August 25, 2011

### ISSUES: Committee on Professional Matters (CPM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue ID #</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Charge</td>
<td>Tenure Promotion Review Committee (TPRC) make-up</td>
<td>The VCAA is considering a significant change to the TPRC process that will eliminate multiple TPRC’s in favor of a single campus-wide TPRC. CPM is asked to work with the VCAA on this issue and provide a status report to the Senate on or before December 2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Charge</td>
<td>Emeritus Faculty</td>
<td>Executive policy calls for “ Internal campus procedures for the identification of those individuals to be awarded the emeritus title.” The committee will make recommendations to the Senate for changes to the current procedures that SEC will forward to the Administration by February 2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Charge</td>
<td>Individual course advertising</td>
<td>In Spring 2011 SEC members noticed that one or more departments advertise courses in Ka Leo. Advertisements in Ka Leo are paid. CPM is asked to consider the appropriateness of using department funds to advertise courses and make a recommendation on acceptable forms of advertising for courses on campus to the Senate in October 2011.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Committee: CPM
Status: In Progress
Issue ID #: 35  
Source: SEC  
Category: Charge  
Issue: Issue of 9 vs 11 mo and I vs R faculty  
Description: In Spring 2011 COR brought a resolution recommending consolidation of faculty categories to the Senate. The resolution was deferred and CORGE is asked to form a joint working group with CPM to continue studying the issue and report the results of their inquiry to the Senate in March 2012.  
Committee:  
#1: CPM  
#2: CORGE  
Status: In Progress

Issue ID #: 36  
Source: SEC  
Category: Charge  
Issue: Evaluation of Administration/Administrators  
Description: CPM began studying this issue during the 2010-2011 academic year. CPM is asked to form a joint working group with CAB to continue their study and recommend criteria and process for evaluating administrators to the Senate on or before October 2012. If the CPM/CAB proposal is accepted by the Senate, CPM will conduct the evaluation before the end of the Spring 2012 semester.  
Committee:  
#1: CPM  
#2: CAB  
Status: In Progress

Issue ID #: 38  
Source: SEC  
Category: Charge  
Issue: Composition and selection of Departmental Personnel Committees  
Description: A matter has recently been referred to UHPA and the Faculty Senate relating to an ongoing violation of the UHPA contract and conventions of faculty governance by the Cancer Center Director in which he has appointed faculty of his choosing to the Cancer Center DPC, including appointment of the Chair and other individuals unqualified to serve on a DPC, in violation of the written tenure and promotion policy of the Cancer Center. The broader question for CPM is do determine if there is or, if not, should there be a written University or Senate policy relating to the appointment of faculty to DPC’s whereby it is the responsibility of faculty within a unit to propose who should serve on such committees. Since one of the key elements of faculty governance involves control over faculty appointments as faculty representatives to any University
Committee, it would seem that this is an important point to clarify. If a Dean/Director can control the DPC then it would appear that no independent faculty oversight of the tenure and promotion process is occurring.

Committee: CPM
Status: In Progress