ensure long-term sustainability in their organizations, communities, and for the state. Current fiscal constraints are well recognized. It was a shared vision and hope that this current situation would not hinder the many on-going efforts nor would the H2050 Plan efforts be abandoned. Rather, to keep the momentum alive in the community as well as identify both the government and private sectors efforts – small or large – it is recommended that a working group of government and community organizations be convened committed to sustainability and representing various interest sectors and counties to continue with the implementation of the H2050 Plan. The Hawai‘i State Legislature can provide the leadership for organizing the community-based efforts by convening various community organizations and businesses already involved in various aspects of sustainability. This effort would build support and insure long-term viability for implementing and updating the H2050 Plan. The Legislature could also pass a concurrent resolution creating a convening entity of interested stakeholder organizations to complete these tasks. The Social Sciences Public Policy Center could provide facilitation assistance.

Adopt measures of accountability, including data and data sources, indicators and benchmarks. A number of communities and organizations are in the process of developing indicators and benchmarks for measure progress. A beginning set of indicators to measure progress in attaining the priorities and goals of the H2050 Plan. These and others should be further reviewed by a cross-sector of government and community representatives to determine key indicators for the state and the starting point for identifying benchmarks as milestones in the near and long term. As with the success in other jurisdictions, it is recommended that the selection of final indicators and benchmarks be community-driven. The findings section provides model processes for ensuring that the indicators and benchmarks represent shared values and interests and that data are available on a regular basis to evaluate progress.

Develop a sustainability coordinating entity to carry forward the H2050 Plan. The efforts and interests in sustainability by the counties and by various organizations such as Mālama Kaua‘i, Focus Maui Nui and Hawaiian Civic Clubs have already begun this task

in their communities. As discussed previously, the results of these efforts need support so that their lessons learned could be shared more broadly.

The H2050 Plan recommended a sustainability council be developed as a continuing government organization to carry forward the H2050 Plan, measuring results and building a publicly accessible data bank of knowledge about sustainability. Feedback from the community indicated the need for information sharing and network building but not for a centralized state run council or office. One suggestion from Maui was to establish a Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability umbrella organization at the state level to coordinate county hubs, which would be comprised of diverse multi-sector representation. Another suggestion was to designate a non-profit entity to be a statewide coordinating council with county representation. It is thus recommended that such an organization be developed with the assistance of the working group of community organizations identified in the second recommendation.

Appendix 7: List of Acronyms

ACT	American College Test
CSW	Community and Social Well-Being (H2050 Plan Goal)
DBEDT	State of Hawai‘i Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism
DCCA	State of Hawai‘i Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
DHS	State of Hawai‘i Department of Human Services
DLIR	State of Hawai‘i Department of Labor and Industrial Relations
DLNR	State of Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources
DOA	State of Hawai‘i Department of Agriculture
DOE	State of Hawai‘i Department of Education
DOH	State of Hawai‘i Department of Health
DOT	State of Hawai‘i Department of Transportation
E	Economy (H2050 Plan Goal)
ENR	Environment & Natural Resources (H2050 Plan Goal)
H2050 Plan	Hawai‘i 2050 Sustainability Plan
HECO	Hawaiian Electric Company
HIPA	Hawai‘i Institute for Public Affairs
HTA	Hawai‘i Tourism Authority
HUD	US Department of Housing and Urban Development
KMIC	Kanaka Maoli & Island Culture (H2050 Plan Goal)
KS	Kamehameha Schools
LUC	State of Hawai‘i Land Use Commission
NAEP	National Assessment of Educational Progress
PUC	Hawai‘i Public Utilities Commission
SAT	Stanford Achievement Test
SE	Sustainability Ethic (H2050 Plan Goal)
SFCA	Hawai‘i State Foundation on Culture & Arts
SSPPC	Social Sciences Public Policy Center
UH	University of Hawai‘i System
US-BLS	US Bureau of Labor Statistics
UH-COF	University of Hawai‘i Center on the Family
US DOD	US Department of Defense
US EPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
WG	Working Group