

MINUTES

BOARD OF REGENTS MEETING

JULY 20, 2023

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Alapaki Nahale-a called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, July 20, 2023, at the University of Hawai'i (UH) at Mānoa, Information Technology Building, 1st Floor Conference Room 105A/B, 2520 Correa Road, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96822, with regents participating from various locations.

Quorum (11): Chair Alapaki Nahale-a; Vice-Chair Gabriel Lee; Vice-Chair Ernest Wilson; Regent Neil Abercrombie; Regent Lauren Akitake; Regent William Haning; Regent Wayne Higaki; Regent Laurel Loo; Regent Abigail Mawae; Regent Diane Paloma; and Regent Laurie Tochiki.

Others in attendance: President David Lassner; Vice President (VP) for Administration Jan Gouveia; VP for Academic Strategy Debora Halbert; VP for Legal Affairs/University General Counsel Carrie Okinaga; VP for Research and Innovation Vassilis Syrmos; VP for Information Technology/Chief Information Officer Garret Yoshimi; VP for Budget and Finance/Chief Financial Officer Kalbert Young; VP for Advancement/UH Foundation (UHF) Chief Executive Officer Tim Dolan; Interim VP for Community Colleges Della Teraoka; UH at Mānoa (UHM) Provost Michael Bruno; UH Hilo (UHH) Chancellor Bonnie Irwin; UH West O'ahu Chancellor Maenette Benham; Executive Administrator and Secretary of the Board of Regents Yvonne Lau; and others as noted.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Board Secretary Lau announced that the Board Office received written comments regarding Board Resolution 23-01 from John Komeiji, Chairperson of the Maunakea Stewardship Oversight Authority (MKSOA). Additionally, Diana Van De Car provided oral comments on Board Resolution 23-01.

Written testimony may be viewed at the Board of Regents website as follows:

[Written Testimony Received](#)

III. REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

A. SCR 201 Task Force Next Steps Progress Report

Work on revising proposed policy amendments with respect to the faculty classification systems at the university's four-year institutions is ongoing. President Lassner referenced some of the proposed policy amendments, noting that detailed information on these changes was provided to the board at its November 17, 2022, meeting; highlighted a concern raised by some faculty at the John A. Burns School of Medicine regarding the prospective elimination of the M faculty classification; and stated

that revisions to the proposed policy amendments will be submitted to faculty senates for further consultation once the academic year commences. The administration continues to anticipate returning to the board with recommended policy amendments by the end of the calendar year.

Regent Abercrombie arrived at 9:07 a.m.

B. Executive Managerial (EM) Salary Adjustment

For the edification of newer regents, President Lassner provided details about EM personnel explaining that these individuals are exempt, at-will employees, who are excluded from collective bargaining, and account for just over 200 of the more than 6,500 people employed full-time by the university. He outlined the multiple collective bargaining units that represent different groups of included university employees; spoke about scheduled salary increases awarded to these unionized employee groups through collective bargaining, highlighting that the University of Hawai'i Professional Assembly received five-percent increases in each year of the 2023-2025 fiscal biennium; briefly reviewed the legislative process for funding collectively bargained salary increases, emphasizing that legislative appropriations also include funding to cover the costs of comparable salary increases for excluded employees; described historical practices used by the administration to adjust EM salaries; discussed past instances when EM's were not afforded salary increases, did not receive pay increases equivalent to their unionized counterparts, or were subjected to salary reductions that were not applicable to employees within collective bargaining units; and apprised the board of the guidelines and methodology to be used this fiscal year to provide a five-percent increase to salaries of EM employees that are evaluated as at least "meeting expectations" delegated to the President pursuant to Regents Policy (RP) 9.212.

C. Other

Thirty-three days prior to the first day of instruction, overall registration headcount enrollment for the fall semester is up by about 1.3 percent systemwide. As a whole, community colleges have witnessed the largest enrollment increase, which currently stands at just over 3 percent, although these figures vary by campus with some campuses still experiencing declining numbers. Additionally, there has been a growth in fall student semester hours (SSH) of 1.5 percent to date which, given current enrollment data, indicates that students who are registering for the fall semester are signing up for more courses. UHM is the performance leader in this statistic with a 2.5 percent increase in credit SSH as compared to last fall. The community colleges have seen a 2.3 increase in credit SSH with Honolulu Community College (HonCC), Windward Community College, and Leeward Community College all experiencing upticks in this data point. A more detailed update on fall enrollment will be provided to the board in November after the official census date.

For the second consecutive year, the university has set a record in extramural funding at \$515.9 million for fiscal year 2023 which is \$10.9 million more than the previous record of \$505 million set in fiscal year 2022. Extramural funding for the current fiscal year remains relatively flat with the university receiving approximately

\$18.5 million thus far. Although there was a slight decline in philanthropic funding from the record-setting numbers experienced last fiscal year, which was largely attributable to \$60 million in gifts from the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, the university still received a total of just over \$103.5 million in donations for fiscal year 2023, exceeding UHF's fundraising goal by \$8.5 million. President Lassner emphasized that every dollar received from sponsors and donors represents additional investment in the university above-and-beyond taxpayer support, indicating strong confidence and support of the work of the university to address the challenges and opportunities facing Hawai'i and the world.

President Lassner stated that UHF is expecting to publicly launch its comprehensive capital campaign, which is aimed at addressing the needs of the entire university, in November 2023, although fundraising efforts have already begun. To date, the campaign has raised roughly \$536.5 million of its \$1 billion target. It was also reported that two familiar faces will serve on the UHF Board of Trustees (UHF Board) for 2023-2024 with Richard Wacker, a former UHF Board member, returning to serve as UHF Board Chair, and Regent Emeritus Randy Moore, former chair of the Board of Regents, joining the UHF Board as a member.

UHF's Residences for Innovative Student Entrepreneurs (RISE), which is a unique innovation and entrepreneurship center coupled with student housing being built on the site of the former Atherton YMCA across from the UHM campus, will be opening this fall semester. Thus far, 282 leases for the RISE facility have been signed, a number that far surpasses pro forma expectations. In view of continued student interest in the facility and the amount of new applications that have been completed, UHF anticipates more than 90 percent occupancy by the move-in date.

The Board of Regents' Willard Wilson Award for Distinguished Service to the University of Hawai'i, which is named in honor of long-time UH administrator and secretary to the Board of Regents, Willard Wilson, who set a standard for exemplary service to the university during his 40-year career, was presented to Paula Nishimoto, Human Resources Specialist for the UHM Athletic Department (UHM Athletics). President Lassner noted Ms. Nishimoto's 34 years of dedicated service to the university, highlighted some of her notable achievements, and spoke about her commitment to the success of UHM Athletics.

Upon opening the discussion for questions, Regent Abercrombie asked whether his understanding that EM salary adjustments were being made in accordance with authority delegated to the President under RP 9.212 was correct. He also sought clarification about the source of funding being used to pay for the salary increases and questioned whether the administration was contemplating seeking funding from the Legislature via the university's supplemental budget request to provide raises for EM personnel next fiscal year. President Lassner replied that under RP 9.212, the President is authorized to provide annual formulaic salary adjustments to EM personnel so long as they do not exceed five percent. As for the salary adjustments' source of funding, he stated that the Legislature factored in the amount of monies needed to account for salary increases and other cost adjustments for state officers and employees who are excluded from collective bargaining, which encompasses EM

personnel, when it provided appropriations to the university for collective bargaining cost items. He also remarked that the administration is not planning on submitting a supplemental budget request that includes funding for EM salary increases in excess of what has already been provided.

Noting that all EM personnel achieving a performance assessment rating of satisfactory or higher would be receiving a five percent salary increase, Vice-Chair Lee asked for a listing of the possible evaluation rankings that could be attained by this employee classification. He also inquired about the number of EM personnel that received an evaluation rating of lower-than-satisfactory. President Lassner replied that EM personnel could attain performance evaluation ratings of Does Not Meet Expectations, Meets Expectations, Exceeds Expectations, or Exceptional. He also stated that the number of individuals receiving an unsatisfactory (Does Not Meet Expectations) rating were minimal, although the exact figure was not readily available. In light of this information, Vice-Chair Lee opined that providing identical salary increases for all EM personnel achieving an evaluation assessment of satisfactory or higher did not serve to motivate individuals to improve work performance. President Lassner replied that, in his opinion, the work performance of EM personnel was primarily driven by their desire to ensure the long-term success and viability of the university and more than by small increases in compensation.

Regent Mawae congratulated the community colleges for the enrollment successes that they are currently experiencing. She also stated that the high interest being expressed by students in the UHF RISE project and the over 90 percent projected occupancy for the facility that is expected to be achieved by move-in day illustrates the need for affordable, on-campus student housing, and encouraged the board to remain engaged with this issue.

Citing the increase in community college enrollment, Vice-Chair Wilson asked if this was a result of increased emphasis being placed on curricula oriented towards workforce development. President Lassner stated that the data presented only reflected enrollment in credit courses and much of the workforce and development training at the community colleges is non-credit. He also cautioned that the enrollment numbers reported on earlier were very preliminary and subject to both positive and negative changes. Nevertheless, certain community college campuses, such as HonCC, are witnessing significant increases in fall enrollment to date. The administration continues to analyze enrollment data from these campuses to better understand the rationale behind these increases.

Regent Akitake solicited information on the disposition of funding provided for salary increases that were not awarded to EM personnel due to unsatisfactory performance ratings and asked whether these funds could be used to provide additional salary increases for EM personnel that exceeded expectations. President Lassner replied that monies appropriated for salary increases that are not awarded to an EM due to an unsatisfactory performance rating are placed in the operating budget of the unit where that individual works. He also reiterated that the number of EM personnel receiving an unsatisfactory performance assessment is miniscule. As such, the amount of funds

appropriated for salary increases that are not awarded would be insufficient to distribute among the number of EM personnel who exceed performance expectations.

Referencing the update on fall enrollment that would be provided to the board in November, Regent Mawae suggested that historical trend data for community college enrollment be included in the report. President Lassner replied that the fall enrollment update provided to the board after the official census date does include enrollment trend data. He also offered to send regents links to websites that contain some of this information.

Chair Nahale-a expressed his appreciation to the administration for conducting a deeper dive into enrollment statistics to determine causal factors for the increases and declines being experienced by the different campuses. He also lauded the progress being made on the SCR 201 matter stating that he was pleased to see headway being made on unresolved issues.

IV. COMMITTEE AND AFFILIATE REPORTS

- A. Report from the Committee on Budget and Finance**
- B. Report from the Committee on Independent Audit**
- C. Report from the Committee on Personnel Affairs and Board Governance**
- D. Report from the Committee on Planning and Facilities**
- E. Report from the Committee on Research and Innovation**
- F. Affiliate Reports**
 - 1. Hawai'i P-20 Council (P-20 Council)**
 - 2. All Campus Council on Faculty and Senate Chairs (ACCFSC)**

Chair Nahale-a referred regents to the respective standing committee reports from the June 1, 2023, committee meetings, as well as the affiliate reports for the P-20 Council and ACCFSC, which were contained in the materials packet.

V. AGENDA ITEMS

A. Consent Agenda

Prior to the board acting on the consent agenda, Chair Nahale-a stated that agenda item V.A.2, would be removed from the consent agenda and placed on the regular agenda for further discussion.

- 1. Approval of a Template Indemnification Provision to Allow the University of Hawai'i to Accept National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Subawards from the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI)**

Regent Higaki moved to approve the consent agenda with the exception of item V.A.2 as noted, and the motion was seconded by Vice-Chair Wilson.

Regent Akitake raised a question about liability insurance coverage for the university with respect to the indemnification being provided in agenda item V.A.1. Given the nature of this query, Chair Nahale-a suggested that agenda item V.A.1 also be removed from the consent agenda and placed on the regular agenda for further discussion.

Regent Higaki and Vice-Chair Wilson withdrew their previous motion and seconding of the motion respectively.

Regent Akitake restated her question asking if the university's existing insurance policy was sufficient to cover any liability issues that may arise in connection with the indemnification being provided under agenda item V.A.1 or if it would be necessary to secure additional insurance coverage. VP Young explained that Hawai'i law requires the university's chief financial officer to review indemnification provisions for liability insurance coverage. He provided information on the university's insurance program stating that it covers operational and property liability issues and is supplemented by the State of Hawai'i's insurance program. In this particular instance, it has been determined that the insurance policies of the university and State are sufficient to cover the liability of the university should issues arise under the indemnity provision. As such, securing additional insurance coverage has been deemed to be unnecessary.

Regent Abercrombie remarked that agenda item V.A.1 clearly illustrated the need to better define the roles and responsibilities of the university and MKSOA regarding Maunakea stewardship and oversight during the five-year transition period that is called for under Act 255, Session Laws of Hawai'i 2022 (Act 255).

Regent Higaki moved to approve the template indemnification provision to allow the university to accept NASA subawards from STScl, seconded by Regent Haning, and the motion carried with all members present voting in the affirmative.

2. Approval of Bylaw Amendments for Updated Committee Responsibilities Under the New Committee Structure Approved at the April 20, 2023 Board of Regent's meeting and Nomination for Board Leadership Positions

Chair Nahale-a remarked that the changes to the committee structure approved at the April 20, 2023, meeting of the board and the amendments to the Bylaws of the Board of Regents (Board Bylaws) with respect to the responsibilities of each of the new committees being considered for approval at this meeting represents a significant shift towards committees addressing more strategic rather than transactional matters. He stated that the Board Bylaws was a dynamic document and noted that each committee would be tasked with reviewing and discussing their respective responsibilities at the initial committee meeting. He also proposed that further changes be made to the prefatory language for the Committee on Governance (Governance Committee) in order to clarify, strengthen, and elevate its role in the governance of the university and verbalized his suggested amendment.

Vice-Chair Wilson moved to approve the additional amendment to the Board Bylaws with respect to the prefatory language for the Governance Committee as proposed by Chair Nahale-a. The motion was seconded by Regent Higaki.

Robust discussions ensued on Chair Nahale-a's proposed amendment including the rationale for the suggested change; the affect it would have on the responsibilities of the Governance Committee; the potential for issues to arise regarding board committee jurisdiction; whether the change would usurp the authority and oversight duties of the other board committees; and the positive impact the amendment could have with respect to enhancing the board's overall institutional governance responsibilities. Regents also engaged in dialogue concerning the possibility of establishing a committee of the whole to address governance matters rather than a specific Governance Committee and past practices of the board concerning the referral of items to a committee.

Regent Abercrombie asked if the Governance Committee would be tasked with the responsibility of communicating and presenting the board's position on matters before the legislature or the governor under the changes as proposed. Chair Nahale-a replied that this issue is something that could be brought before the Governance Committee for further discussion. The Committee could then recommend a specific course of action that should be taken by the board regarding this matter.

There having been a motion that was moved and seconded, and noting the abstention of Regent Abercrombie, the motion carried with all other members present voting in the affirmative.

Chair Nahale-a offered a second amendment to the Board Bylaws as presented proposing to eliminate the requirement that the chair and vice-chair for the Committee on Institutional Success also serve as the chair and vice-chair for the Committee on Independent Audit.

Regent Haning moved to approve the amendment to eliminate the requirement that the chair and vice-chairs for the Committee on Institutional Success and Committee on Independent Audit be identical, seconded by Vice-Chair Wilson, and noting the abstention of Regent Abercrombie, the motion carried with all members present voting in the affirmative.

Although some regents stated that they were still trying to grasp the breadth of changes being made to the board's committee structure, as well as the roles and responsibilities of each committee, the majority of regents expressed their support for the new committee structure stating that it would improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the board, provide for greater strategic integrity, permit broader conversations to occur on matters of importance to the university; and allow time for more in-depth discussions. It was also emphasized that the Board Bylaws is a living document and is subject to change by the board at any time.

Chair Nahale-a added that the intent is for discussions on the specific duties, roles, and responsibilities of each committee to occur at the respective committee's first meeting.

Regent Mawae moved to approve agenda item V.A.2 inclusive of the two amendments that were proposed by Chair Nahale-a, seconded by Vice-Chair Wilson, and the motion carried with all members present voting in the affirmative.

VI. EXECUTIVE SESSION (closed to the public)

Regent Higaki moved to convene into executive session, seconded by Vice-Chair Wilson, and with all other members present voting in the affirmative, the board approved convening in executive session to consult with the board's attorneys on questions and issues pertaining to the board's powers, duties, privileges, immunities, and liabilities, pursuant to Section 92-5(a)4, Hawai'i Revised Statutes.

Chair Nahale-a called the meeting back to order at 12:15 p.m. and announced that the board met in executive session to discuss matters as stated on the agenda.

VII. AGENDA ITEMS (CONTINUED)

A. Discussion and Potential Action on BOR Resolution Regarding Maunakea

1. 23-01 Resolution To Further Act on Items Relating to Maunakea Management and Repeal of Regent Resolutions 19-03 and 21-02

Testimony from Diana Van De Car, a member of the Maunakea Management Board (MKMB), was received at this time although she emphasized that she was speaking on this matter as an individual.

Chair Nahale-a provided a brief overview of the rationale for the introduction of Board Resolution 23-01 noting that several conditions within previous resolutions pertaining to Maunakea that were adopted by the board have been met, are currently being worked on, or remain pending. Moreover, questions have arisen about the university's prospective role in the management of Maunakea as a result of the enactment of Act 255. As such, Board Resolution 23-01 seeks to repeal Board Resolution 19-03 and Board Resolution 21-02 and provide clear guidance to the university and its Maunakea management or operations related entities about their responsibilities in light of the passage of Act 255.

Regent Higaki moved to defer adoption of Resolution 23-01 stating his belief that a more comprehensive discussion on this matter was warranted considering the numerous questions that have been raised about the impact that the establishment of the MKSOA is having and will have on agencies currently charged with managing various portions of Maunakea lands, including the university. The motion was seconded by Vice-Chair Wilson.

Given that Act 255 provides MKSOA with broad powers to oversee stewardship of Maunakea after a five-year transition period, Regent Abercrombie asked Ms. Van De Car if he understood her correctly that she considered the MKSOA to only be an advisory body. Ms. Van De Car clarified that her statement was referring to MKMB acting as an advisory body to the board on issues related to Maunakea. Regent Abercrombie continued by asking Ms. Van De Car if she believed Act 255 removed all stewardship and management responsibilities for Maunakea lands from the university after the five-year transition period. Ms. Van De Car replied in the affirmative.

Chair Nahale-a requested Ms. Van De Car to elaborate on her statement that the lack of clarity about Maunakea stewardship and management responsibilities brought

about by the enactment of Act 255 could be problematic. Ms. Van De Car replied that Act 255's lack of clarity has the potential to cause confusion among entities currently charged with the day-to-day stewardship and management of Maunakea as to their specific roles and responsibilities. To support this assertion, she provided a hypothetical example whereby a road within the Maunakea Science Reserve is in need of repairs due to storm damage, which is something that UHH's Center for Maunakea Stewardship (CMS) would normally take the lead on. Absent any clear lines of authority within Act 255, CMS may be hard pressed to determine whether or not action can be taken without the approval of the MKSOA, which is currently tasked with jointly managing Maunakea lands with the university. Questions could also be raised regarding the party liable for any incident occurring on the roadway as a result of the necessary repairs not being addressed. Chair Nahale-a thanked Ms. Van De Car for her response and stated that one of the key points of contention with Act 255 is uncertainty surrounding the meaning of the phrase "jointly manage" in reference to the MKSOA and the university.

Regent Haning stated that there appeared to be an implicit urgency for the need to resolve the management and stewardship issues related to Maunakea that have arisen as a result of the enactment of Act 255. As such, he submitted that the previous motion made by Regent Higaki should be amended to include a date by which the board would revisit Resolution 23-01. Vice-Chair Wilson concurred with Regent Haning's observations and recommendation.

Chair Nahale-a asked Regent Haning if he thought that amending Regent Higaki's motion by deferring action on Resolution 21-03 until the board's scheduled meeting in August addressed his concerns. Regent Higaki was also asked if he was amenable to this amendment. Both Regent Haning and Regent Higaki responded in the affirmative. Vice-Chair Wilson also agreed to the change as part of his seconding the motion.

President Lassner stressed that, while there are risks associated with Act 255's lack of clarity, the university intends to maintain its current operational practices relative to the management and stewardship of Maunakea until such time that the MKSOA, weighs in on, or assumes responsibility for, these matters. He also underscored the university's belief that, until then, Act 255 does not relieve the university of the numerous existing formal Maunakea management and stewardship responsibilities the university has accepted over decades in leases, subleases, permits, rules, and plans.

Regent Abercrombie stated his intent to vote for the motion at the appropriate time but requested that the meeting minutes reflect that the action being taken by the board is not in response to the concerns raised in testimony submitted by the Chair of MKSOA that Resolution 23-01 ignores the mandate of Act 255 that the university jointly manage Maunakea with MKSOA. To the contrary, he communicated his belief that the intent of Resolution 23-01 is to advance the clarification of the obligations, responsibilities, and role of the university as they relate to the joint management of Maunakea under Act 255. Chair Nahale-a noted Regent Abercrombie's request.

Regent Tochiki inquired about the actions that would be taken over the next month regarding Resolution 23-01 should the motion to defer action on this matter until the

August board meeting pass. Chair Nahale-a replied that Resolution 23-01 was the board's attempt to acknowledge Act 255's stipulation that the university and MKSOA jointly manage Maunakea while at the same time recognizing that the university still maintains stewardship and management responsibilities until such time that these obligations are shouldered by the MKSOA. He stated that MKSOA appears to have indicated that they would like to have further dialogue with the board and university on this issue. In addition, Act 255 has given rise to other questions and concerns as noted in Ms. Van De Carr's testimony. As such, deferral of Resolution 23-01 for an additional month would permit supplementary engagement with MKMB and MKSOA to transpire so that details about any concerns related to Act 255 can be gathered, digested, and discussed, and would also provide the opportunity for the university and MKSOA to continue to have conversations on this matter.

Taking into account the discussion that has occurred thus far, Regent Abercrombie suggested that the motion presently before the board be further amended to include a referral to the recently created Kuleana Committee, since this subject appeared to be under its purview. He stated that doing so would allow for greater discourse to take place on the concerns of MKSOA and MKMB as well as possible changes that could be made to Resolution 23-01 to deal with these trepidations.

Although Chair Nahale-a was in agreement with Regent Abercrombie's suggestion, he remarked that the scope of the Kuleana Committee was fairly narrow and that referral to the Governance Committee, which has greater latitude, may be a better option. Regent Abercrombie concurred with Chair Nahale-a's recommendation. Accordingly, Chair Nahale-a asked Regent Higaki and Vice-Chair Wilson if they were agreeable to an additional amendment being made to their motion and second that included a referral to the Committee on Governance. Both responded in the affirmative.

Vice-Chair Wilson requested that language expressing his sentiments about the urgency of resolving this situation be included in the minutes. Chair Nahale-a stated that the minutes would reflect Vice-Chair Wilson's comment.

Regent Higaki inquired as to whether any adverse impacts would be realized as a consequence of the board delaying action on Resolution 23-01 until its August meeting. Subsequently, he asked about the ramifications of the board's failure to adopt Resolution 23-01 in the near future. Dr. Greg Chun, Executive Director of CMS, responded that there are some decisions about Maunakea that UHH and CMS will need to be making over the course of the next six months so clarifying their roles and responsibilities, as well as their relationship with the MKSOA, is extremely important. He also stressed the importance of including the Board of Land and Natural Resources (BLNR), an agency that also has managerial and stewardship oversight of Maunakea, in any discussions with MKSOA.

Regent Abercrombie questioned whether BLNR was facing issues similar to those being experienced by the university because of Act 255. Dr. Chun replied in the affirmative stating that both the university and BLNR are seeking clarity about their respective roles and responsibilities with respect to Maunakea in relation to Act 255.

Vice-Chair Lee asked if it would be possible to invite Regent Emeritus and former Board Chair Ben Kudo, who has been appointed as Chair Nahale-a's designee on the MKSOA, to participate in Governance Committee discussions on Resolution 23-01. Regents voiced their support for Vice-Chair Lee's suggestion. Chair Nahale-a noted that an invitation to attend the Governance Committee meeting on August 3, 2023, will be sent to Regent Emeritus Kudo.

There having been a motion to defer action on Resolution 23-01 and refer the matter to the Governance Committee for further review and discussion at the Committee's August 3, 2023, meeting, with the expectation that the board will take this matter up once again at the August board meeting, a vote was taken, and the motion carried with all members present voting in the affirmative.

VIII. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Chair Nahale-a announced that the next board meeting was scheduled for August 17, at UHM. He also remarked that he would be notifying regents of their committee and affiliate liaison assignments over the next several days.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chair Nahale-a adjourned the meeting at 12:50 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/

Yvonne Lau
Executive Administrator and Secretary
of the Board of Regents